UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): May 23, 2016

TARGA RESOURCES CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 001-34991 (Commission File Number) 20-3701075 (IRS Employer Identification No.)

1000 Louisiana, Suite 4300 Houston, TX 77002 (Address of principal executive office and Zip Code)

(713) 584-1000

(Registrants' telephone number, including area code)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

□ Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)

□ Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)

Dere-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))

Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

Item 8.01 Other Events.

Targa Resources Corp. ("TRC" or the "Company") is filing this Current Report on Form 8-K to update Items 1, 6, 7 and 8 of its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 29, 2016 (the "2015 Form 10-K"). These updates are being made to reflect a change in segment reporting effective for 2016, and to reflect the retrospective application upon adoption, effective January 1, 2016, of ASU 2015-03, *Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs.* The Company is filing this Form 8-K to provide investors with recast financial information from prior periods in order to assist them in making comparisons of financial information for such prior periods.

During the first quarter of 2016, management reevaluated our reportable segments and determined that our previously disclosed divisions were the appropriate level of disclosure for our reportable segments. The Gathering and Processing division was previously disaggregated into two reportable segments —(a) Field Gathering and Processing and (b) Coastal Gathering and Processing. The Logistics and Marketing division (also referred to as the Downstream Business) was previously disaggregated into two reportable segments—(a) Logistics Assets and (b) Marketing and Distribution. The segment information included in the consolidated financial statements in the 2015 Form 10-K has been recast to conform to the current segment reporting structure. The information is attached to this Current Report on Form 8-K as Exhibit 99.1.

ASU 2015-03 requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability (other than revolving credit facilities) be presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The Company's consolidated financial statements included in the 2015 Form 10-K have been recast to give effect to the retrospective presentation requirements of ASU 2015-03. Such information is attached to this Current Report on Form 8-K as Exhibit 99.1.

This Current Report on Form 8-K does not reflect events or developments that occurred after February 29, 2016. More current information is contained in the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2016 (the "Form 10-Q") and other filings with the SEC.

The information in this Current Report on Form 8-K should be read in conjunction with the 2015 Form 10-K, the Form 10-Q and other documents filed by the Company with the SEC subsequent to February 29, 2016.

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits.

(d) Exhibits.

Exhibit Number	Description
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
99.1	Updates to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2015
	Part I. Item 1. Business
	Part II. Item 6. Selected Financial Data
	Part II. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Part II. Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

2

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

TARGA RESOURCES CORP.

By: /s/ Matthew J. Meloy

Matthew J. Meloy Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Dated: May 23, 2016

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit <u>Number</u>	Description
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
99.1	Updates to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Year Ended December 31, 2015

Part I. Item 1. Business

Part II. Item 6. Selected Financial Data

Part II. Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Part II. Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

4

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (No.333 - 209873) of Targa Resources Corp. of our report dated February 29, 2016 except with respect to our opinion on the consolidated financial statements insofar as it relates to the change in the composition of reportable segments discussed in Note 24, and the adoption of a new accounting standard that resulted in a change in the classification of debt issuance costs discussed in Note 3, which are as of May 23, 2016 relating to the financial statements, and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, which appears in this Form 8-K.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Houston, Texas

May 23, 2016

Item 1. Business.

Overview

Targa Resources Corp. (NYSE: TRGP) is a publicly traded Delaware corporation formed in October 2005. On February 17, 2016, TRC completed the previously announced transactions contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "TRC/TRP Merger Agreement" or "Buy-in Transaction"), dated November 2, 2015, by and among TRC, TRP, the general partner of TRP and Spartan Merger Sub LLC, a subsidiary of TRC ("Merger Sub") pursuant to which TRC acquired indirectly all of the outstanding TRP common units that TRC and its subsidiaries did not already own. Upon the terms and conditions set forth in the TRC/TRP Merger Agreement, Merger Sub merged with and into TRP (the "TRC/TRP Merger"), with TRP continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of TRC. Following the closing of the TRC/TRP Merger, TRC owns all of the outstanding TRP common units.

Pursuant to the TRC/TRP Merger Agreement, we agreed to cause the TRP common units to be delisted from the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and deregistered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). As a result of the completion of the TRC/TRP Merger, the TRP common units are no longer publicly traded. The 9.00% Series A Fixed-to-Floating Rate Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Units (the "Preferred Units") remain outstanding as limited partner interests in TRP and continue to trade on the NYSE under the symbol "NGLS PRA."

As we continue to control the Partnership, the change in our ownership interest as a result of the TRC/TRP Merger was accounted for as an equity transaction which was reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2016 as a reduction of noncontrolling interests and a corresponding increase in common stock and additional paid in capital. The TRC/TRP merger is a taxable exchange resulting in a book/tax difference in the basis of the underlying assets acquired (our investment in TRP). A deferred tax liability of approximately \$950 million was recorded as of March 31, 2016, computed as \$9.0 billion book basis in excess of \$6.5 billion tax basis at our statutory tax rate of 37.11%.

Financial Presentation

One of our indirect subsidiaries is the sole general partner of the Partnership. Because we control the general partner, under generally accepted accounting principles we must reflect our ownership interest in the Partnership on a consolidated basis. Accordingly, the Partnership's financial results are included in our consolidated financial statements even though the distribution or transfer of Partnership assets are limited by the terms of the partnership agreement, as well as restrictive covenants in the Partnership's lending agreements. The limited partner interests in the Partnership not owned by us on certain dates are reflected in our results of operations as net income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Throughout this Annual Report, we make a distinction where relevant between financial results and disclosures applicable to the Partnership versus those applicable to us as a standalone parent including our non-Partnership subsidiaries ("Non-Partnership"). In addition, we provide condensed Targa only financial statements as required by the SEC.

The Partnership files its own separate Annual Report. The financial results presented in our consolidated financial statements will differ from the financial statements of the Partnership primarily due to the effects of:

- our separate debt obligations;
- federal income taxes;
- certain retained general and administrative costs applicable to us as a public company;
- certain administrative assets and liabilities incumbent as a provider of operational and support services to the Partnership;
- certain non-operating assets and liabilities that we retained;
- Partnership distributions and earnings allocable to third-party common and preferred unitholders which are included in non-controlling interest in our statements; and

• Partnership distributions applicable to our General Partner interest, IDRs and investment in Partnership common units. While these are eliminated when preparing our consolidated financial statements, they nonetheless are the primary source of cash flow that supports the payment of dividends to our stockholders.

Overview of the Business of Targa Resources Corp.

Our primary business objective is to increase our cash available for dividends to our stockholders by assisting the Partnership in executing its business strategy. We may potentially facilitate the Partnership's growth through various forms of financial support, including, but not limited to, making loans, making capital contributions in exchange for yielding or non-yielding equity interests or providing other financial support to the Partnership to support its ability to make distributions. In addition, we may potentially acquire assets that could be candidates for acquisition by us or the Partnership, potentially after operational or commercial improvement or further development.

At February 1, 2016, our interests in the Partnership consist of the following:

- a 2% general partner interest, which we hold through our 100% ownership interest in the general partner;
- all of the outstanding IDRs; and
- 16,309,594 of the 184,899,602 outstanding common units of the Partnership, representing an 8.8% interest in the outstanding common units of the Partnership.
- a special general partnership interest (the "Special GP Interest") representing retained tax benefits related to the contribution to TRP by TRC of the general partner interest in TPL acquired in the merger where Targa GP Merger Sub LLC merged with and into ATLS, with ATLS continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of Targa ("ATLS merger").

As a result of the TRC/TRP Merger, which was completed on February 17, 2016, we own all of the outstanding TRP common units.

Our cash flows are generated from the cash distributions we receive from the Partnership. After payment of preferred distributions to the preferred unitholders, the Partnership is required to distribute all available cash at the end of each quarter after establishing reserves to provide for the proper conduct of its business or to provide for future distributions. Our ownership of the general partner interest entitles us to receive 2% of all cash distributed in a quarter.

Our ownership of the IDRs of the Partnership entitles us to receive:

- 13% of all cash distributed in a quarter after \$0.3881 has been distributed in respect of each common unit of the Partnership for that quarter;
- 23% of all cash distributed in a quarter after \$0.4219 has been distributed in respect of each common unit of the Partnership for that quarter; and
- 48% of all cash distributed in a quarter after \$0.50625 has been distributed in respect of each common unit of the Partnership for that quarter.

Our ownership of all of the Partnership common units as of February 17, 2016 entitles us to receive all of the quarterly declared distributions that are paid to common unitholders.

The Partnership Agreement between the Partnership and us governs our relationship regarding certain reimbursement and indemnification matters. So long as our only cash generating assets are our interests in the Partnership, we will continue to allocate to the Partnership substantially all of our general and administrative costs other than our direct costs of being a reporting company. See "Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence" in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016.

We employ approximately 1,870 people. See "Employees." The Partnership does not have any employees to carry out its operations.

Overview of the Business of the Partnership

Targa Resources Partners LP is a Delaware limited partnership formed in October 2006 by us to own, operate, acquire and develop a diversified portfolio of complementary midstream energy assets. The Partnership is a leading provider of midstream natural gas and NGL services in the United States, with a growing presence in crude oil gathering and petroleum terminaling.

The Partnership is engaged in the business of:

- gathering, compressing, treating, processing and selling natural gas;
- storing, fractionating, treating, transporting and selling NGLs and NGL products, including services to LPG exporters;
- gathering, storing and terminaling crude oil; and
- storing, terminaling and selling refined petroleum products.

To provide these services, the Partnership operates in two primary segments (previously referred to as divisions): (i) Gathering and Processing, and (ii) Logistics and Marketing (also referred to as the Downstream Business).

Concurrent with the TRC/TRP Merger, management reevaluated our reportable segments and determined that our previously disclosed divisions are the appropriate level of disclosure for our reportable segments. The increase in activity within Field Gathering and Processing due to the Atlas mergers coupled with the decline in activity in our Gulf Coast region makes the disaggregation of Field Gathering and Processing and Coastal Gathering and Processing no longer warranted. Management also determined that further disaggregation of our Logistics and Marketing segment is no longer appropriate due to the integrated nature of the operations within our Downstream Business and its leadership by a consolidated executive management team. The Gathering and Processing division was previously disaggregated into two reportable segments—(a) Field Gathering and Processing and (b) Coastal Gathering and Processing. The Logistics and Marketing division (also referred to as the Downstream Business) was previously disaggregated into two reportable segments —(a) Logistics Assets and (b) Marketing and Distribution.

See "Segment Information" included under Note 24 of the "Consolidated Financial Statements" for a presentation of financial results by reportable segment and see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations– Results of Operations– By Reportable Segment" for a discussion of our financial results by segment which have been recast to reflect our change in reporting segments.

The Partnership's Gathering and Processing segment includes assets used in the gathering of natural gas produced from oil and gas wells and processing this raw natural gas into merchantable natural gas by extracting NGLs and removing impurities; and assets used for crude oil gathering and terminaling. The Gathering and Processing segment's assets are located in the Permian Basin of West Texas and Southeast New Mexico; the Eagle Ford Shale in South Texas; the Barnett Shale in North Texas; the Anadarko, Ardmore, and Arkoma Basins in Oklahoma and South Central Kansas; the Williston Basin in North Dakota and in the onshore and near offshore regions of the Louisiana Gulf Coast and the Gulf of Mexico.

The Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment is also referred to as its Downstream Business. The Partnership's Downstream Business includes the activities necessary to convert mixed NGLs into NGL products and provides certain value-added services such as the fractionation, storage, terminaling, transportation, exporting, distribution and marketing of NGLs and NGL products; the storing and terminaling of refined petroleum products and crude oil; and certain natural gas supply and marketing activities in support of the Partnership's other businesses, as well as transporting natural gas and NGLs.

The Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment is involved in transporting, storing, and fractionating mixed NGLs; storing, terminaling, and transporting finished NGLs, including services for exporting LPGs; and storing and terminaling of refined petroleum products. These assets are generally connected to and supplied in part by the Partnership's Gathering and Processing segment and are predominantly located in Mont Belvieu and Galena Park, Texas, in Lake Charles, Louisiana and in Tacoma, Washington.

The Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment covers activities required to distribute and market raw and finished NGLs and all natural gas marketing activities. It includes (1) marketing the Partnership's own NGL production and purchasing NGL products for resale in selected United States markets; (2) providing LPG balancing services to refinery customers; (3) transporting, storing and selling propane and providing related propane logistics services to multi-state retailers, independent retailers and other end-users; (4) providing propane, butane and services to LPG exporters; and (5) marketing natural gas available to the Partnership from its Gathering and Processing division and the purchase and resale and other value added activities related to third-party natural gas in selected United States markets.

Other contains the results (including any hedge ineffectiveness) of the Partnership's commodity hedging activities included in operating margin and the markto-market gains/losses related to derivative contracts that were not designated as cash-flow hedges.

The Partnership's midstream natural gas and NGL services footprint was initially established through several acquisitions from us, totaling \$3.1 billion, that occurred from 2007 through 2010, and was expanded through third-party acquisitions including the Partnership's 2012 acquisition of Saddle Butte Pipeline LLC's crude oil pipeline and terminal system and natural gas gathering and processing operations in North Dakota and the Partnership's 2015 acquisition of Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P. ("APL"). In these transactions the Partnership acquired (1) natural gas gathering, processing and treating assets in North Texas, West Texas, South Texas, Oklahoma, North Dakota, New Mexico and the Louisiana Gulf Coast, (2) crude oil gathering and terminal assets in North Dakota and (3) NGL assets consisting of fractionation, transport, storage and terminaling facilities, low sulfur natural gasoline treating facilities ("LSNG"), pipeline transportation and distribution assets, propane storage and truck terminals primarily located near Houston, Texas and in Lake Charles, Louisiana.

Since the completion of the final acquisitions from us in 2010 and with the 2015 acquisition of APL, the Partnership has grown substantially, with large increases in a number of metrics as of year-end 2015, including its total assets (313%), adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization ("EBITDA") (201%), distributable cash flow (214%) and distributions per common unit to its common unitholders (51%). The expansion of the Partnership's business has been fueled by a combination of major organic growth investments in the Partnership's businesses and acquisitions.

Organic Growth Projects

The Partnership continues to invest significant capital to expand through organic growth projects. The Partnership has invested approximately \$3.3 billion in growth capital expenditures since 2007, including approximately \$0.7 billion in 2015. These expansion investments were distributed across its businesses, with 52% related to Logistics and Marketing and 48% to Gathering and Processing. The Partnership will continue to invest in both large and small organic growth projects in 2016, including the current fractionation expansion of its 88% owned Cedar Bayou Fractionator ("CBF") in Mont Belvieu, Texas. The Partnership expects that the amount of capital expenditures will be less than previous years due to current market conditions, and the reduced level of drilling activity around its areas of operations. Depending on the ultimate level of industry activity, the Partnership currently estimates that it will invest \$525 million or less in growth capital expenditures for announced projects in 2016.

Atlas Mergers

On February 27, 2015, Targa completed the acquisition of Atlas Energy LP ("ATLS"), a Delaware limited partnership and the Partnership completed the acquisition of APL, a Delaware limited partnership (the "Atlas mergers"). In connection with the Atlas mergers, APL changed its name to "Targa Pipeline Partners LP," which we refer to as TPL, and ATLS changed its name to "Targa Energy LP."

TPL is a provider of natural gas gathering, processing and treating services primarily in the Anadarko, Arkoma and Permian Basins located in the southwestern and mid-continent regions of the United States and in the Eagle Ford Shale play in south Texas. The Atlas mergers add TPL's Woodford/South Central Oklahoma Oil Province ("SCOOP"), Mississippi Lime, Eagle Ford and additional Permian assets to the Partnership's existing operations. In total, TPL adds 2,053 MMcf/d of processing capacity and 12,220 miles of additional pipeline. The results of TPL are reported in our Gathering and Processing segment.

Pursuant to the amendment to TRP's partnership agreement entered into in by TRP's general partner in conjunction with the Atlas mergers (the "IDR Giveback Agreement"), IDRs of \$9.375 million were allocated to common unitholders for each quarter of 2015 commencing with the first quarter of 2015. The IDR Giveback Amendment covers sixteen quarters following the completion of the Atlas mergers on February 27, 2015 and resulted in reallocation of IDR payments to common unitholders at the following amounts - \$9.375 million per quarter for 2015, and will result in reallocation of IDR payments to common unitholders in the amount of \$6.25 million in the first quarter of 2016.

2015 Developments

Volatility of Commodity Prices

Fluctuations in energy prices can greatly affect production rates and investments by third parties in the development of new oil and natural gas reserves. Drilling and production activity generally decreases as crude oil and natural gas prices decrease below commercially acceptable levels. Prices of oil and natural gas have been historically volatile, and we expect this volatility to continue. The Partnership's operations are affected by the level of crude, natural gas and NGL prices, the relationship between these prices and related reduced activity levels from the Partnership's customers. The duration and magnitude of the decline in market prices cannot be predicted.

Logistics and Marketing Segment Expansion

Cedar Bayou Fractionator Train 5

In July 2014, the Partnership approved construction of a 100 MBbl/d fractionator at CBF. The 100 MBbl/d expansion will be fully integrated with the Partnership's existing Gulf Coast NGL storage, terminaling and delivery infrastructure, which includes an extensive network of connections to key petrochemical and industrial customers as well as the Partnership's LPG export terminal at Galena Park on the Houston Ship Channel. Construction has been underway and is continuing and the Partnership expects completion of construction in the second quarter of 2016. Construction of the expansion has proceeded without disruption to existing operations, and we estimate that total growth capital expenditures net to our 88% interest for the expansion and the related infrastructure enhancements at Mont Belvieu should approximate \$340 million.

Channelview Splitter

On December 27, 2015, Targa Terminals LLC ("Targa Terminals") and Noble Americas Corp., a subsidiary of Noble Group Ltd. ("Noble") entered into a long-term, fee-based agreement ("Splitter Agreement") under which Targa Terminals will build and operate a 35,000 barrel per day crude and condensate splitter at Targa Terminals' Channelview Terminal on the Houston Ship Channel ("Channelview Splitter"). The Channelview Splitter will have the capability to split approximately 35,000 barrels per day of condensate into its various components, including naphtha, kerosene, gas oil, jet fuel, and liquefied petroleum gas and will provide segregated storage for the crude, condensate and components. The Channelview Splitter is expected to be completed by early 2018, and has an estimated total cost of approximately \$130 million to \$150 million. The Partnership's current total project capital expenditures estimate is higher than the original announcement in March 2014 because of changes in project scope and anticipated increases in costs for engineering, procurement and construction services and/or materials, including labor costs. As contemplated by the agreement entered into on December 31, 2014 between Targa Terminals and Noble (the "December 2014 Agreement"), the Splitter Agreement completes and terminates the December 2014 Agreement while retaining the Partnership's economic benefits from that agreement.

Gathering and Processing Segment Expansion

Badlands Little Missouri 3

In the first quarter of 2015, the Partnership completed the 40 MMcf/d Little Missouri 3 plant expansion in McKenzie County, North Dakota, that increased capacity to 90 MMcf/d.

Permian Basin Buffalo Plant

In April 2014, TPL announced plans to build a new plant and expand the gathering footprint of its WestTX system. This project includes the laying of a new high pressure gathering line into Martin and Andrews counties of Texas, as well as incremental compression and a new 200 MMcf/d cryogenic processing plant, known as the Buffalo plant. Although construction was suspended for a period of time to assess supply uncertainties, it is now expected to be completed during the second quarter of 2016. Total growth capital expenditures for the Buffalo plant should approximate \$105 million.

Eagle Ford Shale Natural Gas Processing Joint Venture

In October 2015, the Partnership announced that it entered into joint venture agreements with Sanchez Energy Corporation ("Sanchez") to construct a new 200MMcf/d cryogenic natural gas processing plant in La Salle County, Texas (the "Raptor Plant") and approximately 45 miles of associated pipelines. The Partnership owns a 50% interest in the plant and the approximately 45 miles of high pressure gathering pipelines that will connect Sanchez's Catarina gathering system to the plant. The Partnership holds a portion of the transportation capacity on the pipeline, and the gathering joint venture receives fees for transportation. The Partnership expects to invest approximately \$125 million of growth capital expenditures related to the joint ventures.

The Raptor Plant will accommodate the growing production from Sanchez's premier Eagle Ford Shale acreage position in Dimmit, La Salle and Webb Counties, Texas and from other third party producers. The plant and high pressure gathering lines are supported by long-term, firm, fee-based contracts and acreage dedications with Sanchez. The Partnership will manage construction and operations of the plant and high pressure gathering lines, and the plant is expected to begin operations in early 2017. Prior to the plant being placed in-service, the Partnership will benefit from Sanchez natural gas volumes that will be processed at our Silver Oak facilities in Bee County, Texas.

In addition to the major projects in process noted above, the Partnership potentially has other growth capital expenditures in 2016 related to the continued build out of its gathering and processing infrastructure and logistics capabilities. In the depressed commodity price environment, the Partnership will evaluate these potential projects based on return profile, capital requirements and strategic need and may choose to defer projects depending on expected activity levels.

Financing Activities

In connection with the closing of the Atlas mergers, we entered into a Credit Agreement (the "TRC Credit Agreement"), dated as of February 27, 2015, among us, each lender from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent, collateral agent, swing line lender and letter of credit issuer. The TRC Credit Agreement provides for a new five year revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount up to \$670 million and a seven year term loan facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$430 million. We used the net proceeds from the term loan issuance and the revolving credit facility to fund cash components of the ATLS merger, including cash merger consideration and approximately \$160 million related to change of control payments made by ATLS, cash settlements of equity awards and transaction fees and expenses. In March 2015, we repaid \$188.0 million of the term loan and wrote off \$3.3 million of the discount and \$5.7 million of debt issuance costs. In June 2015, we repaid \$82.0 million of the term loan and wrote off \$1.4 million of the discount and \$2.4 million of debt issuance costs. The write-off of the discount and debt issuance costs are reflected as Loss from financing activities on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Public Offering

During March 2015, we sold, to the public, 3,250,000 shares of our common stock under a registration statement on Form S-3 at a price to the public of \$91 per share of common stock, providing net proceeds of \$292.8 million to us. Pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option, we also sold an additional 487,500 shares of our common stock, providing additional net proceeds of \$43.9 million. The proceeds from this offering were used to repay a portion of the outstanding borrowings under our term loan facility and to make a capital contribution of \$52.4 million to the Partnership to maintain our 2% general partnership interest in the Partnership and for general corporate purposes.

Financing Activities of the Partnership

In January 2015, the Partnership Issuers issued \$1.1 billion in aggregate principal amount of 5% Notes resulting in approximately \$1,089.8 million of net proceeds were used together with borrowings from the Partnership's senior secured revolving credit facility (the "TRP Revolver") to fund the APL Notes Tender Offers and the Change of Control Offer (both as defined herein).

In February 2015, the Partnership amended the TRP Revolver to increase available commitments to \$1.6 billion from \$1.2 billion while retaining the right to request up to an additional \$300.0 million in commitment increases. In connection with the 58,614,157 common units issued in the Atlas mergers in February 2015, Targa contributed an additional \$52.4 million to the Partnership to maintain its 2% general partner interest.

In May 2015, the Partnership entered into an equity distribution agreement (the "May 2015 EDA")", pursuant to which it may sell through its sales agents, at its option, up to an aggregate of \$1.0 billion of common units. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, the Partnership issued 7,377,380 common units under its equity distribution agreements ("EDAs"), receiving proceeds of \$316.1 million (net of commissions). As of December 31, 2015, approximately \$4.2 million of capacity and \$835.6 million of capacity remain under the Partnership's 2014 equity distribution agreement (the "May 2014 EDA") and May 2015 EDAs. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2015, pursuant to the issuance of the EDAs, we contributed \$6.5 million to the Partnership to maintain our 2% general partner interest.

In May 2015, the Partnership Issuers issued \$342.1 million aggregate principal amount of the 6 5/8% Notes due 2020 to holders of the APL 6 5/8% Notes due 2020, which were validly tendered for exchange.

In September 2015, the Partnership Issuers issued \$600.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6 3/4% Notes resulting in approximately \$595.0 million of net proceeds, which were used to reduce borrowings under the TRP Revolver and for general partnership purposes.

In October 2015, the Partnership completed an offering of 4,400,000 Preferred Units at a price of \$25.00 per unit. The Partnership sold an additional 600,000 Preferred Units pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option. The Partnership received net proceeds of approximately \$121.1 million. The Partnership used the net proceeds from this offering to reduce borrowings under the TRP Revolver and for general partnership purposes. As of December 31, 2015, the Partnership has paid preferred unit distributions of \$1.5 million to its preferred unitholders. See Note 11 – Partnership Units and Related Matters.

In December 2015, the Partnership amended its account receivable securitization facility to extend the maturity to December 9, 2016 with a facility size of \$225 million.

In December 2015, the Partnership repurchased on the open market a portion of its outstanding Senior Notes as follows (the "December 2015 note repurchases"):

- 5 ¼4% Notes due 2023 (the "5 ¼4% Notes") paying \$13.0 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$16.3 million of the outstanding balance of the 5 ¼4% Notes.
- 4 1/4% Notes due 2023 (the "4 1/4% Notes") paying \$1.2 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$1.5 million of the outstanding balance of the 4 1/4% Notes.
- 6 5/8% APL Notes due 2020 (the "6 5/8% Notes") paying \$0.1 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$0.1 million of the outstanding balance of the 6 5/8% Notes.

The December 2015 note repurchases resulted in a \$3.6 million gain on debt repurchases and a corresponding write-off of \$0.1 million in related deferred debt issuance costs.

Growth Drivers

We believe that the Partnership's near-term growth will be driven by the level of producer activity in the basins where its gathering and processing infrastructure is located and by the level of demand for services for the

Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment. The Partnership believes its assets are not easily duplicated, and even in the current depressed commodity price environment, are located in many of the most attractive and active areas of exploration and production activity and are near key markets and logistics centers. Over the longer term, the Partnership expects its growth will continue to be driven by the strong position of the Partnership's quality assets which will benefit from production from shale plays and by the deployment of shale exploration and production technologies in both liquids-rich natural gas and crude oil resource plays that will also provide additional opportunities for its Logistics and Marketing segment. The Partnership expects that third-party acquisitions will also continue to be a focus of its growth strategy.

Attractive Asset Positions

The Partnership believes that, despite continued declines in market prices for crude oil, natural gas and NGLs that have led to declines in producer activity, its positioning in some of the most attractive basins will allow the Partnership to capture increased natural gas supplies for processing. As commodity prices have declined, producers have focused drilling activity on their most attractive acreage, especially in the Permian Basin where the Partnership has a large and well positioned footprint and expects to see continued, though lower level, activity even in the current commodity price environment.

The development of shale and resources plays has resulted in increasing NGL supplies that continue to generate demand for the Partnership's fractionation services at the Mont Belvieu market hub and for LPG export services at its Galena Park Marine Terminal on the Houston Ship Channel. Since 2010, in response to increasing demand, the Partnership has added 178 MBbl/d of additional fractionation capacity with the additions of CBF Trains 3 and 4, and will complete construction of CBF Train 5 which is expected to add an additional 100 MBbl/d of fractionation capacity starting in the second quarter of 2016. The Partnership also funded its share of the NGL fractionation expansion at Gulf Coast Fractionators ("GCF") in 2012. In periods of strong demand, fractionation service providers benefit from long-term, "take-or-pay" contracts for new and existing fractionation capacity. The Partnership believes that the higher volumes of fractionated NGLs will also result in increased demand for other related fee-based services provided by its Logistics and Marketing segment. Continued long-term demand for fractionation capacity is expected to lead to other growth opportunities.

As domestic producers have focused their drilling in crude oil and liquids-rich areas, new gas processing facilities are being built to accommodate liquids-rich gas, which results in an increasing supply of NGLs. As drilling in these areas continues, supply of NGLs requiring transportation and fractionation to market hubs is expected to continue. As the supply of NGLs increases, the Partnership's integrated Mont Belvieu and Galena Park Terminal assets allow it to provide the raw product, fractionation, storage, interconnected terminaling, refrigeration and ship loading capabilities to support exports by third party customers.

Drilling and production activity from liquids-rich natural gas shale plays and similar crude oil resource plays

The Partnership is actively pursuing natural gas gathering and processing and NGL fractionation opportunities associated with liquids-rich natural gas from shale and other resource plays and is also actively pursuing crude gathering and natural gas gathering and processing and NGL fractionation opportunities from active crude oil resource plays. We believe that the Partnership's leadership position in the Logistics and Marketing segment, which includes its fractionation and export services, provides it with a competitive advantage relative to other gathering and processing companies without these capabilities.

Bakken Shale / Three Forks opportunities

Although the declining commodity prices have reduced producer activity in the Bakken Shale and Three Forks plays in the Williston Basin, the Partnership has increased its volumes of crude oil gathered and natural gas gathered and processed. The Partnership continues to expand its infrastructure to capture additional volumes from wells that have already been drilled but that are not yet connected to the Partnership's system.

Eagle Ford opportunities

As a result of the Partnership's joint venture agreements with Sanchez in South Texas to construct a new 200 MMcf/d cryogenic processing plant and the associated infrastructure to connect to the Sanchez Catarina gathering system, the Partnership expects to benefit from increasing Sanchez production in the Eagle Ford play at the Partnership's Silver Oak facilities prior to completion of the Raptor Plant and at the Raptor Plant thereafter.

Third party acquisitions

While the Partnership's growth through 2010 was primarily driven by the implementation of a focused drop down strategy, the Partnership and Targa also have a record of completing third party acquisitions. Since its formation, its strategy included approximately \$12.6 billion in acquisitions (including the APL merger) and growth capital expenditures of which approximately \$6.2 billion was for acquisitions from third-parties. The Partnership expects that third-party acquisitions will continue to be a focus of its growth strategy.

Competitive Strengths and Strategies

We believe that the Partnership is well positioned to execute its business strategies due to the following competitive strengths:

Strategically located gathering and processing asset base

The Partnership's gathering and processing businesses are strategically located in generally attractive oil and gas producing basins and are well positioned within each of those basins. Activity in the shale resource plays underlying its gathering assets is driven by the economics of oil, condensate, gas and NGL production from the particular reservoirs in each play. Activity levels for most of our gathering and processing asset are driven primarily by liquid hydrocarbon commodity prices. If drilling and production activities in these areas continue, the Partnership would likely increase the volumes of natural gas and crude oil available to its gathering and processing systems.

Leading fractionation, LPG export and NGL infrastructure position

The Partnership is one of the largest fractionators of NGLs in the Gulf Coast. Its primary fractionation assets are located in Mont Belvieu, Texas and to a lesser extent Lake Charles, Louisiana, which are key market centers for NGLs. The Partnership's logistics and marketing operations at Mont Belvieu, the major U.S. hub of NGL infrastructure, include connection to a number of mixed NGL ("mixed NGLs" or "Y-grade") supply pipelines, storage, interconnection and takeaway pipelines and other transportation infrastructure. The Partnership's assets, including fractionation facilities, storage wells, and its Galena Park marine export/import terminal and related pipeline systems and interconnects, are also located near and connected to key consumers of NGL products including the petrochemical and industrial markets. The location and interconnectivity of these assets are not easily replicated, and the Partnership has sufficient additional capability to expand their capacity. The Partnership has extensive experience in operating these assets and developing, permitting and constructing new midstream assets.

Comprehensive package of midstream services

The Partnership provides a comprehensive package of services to natural gas and crude oil producers. These services are essential to gather crude and to gather, process and treat wellhead gas to meet pipeline standards and to extract NGLs for sale into petrochemical, industrial, commercial and export markets. We believe that the Partnership's ability to provide these integrated services provides an advantage in competing for new supplies because the Partnership can provide substantially all of the services producers, marketers and others require for moving natural gas and NGLs from wellhead to market on a cost-effective basis. Additionally, the Partnership believes the barriers to enter the midstream sector on a scale similar to the Partnership are reasonably high due to the high cost of replicating or acquiring assets in key strategic positions, the difficulty of permitting and constructing new midstream assets and the difficulty of developing the expertise necessary to operate them.

High quality and efficient assets

The Partnership's gathering and processing systems and Logistics and Marketing assets consist of high-quality, well-maintained facilities, resulting in lowcost, efficient operations. Advanced technologies have been implemented for processing plants (primarily cryogenic units utilizing centralized control systems), measurements (essentially all electronic and electronically linked to a central data-base) and operations and maintenance to manage work orders and implement preventative maintenance schedules (computerized maintenance management systems). These applications have allowed proactive management of its operations resulting in lower costs and minimal downtime. The Partnership has established a reputation in the midstream industry as a reliable and costeffective supplier of services to its customers and has a track record of safe, efficient, and reliable operation of its facilities. The Partnership intends to continue to pursue new contracts, cost efficiencies and operating improvements of its assets. Such improvements in the past have included new production and acreage commitments, reducing fuel gas and flare volumes and improving facility capacity and NGL recoveries. The Partnership will also continue to optimize existing plant assets to improve and maximize capacity and throughput.

In addition to routine annual maintenance expenses, the Partnership's maintenance capital expenditures have averaged approximately \$83.2 million per year over the last four years, which included \$20.4 million of maintenance capital from TPL in the last ten months of 2015. We believe that the Partnership's assets are well-maintained and anticipate that a similar level of maintenance capital expenditures will be sufficient for the Partnership to continue to operate its existing assets in a prudent, safe and cost-effective manner.

Large, diverse business mix with favorable contracts and increasing fee-based business

The Partnership maintains gas gathering and processing positions in strategic oil and gas producing areas across multiple basins and provides services under attractive contract terms to a diverse mix of customers across its areas of operation. Consequently, the Partnership is not dependent on any one oil and gas basin or customer. The Partnership's Logistics and Marketing assets are typically located near key market hubs and near most of its NGL customers. They also serve must-run portions of the natural gas value chain, are primarily fee-based and have a diverse mix of customers.

The Partnership's contract portfolio has attractive rate and term characteristics including a significant fee-based component, especially in its Logistics and Marketing segment. The Partnership's expected continued growth of the fee-based Logistics and Marketing segment may result in increasing fee-based cash flow.

Financial flexibility

The Partnership has historically maintained a conservative leverage ratio and ample liquidity and has funded its growth investments with a mix of equity and debt over time. Disciplined management of leverage, liquidity and commodity price volatility allows the Partnership to be flexible in its long-term growth strategy and enable it to pursue strategic acquisitions and large growth projects.

Experienced and long-term focused management team

Our current executive management team includes a number of individuals who formed us in 2004 and several others who managed many of our businesses prior to acquisition by Targa. They possess a breadth and depth of experience working in the midstream energy business. Other officers and key operational, commercial and financial employees provide significant experience in the industry and with its assets and businesses.

Attractive cash flow characteristics

The Partnership believes that its strategy, combined with its high-quality asset portfolio, allows it to generate attractive cash flows. Geographic, business and customer diversity enhances its cash flow profile. The Partnership's Gathering and Processing segment has a contract mix that is primarily percent-of-proceeds, but also has increasing components of fee-based revenues, from some fee-based basins, from fees added to percent-of-proceeds contracts for natural gas treating and compression, from new/amended contracts with a combination of percent-of-proceeds and fee-based and from essentially fully fee-based crude oil gathering and gas gathering and processing in its Williston Basin and SouthTX assets. The Coastal Straddle plants' contracts are primarily hybrid (percent-of-liquids with a fee floor) or percent-of-liquids contracts. Contracts in the Downstream Business are predominately fee-based based on volumes and contracted rates, with a large take-or-pay component. The Partnership's contract mix, along with its commodity hedging program, serves to mitigate the impact of commodity price movements on cash flow.

The Partnership has hedged the commodity price risk associated with a portion of its expected natural gas, NGL and condensate equity volumes through 2018 by entering into financially settled derivative transactions. These transactions include swaps, futures, purchased puts (or floors) and costless collars. The primary purpose of its commodity risk management activities is to hedge its exposure to price risk and to mitigate the impact of fluctuations in commodity prices on cash flow. The Partnership has intentionally tailored its hedges to approximate specific NGL products and to approximate its actual NGL and residue natural gas delivery points. Although the degree of hedging will vary, the Partnership intends to continue to manage some of its exposure to commodity prices by entering into similar hedge transactions. The Partnership also monitors and manages its inventory levels with a view to mitigate losses related to downward price exposure.

Asset base well-positioned for organic growth

We believe that the Partnership's asset platform and strategic locations allow the Partnership to maintain and potentially grow its volumes and related cash flows as its supply areas benefit from continued exploration and development over time. Technology advances have resulted in increased domestic oil and liquids-rich gas drilling and production activity. While recent commodity price levels have impacted activity, the location of its assets provides the Partnership with access to natural gas and crude oil supplies and proximity to end-user markets and liquid market hubs while positioning the Partnership to capitalize on drilling and production activity in those areas. The Partnership's existing infrastructure has the capacity to handle some incremental increases in volumes without significant investments as well as opportunities to leverage existing assets with meaningful expansions. We believe that as domestic supply and demand for natural gas, crude oil and NGLs, and services for each grows over the long term, the Partnership's infrastructure will increase in value as such infrastructure takes on increasing importance in meeting that growing supply and demand.

While we have set forth the Partnership's strategies and competitive strengths above, its business involves numerous risks and uncertainties which may prevent the Partnership from executing its strategies or impact the amount of distributions to limited partners. These risks include the adverse impact of changes in natural gas, NGL and condensate/crude oil prices or in the supply of or demand for these commodities, and its inability to access sufficient additional production to replace natural declines in production. For a more complete description of the risks associated with an investment in the Partnership, see "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016.

The Partnership's Relationship with Us

We have used the Partnership as a growth vehicle to pursue the acquisition and expansion of midstream natural gas, NGL, crude oil and other complementary energy businesses and assets as evidenced by the Partnership's acquisitions of businesses from us. However, we are not prohibited from competing with the Partnership and may evaluate acquisitions and dispositions that do not involve the Partnership. In addition, through its relationship with us, the Partnership has access to a significant pool of management talent, strong commercial relationships throughout the energy industry and access to our broad operational, commercial, technical, risk management and administrative infrastructure.

As of December 31, 2015, we and our named executive officers and directors had a significant ownership interest in the Partnership through our ownership of approximately 9.1% limited partner interest and our 2% general partner interest. As a result of the TRC/TRP Merger, which was completed on February 17, 2016, Targa owns all of the outstanding TRP common units and the IDRs. The Partnership Agreement with us governs our relationship regarding certain reimbursement and indemnification matters. See "Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence" in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016.

The Partnership does not have any employees to carry out its operations. We employ approximately 1,870 people. See "—Employees." We charge the Partnership for all the direct costs of the employees assigned to its operations, as well as all general and administrative support costs other than our direct support costs of being a separate reporting company and our cost of providing management and support services to certain unaffiliated spun-off entities. The Partnership generally reimburses us for cost allocations to the extent that the Partnership has required a current cash outlay by us.

The Partnership's Challenges

The Partnership faces a number of challenges in implementing its business strategy. For example:

- The Partnership has a substantial amount of indebtedness which may adversely affect its financial position.
- The Partnership's cash flow is affected by supply and demand for crude oil, natural gas and NGL products and by natural gas, NGL and condensate prices, and decreases in these prices could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

- The Partnership's growth strategy requires access to new capital. Volatile capital markets with uncertain access or increased competition for investment opportunities could impair the Partnership's ability to grow.
- The Partnership's long-term success depends on its ability to obtain new sources of supplies of natural gas, crude oil and NGLs, which is subject to certain factors beyond the Partnership's control. Any decrease in supplies of natural gas, crude oil or NGLs could adversely affect its business and operating results.
- Although the Partnership believes it has a large, diverse customer base, the Partnership is subject to counterparty risk which could adversely affect our financial position.
- The Partnership's hedging activities may not be effective in reducing the variability of the Partnership's cash flows and may, in certain circumstances, increase the variability of the Partnership's cash flows.
- If the Partnership does not successfully make acquisitions on economically acceptable terms or efficiently and effectively integrate assets from acquisitions, its results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.
- The Partnership is subject to regulatory, environmental, political, legal and economic risks, which could adversely affect the Partnership's results of operations and financial condition.
- The Partnership's industry is highly competitive, and increased competitive pressure could adversely affect the Partnership's business and operating results.

For a further discussion of these and other challenges the Partnership faces, please read "Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016.

The Partnership's Business Operations

The Partnership's operations are reported in two segments: (i) Gathering and Processing, and (ii) Logistics and Marketing (also referred to as the Downstream Business).

Gathering and Processing Segment

The Partnership's Gathering and Processing segment consists of gathering, compressing, dehydrating, treating, conditioning, processing, and marketing natural gas and gathering crude oil. The gathering of natural gas consists of aggregating natural gas produced from various wells through small diameter gathering lines to processing plants. Natural gas has a widely varying composition depending on the field, the formation and the reservoir from which it is produced. The processing of natural gas consists of the extraction of imbedded NGLs and the removal of water vapor and other contaminants to form (i) a stream of marketable natural gas, commonly referred to as residue gas, and (ii) a stream of mixed NGLs. Once processed, the residue gas is transported to markets through pipelines that are owned by either the gatherers and processors or third parties. End-users of residue gas include large commercial and industrial customers, as well as natural gas and electric utilities serving individual consumers. The Partnership sells its residue gas either directly to such end-users or to marketers into intrastate or interstate pipelines, which are typically located in close proximity or with ready access to its facilities. The gathering of crude oil consists of aggregating crude oil production primarily through gathering pipeline systems, which deliver crude oil to a combination of other pipelines, rail and truck.

The Partnership continually seeks new supplies of natural gas and crude oil, both to offset the natural decline in production from connected wells and to increase throughput volumes. The Partnership obtains additional natural gas and crude oil supply in its operating areas by contracting for production from new wells or by capturing existing production currently gathered by others. Competition for new natural gas and crude oil supplies is based primarily on location of assets, commercial terms including pre-existing contracts, service levels and access to markets. The commercial terms of natural gas gathering and processing arrangements and crude oil gathering are driven, in part, by capital costs, which are impacted by the proximity of systems to the supply source and by operating costs, which are impacted by operational efficiencies, facility design and economies of scale.

The Partnership believes its extensive asset base and scope of operations in the regions in which it operates provide it with significant opportunities to add both new and existing natural gas and crude oil production to its areas of operations. The Partnership believes its size and scope give it a strong competitive position through close proximity to a large number of existing and new producing wells in its areas of operations, allowing it to generate economies of scale and to provide its customers with access to its existing facilities and to end-use markets and market hubs. Additionally, the Partnership believes its ability to serve its customers' needs across the natural gas and NGL value chain further augments its ability to attract new customers.

Gathering and Processing Segment

The Gathering and Processing segment's assets are located in the Permian Basin of West Texas and Southeast New Mexico; the Eagle Ford Shale in South Texas; the Barnett Shale in North Texas; the Anadarko, Ardmore, and Arkoma Basins in Oklahoma and South Central Kansas; the Williston Basin in North Dakota and in the onshore and near offshore regions of the Louisiana Gulf Coast and the Gulf of Mexico.

Excluding assets located in the onshore region of the Louisiana Gulf Coast, the natural gas processed in this segment is supplied through its gathering systems which, in aggregate, consist of approximately 23,630 miles of natural gas pipelines and include 28 owned and operated processing plants. During 2015, the Partnership processed an average of 2,344.2 MMcf/d of natural gas and produced an average of 223.6 MBbl/d of NGLs. In addition to the Partnership's natural gas gathering and processing, its Badlands operations include a crude oil gathering system and four terminals with crude oil operational storage capacity of 125 MBbl.

The Partnership's Gathering and Processing segment also has assets located in the onshore region of the Louisiana Gulf Coast, accessing natural gas from the Gulf Coast and the Gulf of Mexico. With the strategic location of its assets in Louisiana, the Partnership has access to the Henry Hub, the largest natural gas hub in the U.S., and to a substantial NGL distribution system with access to markets throughout Louisiana and the southeast U.S. For the year ended 2015, the Partnership processed an average of 897.0 MMcf/d of plant natural gas inlet and produced an average of 41.8 MBbl/d of NGLs.

The Partnership believes it is well positioned as a gatherer and processor in the Permian Basin, Eagle Ford Shale, Barnett Shale, Anadarko, Ardmore, Arkoma and Williston Basins. The Partnership believes proximity to production and development activities allows it to compete for new supplies of natural gas and crude oil partially because of its lower competitive cost to connect new wells, process additional natural gas in its existing processing plants and because of its reputation for reliability. Additionally, because the Partnership operates all of its plants, which are often interconnected in these regions, it is often able to redirect natural gas among its processing plants, providing operational flexibility and allowing it to optimize processing efficiency and further improve the profitability of its operations.

The Gathering and Processing segment's operations consist of SAOU, WestTX, Sand Hills, Versado, SouthTX, North Texas, SouthOK, WestOK, Coastal and Badlands each as described below:

SAOU

SAOU includes approximately 1,650 miles of pipelines in the Permian Basin that gather natural gas for delivery to the Mertzon, Sterling, Conger and High Plains processing plants. SAOU is connected to thousands of producing wells and over 840 central delivery points. SAOU's processing facilities are refrigerated cryogenic processing plants with an aggregate processing capacity of approximately 369 MMcf/d. These plants have residue gas connections to pipelines owned by affiliates of Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos"), Enterprise Products Partners L.P. ("EPD"), Kinder Morgan, Inc. ("Kinder Morgan"), Northern Natural Gas Company ("Northern") and ONEOK, Inc. ("ONEOK").

WestTX

The WestTX gathering system has approximately 4,050 miles of natural gas gathering pipelines located across nine counties within the Permian Basin in West Texas. The Partnership has an approximate 72.8% ownership in the WestTX system. Pioneer, the largest active driller in the Spraberry and Wolfberry Trends and a major producer in the Permian Basin, owns the remaining interest in the WestTX system.

The WestTX system includes five separate plants: the Consolidator, Driver, Midkiff, Benedum and Edward processing facilities. The WestTX processing operations have an aggregate processing name-plate capacity of

approximately 655 MMcf/d. To facilitate increased Spraberry production, the Partnership is constructing a new 200 MMcf/d cryogenic processing plant, known as the Buffalo plant, which is expected to be placed in service during the second quarter of 2016. The Buffalo plant will increase the WestTX aggregate processing name-plate capacity to approximately 855 MMcf/d.

The WestTX system has access to natural gas take-away pipelines owned by Atmos; El Paso Natural Gas Company; Kinder Morgan; Enterprise Interstate, LLC; and Northern. On January 1, 2016, the Partnership began selling its NGL production at WestTX to its Logistics and Marketing segment.

Sand Hills

The Sand Hills operations consist of the Sand Hills and Puckett gathering systems in West Texas. These systems consist of approximately 1,550 miles of natural gas gathering pipelines. These gathering systems are primarily low-pressure gathering systems with significant compression assets. The Sand Hills refrigerated cryogenic processing plant has a gross processing capacity of 165 MMcf/d and residue gas connections to pipelines owned by affiliates of EPD, Kinder Morgan and ONEOK.

Versado

Versado consists of the Saunders, Eunice and Monument gas processing plants and related gathering systems in Southeastern New Mexico and in West Texas. Versado includes approximately 3,450 miles of natural gas gathering pipelines. The Saunders, Eunice and Monument refrigerated cryogenic processing plants have aggregate processing capacity of 240 MMcf/d (151 MMcf/d, net to the Partnership's ownership interest). These plants have residue gas connections to pipelines owned by affiliates of Kinder Morgan and MidAmerican Energy Company. The Partnership's ownership in Versado is held through Versado Gas Processors, L.L.C., a consolidated joint venture that is 63% owned by the Partnership and 37% owned by Chevron U.S.A. Inc.

SouthTX

The SouthTX gathering system includes approximately 550 miles of gathering pipelines located in the Eagle Ford Shale in southern Texas. Included in the total SouthTX pipeline mileage is a 75% interest in T2 LaSalle Gathering Company L.L.C. ("T2 LaSalle"), which has approximately 60 miles of gathering pipelines, and a 50% interest in T2 Eagle Ford Gathering Company L.L.C. ("T2 Eagle Ford"), which has approximately 175 miles of gathering pipelines. T2 LaSalle and T2 Eagle Ford are operated by a subsidiary of Southcross Holdings, L.P. ("Southcross"), which owns the remaining interests.

The SouthTX system processes natural gas through the Silver Oak I and II processing plants. The Silver Oak I and II facilities are each 200 MMcf/d cryogenic plants located in Bee County, Texas. The Partnership owns 90% of the Silver Oak II processing plant and Sanchez owns the remaining interest. The SouthTX system includes a 50% interest in Carnero Gathering, LLC and a 50% interest in Carnero Processing, LLC (together, the "Carnero Joint Ventures"). Sanchez owns the remaining interest in the Carnero Joint Ventures. The Carnero Joint Ventures were formed in October 2015 for the purposes of constructing a 200 MMcf/d cryogenic plant and approximately 45 miles of high pressure gathering pipelines that will connect Sanchez's Catarina gathering system to the new plant. The Partnership is currently constructing the Carnero processing and gathering facilities and will operate them after completion.

The SouthTX assets also include a 50% interest in T2 EF Cogeneration Holdings L.L.C. ("T2 Cogen", together with T2 LaSalle and T2 Eagle Ford, the "T2 Joint Ventures"), which owns a cogeneration facility. T2 Cogen is operated by Southcross, which owns the remaining interest in T2 Cogen.

The SouthTX system has access to natural gas take-away pipelines owned by Enterprise Intrastate, LLC; Kinder Morgan; Tejas Pipeline LLC, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America; Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, LLC; and Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line. The Partnership sells a portion of its NGL production at SouthTX to DCP Midstream Partners LP ("DCP") under a legacy Atlas exchange contract, which expires in 2029. The remaining portion of NGL production at SouthTX is purchased by the Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment.

North Texas

North Texas includes two interconnected gathering systems in the Fort Worth Basin, including the Barnett Shale and Marble Falls plays, with approximately 4,550 miles of pipelines gathering wellhead natural gas for the Chico, Shackelford and Longhorn natural gas processing facilities. These plants have residue gas connections to pipelines owned by affiliates of Atmos, Energy Transfer Fuel LP and EPD.

The Chico gathering system consists of approximately 2,550 miles of gathering pipelines located in the Montague, Wise and Clay Counties in North Texas. Wellhead natural gas is either gathered for the Chico or Longhorn plants located in Wise County, Texas, and then compressed for processing, or it is compressed in the field at numerous compressor stations and then moved via one of several high-pressure gathering pipelines to the Chico or Longhorn plants. The Chico plant has an aggregated processing capacity of 265 MMcf/d and an integrated fractionation capacity of 15 MBbl/d. The Longhorn plant has a capacity of 200 MMcf/d. The Shackelford gathering system includes approximately 2,000 miles of gathering pipelines and gathers wellhead natural gas largely for the Shackelford plant in Albany, Texas. Natural gas gathered from the northern and eastern portions of the Shackelford gathering system is typically compressed in the field at numerous compressor stations and then transported to the Chico plant for processing. The Shackelford plant has an aggregate processing capacity of 13 MMcf/d.

SouthOK

The SouthOK gathering system is located in the Ardmore and Anadarko Basins and includes the Golden Trend, SCOOP, and Woodford Shale areas of southern Oklahoma. The gathering system has approximately 1,500 miles of active pipelines.

The SouthOK system includes six separate processing plants: Velma, Velma V-60, Coalgate, Atoka, Stonewall and Tupelo. The SouthOK processing operations have a total name-plate capacity of 580 MMcf/d. The Coalgate, Atoka and Stonewall facilities are owned by Centrahoma Processing, LLC ("Centrahoma"), a joint venture that the Partnership operates, and in which it has a 60% ownership interest; the remaining 40% ownership interest is held by MPLX, LP.

The SouthOK system has access to natural gas take-away pipelines owned by Enable Oklahoma Intrastate Transmission, LLC; MPLX, LP; Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America; ONEOK and Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc. The Partnership sells its NGL production at SouthOK to ONEOK under two separate agreements. The Velma agreement has a primary term expiring at the end of 2016. A portion of the Arkoma agreement has a term expiring in 2018, with the remainder having a primary term that expires in 2024. The Partnership will sell its NGL production from the Velma processing facilities to its Logistics and Marketing segment upon the expiration of the Velma ONEOK agreement. These NGL sales agreements were assumed as part of the Atlas mergers.

WestOK

The WestOK gathering system is located in north central Oklahoma and southern Kansas' Anadarko Basin. The gathering system has approximately 6,100 miles of natural gas gathering pipelines.

The WestOK system processes natural gas through three separate cryogenic natural gas processing plants at the Waynoka I and II and the Chester facilities; and one refrigeration plant at the Chaney Dell facility. The WestOK system has access to natural gas take-away pipelines owned by Enogex LLC; Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, LP; and Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc. On January 1, 2016, the Partnership began selling its NGL production at WestOK to its Logistics and Marketing segment.

Coastal

LOU consists of approximately 900 miles of onshore gathering system pipelines in Southwest Louisiana. The gathering system is connected to numerous producing wells, central delivery points and/or pipeline interconnects in the area between Lafayette and Lake Charles, Louisiana. The gathering system is a high-pressure gathering system that delivers natural gas for processing to either the Acadia or Gillis plants via three main trunk lines. The processing facilities include the Gillis and Acadia processing plants, both of which are cryogenic plants. The Big Lake plant, also cryogenic, is located near the LOU gathering system. These processing plants have an aggregate processing capacity of approximately 440 MMcf/d. In addition, the Gillis plant has integrated fractionation with operating capacity of approximately 11 MBbl/d which is interconnected with the Lake Charles Fractionator. The LOU gathering system is also interconnected with the Lowry gas plant, allowing receipt or delivery of gas.

Coastal Straddles process natural gas produced from shallow-water central and western Gulf of Mexico natural gas wells and from deep shelf and deep-water Gulf of Mexico production via connections to third-party pipelines or through pipelines owned by the Partnership. Coastal Straddles has access to markets across the U.S. through the interstate natural gas pipelines to which they are interconnected. The industry continues to rationalize gas processing capacity along the Gulf Coast by moving gas from older, less efficient plants to higher efficiency cryogenic plants. For example, in the last two years, the Yscloskey, Stingray and Calumet plants have been shut-down, with most of the producer volumes going to more efficient Targa plants such as its Venice, Lowry and Barracuda plants.

Through the Partnership's 76.8% ownership interest in Venice Energy Services Company, L.L.C., it operates the Venice gas plant, which has an aggregate processing capacity of 750 MMcf/d and the Venice Gathering System ("VGS") that is approximately 150 miles in length and has a nominal capacity of 320 MMcf/d (collectively "VESCO"). VESCO receives unprocessed gas directly or indirectly from seven offshore pipelines and gas gathering systems including the VGS system. VGS gathers natural gas from the shallow waters of the eastern Gulf of Mexico and supplies the VESCO gas plant.

Other Coastal Straddles consists of two wholly owned and operated gas processing plants (one now idled) and three partially owned plants which are not operated by the Partnership. These plants, having an aggregate processing capacity of approximately 3,255 MMcf/d, are generally situated on mainline natural gas pipelines near the coastline and process volumes of natural gas collected from multiple offshore gathering systems and pipelines throughout the Gulf of Mexico. Coastal Straddles also has ownership in two offshore gathering systems that are operated by the Partnership. The Pelican and Seahawk gathering systems have a combined length of approximately 200 miles and a combined capacity of approximately 230 MMcf/d. These systems gather natural gas from the shallow waters of the central Gulf of Mexico and supply a portion of the natural gas delivered to the Barracuda and Lowry processing facilities.

Badlands

The Badlands operations are located in the Bakken and Three Forks Shale plays of the Williston Basin in North Dakota and include approximately 350 miles of crude oil gathering pipelines, 40 MBbl of operational crude storage capacity at the Johnsons Corner Terminal, 30 MBbl of operational crude storage capacity at the Alexander Terminal, 30 MBbl of operational crude oil storage at New Town and 25 MBbl of operational crude oil storage at Stanley. The Badlands assets also includes approximately 180 miles of natural gas gathering pipelines and the Little Missouri natural gas processing plant with a gross processing capacity of approximately 90 MMcf/d. A third train was installed at the Little Missouri plant site which increased processing capacity by an incremental 40 MMcf/d and was completed in January 2015 bringing total processing capacity to approximately 90 MMcf/d.

The following table lists the Gathering and Processing segment's processing plants, natural gas processing plants and related volumes for the year ended December 31, 2015:

	%		Estimated Gross Processing Capacity	Reported Plant Natural Gas Inlet Throughput Volume (MMcf/d)	Gross NGL Production (MBbl/d) (2)	Process	
Facility SAOU	Owned	Location	<u>(MMcf/d)(1)</u>	(2) (3) (4)	(3) (4)	Type (5)	
Mertzon	100.0	Irion, TX	52.0			Cryo	Operated
Sterling	100.0	Sterling, TX	92.0			Cryo	Operated
Conger (3)	100.0	Sterling, TX	25.0			Cryo	Operated
High Plains	100.0	Midland, TX	200.0			Cryo	Operated
ingh i huno	100.0	Area Total	369.0	234.0	27.3	Ciyo	operated
WestTX (6)			505.0	234.0	27.0		
Consolidator plant	72.8	Midkiff, TX	150.0			Cryo	Operated
Driver plant	72.8	Midland, TX	200.0			Cryo	Operated
Midkiff plant	72.8	Midkiff, TX	60.0			Cryo	Operated
Benedum plant (7)	72.8	Midkiff, TX	45.0			Cryo	Operated
Edward plant	72.8	Midkiff, TX	200.0			Cryo	Operated
r		Area Total	655.0	374.0	43.4	- 5 -	
Sand Hills		Theu Polar	00010	57 110			
Sand Hills	100.0	Crane, TX	165.0			Cryo	Operated
		Area Total	165.0	163.0	17.4	5	1
Versado (8) (9)		Theu Total	10010	10010	2711		
Saunders	63.0	Lea, NM	60.0			Crvo	Operated
Eunice	63.0	Lea, NM	95.0			Cryo	Operated
Monument	63.0	Lea, NM	85.0			Cryo	Operated
		Area Total	240.0	183.2	23.4	5	1
SouthTX							
Silver Oak I	100.0	Tuleta, TX	200.0			Cryo	Operated
Silver Oak II	90.0	Tuleta, TX	200.0			Cryo	Operated
		Area Total	400.0	120.0	13.8	5	1
North Texas							
Chico (10)	100.0	Wise, TX	265.0			Cryo	Operated
Shackelford	100.0	Shackelford, TX	13.0			Cryo	Operated
Longhorn	100.0	Wise, TX	200.0			Cryo	Operated
		Area Total	478.0	347.6	39.6		
SouthOK (11)							
Atoka plant (12)	60.0	Atoka County, OK	20.0			Cryo	Operated
Coalgate plant	60.0	Coalgate, OK	80.0			Cryo	Operated
Stonewall plant	60.0	Coalgate, OK	200.0			Cryo	Operated
Tupelo plant	100.0	Coalgate, OK	120.0			Cryo	Operated
Velma plant	100.0	Velma, OK	100.0			Cryo	Operated
Velma V-60 plant	100.0	Velma, OK	60.0			Cryo	Operated
		Area Total	580.0	401.5	28.1		

WestOK (11)							
Waynoka I plant	100.0	Waynoka, OK	200.0			Cryo	Operated
Waynoka II plant	100.0	Waynoka, OK	200.0			Cryo	Operated
Chaney Dell plant (13)	100.0	Ringwood, OK	30.0			RA	Operated
Chester plant	100.0	Seiling, OK	28.0			Cryo	Operated
		Area Total	458.0	471.7	23.8		
Coastal (14)							
Gillis (15)	100.0	Calcasieu, LA	180.0			Cryo	Operated
Acadia (16)	100.0	Acadia, LA	80.0			Cryo	Operated
Big Lake	100.0	Calcasieu, LA	180.0			Cryo	Operated
VESCO (17)	76.8	Plaquemines, LA	750.0			Cryo	Operated
Barracuda	100.0	Cameron, LA	190.0			Cryo	Operated
Lowry (18)	100.0	Cameron, LA	265.0			Cryo	Operated
Terrebone	11.1	Terrebonne, LA	950.0			RA	Non-operated
Тоса	4.0	St. Bernard, LA	1,150.0			Cryo/RA	Non-operated
Sea Robin	1.0	Vermillion, LA	700.0			Cryo	Non-operated
		Area Total	4,445.0	897.0	41.8		
Badlands							
Little Missouri (19)	100.0	McKenzie, ND	90.0	49.2	6.8	(20)	Operated
	Segment System Total		7,880.0	3,241.2	265.4		

Badlands crude oil gathered for 2015 was 106.3 MBbl/d.

- (7) The Benedum plant was idled in September 2014 after the start-up of the Edward plant.
- (8) Plant natural gas inlet and NGL production volumes represent 100% of ownership interests for our consolidated Versado joint venture.
- (9) Includes throughput other than plant inlet, primarily from compressor stations.
- (10) The Chico plant has fractionation capacity of approximately 15 MBbl/d.
- Certain processing facilities in these business units are capable of processing more than their name-plate capacity and when capacity is exceeded the facilities will off-load volumes to other processors, as needed. The gross plant natural gas inlet throughput volume includes these off-loaded volumes.
 The Atoka plant was idled due to the start-up of the Stonewall Plant in May 2014.
- (12) The Atoka plant was fulled to the statt-up of the Stohewall Flant in May 2014.(13) The Chaney Dell plant was temporarily idled in December 2015 due to lower volumes in the WestOK system.
- (13) The Charley Den plant was temporarry futed in December 2013 due to lower volumes in the westork system.(14) Coastal also includes three offshore gathering systems which have a combined length of approximately 300 miles.
- (15) The Gillis plant has fractionation capacity of approximately 11 MBbl/d.
- (16) The Acadia Plant is available and operates on the LOU system as market conditions allow.
- (17) VESCO also includes an offshore gathering system with a combined length of approximately 150 miles.
- (18) The Lowry facility was idled in June 2015, but is available as market conditions allow.
- (19) Additional residue compression was added in 2014, bringing the nominal gas plant throughput capacity to 50 MMcf/d. An additional 40 MMcf/d expansion was added in January 2015, bringing the nominal capacity to 90 MMcf/d.
- (20) Little Missouri I and II are Straight Refrigeration plants and Little Missouri III is a Cryo plant.

⁽¹⁾ Gross processing capacity represents 100% of ownership interests and may differ from nameplate processing capacity due to multiple factors including items such as compression limitations, and quality and composition of the gas being processed.

⁽²⁾ Plant natural gas inlet represents the volume of natural gas passing through the meter located at the inlet of the natural gas processing plant, except for Badlands which represents the total wellhead gathered volume.

⁽³⁾ Plant natural gas inlet and NGL production volumes represent 100% of ownership interests for the Partnership's consolidated VESCO joint venture and the Partnership's ownership share of volumes for other partially owned plants which the Partnership proportionately consolidate based on its ownership interest which is adjustable subject to an annual redetermination based on its proportionate share of plant production.

⁽⁴⁾ Per day Gross Plant Natural Gas Inlet and NGL Production statistics for plants listed above are based on the number of days operational during 2015. The plants associated with the APL Merger are ten months of input based on 365 days. The Conger plant was idled due to market conditions in September 2014. The Big Lake facility was idled in November 2014 due to current narrow processing spreads, restarted in September 2015 and idled again in December 2015, but is available and operates on the LOU system as market conditions allow.

⁽⁵⁾ Cryo – Cryogenic Processing; RA – Refrigerated Absorption Processing.

⁽⁶⁾ Gross plant natural gas inlet throughput volumes and gross NGL production volumes for WestTX are presented on a pro-rata net basis representing our undivided ownership interest in WestTX, which we proportionately consolidate in our financial statements.

Logistics and Marketing Segment

The Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment is also referred to as its Downstream Business. The Partnership's Downstream Business includes the activities necessary to convert mixed NGLs into NGL products and provides certain value-added services such as the fractionation, storage, terminaling, transportation, exporting, distribution and marketing of NGLs and NGL products; the storing and terminaling of refined petroleum products and crude oil; and certain natural gas supply and marketing activities in support of the Partnership's other businesses, as well as transporting natural gas and NGLs.

The Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment is involved in transporting, storing, and fractionating mixed NGLs; storing, terminaling, and transporting finished NGLs, including services for exporting LPGs; and storing and terminaling of refined petroleum products. These assets are generally connected to and supplied in part by the Partnership's Gathering and Processing segment and are predominantly located in Mont Belvieu and Galena Park, Texas, in Lake Charles, Louisiana and in Tacoma, Washington.

The Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment covers activities required to distribute and market raw and finished NGLs and all natural gas marketing activities. It includes (1) marketing the Partnership's own NGL production and purchasing NGL products for resale in selected United States markets; (2) providing LPG balancing services to refinery customers; (3) transporting, storing and selling propane and providing related propane logistics services to multi-state retailers, independent retailers and other end-users; (4) providing propane, butane and services to LPG exporters; and (5) marketing natural gas available to the Partnership from its Gathering and Processing division and the purchase and resale and other value added activities related to third-party natural gas in selected United States markets.

The Logistics and Marketing segment also transports, distributes and markets NGLs via terminals and transportation assets across the U.S. The Partnership owns or commercially manages terminal facilities in a number of states, including Texas, Oklahoma, Louisiana, Arizona, Nevada, California, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Tennessee, Kentucky, New Jersey and Washington. The geographic diversity of the Partnership's assets provide direct access to many NGL customers as well as markets via trucks, barges, ships, rail cars and open-access regulated NGL pipelines owned by third parties. The Logistics and Marketing segment consists of (i) NGL Distribution and Marketing, (ii) Wholesale Domestic Marketing, (iii) Refinery Services, (iv) Commercial Transportation, (v) Natural Gas Marketing and (vi) Terminal Facilities.

Fractionation

After being extracted in the field, mixed NGLs, sometimes referred to as "Y-grade" or "raw NGL mix," are typically transported to a centralized facility for fractionation where the mixed NGLs are separated into discrete NGL products: ethane, ethane-propane mix, propane, normal butane, iso-butane and natural gasoline.

The Partnership's fractionation assets include ownership interests in three stand-alone fractionation facilities that are located on the Gulf Coast, two that it operates, one at Mont Belvieu, Texas and the other at Lake Charles, Louisiana. The Partnership has an equity investment in the third fractionator, GCF, also located at Mont Belvieu. The Partnership is subject to a consent decree with the Federal Trade Commission, issued December 12, 1996, that, among other things, prevents it from participating in commercial decisions regarding rates paid by third parties for fractionation services at GCF. This restriction on the Partnership's activity at GCF will terminate on December 12, 2016. In addition to the three stand-alone facilities in the Logistics Assets segment, see the description of fractionation assets in the North Texas System and LOU in the Gathering and Processing segment.

The Partnership expanded the fractionation capacity of its assets during the last three years with the following projects:

- *CBF Train 4*. In August 2013, the Partnership commissioned 100 MBbl/d of additional fractionation capacity, Train 4, at CBF, in Mont Belvieu, Texas, at a gross cost of approximately \$385 million (the Partnership's net cost was approximately \$345 million). Train 4 is supported by long-term contracts that have certain guaranteed volume commitments or provisions for deficiency payments.
- *CBF Train 5.* This expansion is currently under construction and will add 100 MBbl/d of fractionation capacity. We expect completion of Train 5 in mid-2016. The net cost to the Partnership of Train 5 is expected to be approximately \$340 million and will be supported by supply from Targa's Gas Processing Division and by long-term contracts with third parties.

The Partnership's NGL fractionation business is under fee-based arrangements. These fees are subject to adjustment for changes in certain fractionation expenses, including energy costs. The operating results of the Partnership's NGL fractionation business are dependent upon the volume of mixed NGLs fractionated, the level of fractionation fees charged and product gains/losses from fractionation.

The Partnership believes that sufficient volumes of mixed NGLs will be available for fractionation in commercially viable quantities for the foreseeable future due to historical increases in NGL production from shale plays and other shale-technology-driven resource plays in areas of the U.S. that include North Texas, South Texas, the Permian Basin, Oklahoma and the Rockies and certain other basins accessed by pipelines to Mont Belvieu, as well as from conventional production of NGLs in areas such as the Permian Basin, Mid-Continent, East Texas, South Louisiana and shelf and deep-water Gulf of Mexico. Hydrocarbon dew point specifications implemented by individual natural gas pipelines and the Policy Statement on *Provisions Governing Natural Gas Quality and Interchangeability in Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline Company Tariffs* enacted in 2006 by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") should result in volumes of mixed NGLs being available for fractionation because natural gas requires processing or conditioning to meet pipeline quality specifications. These requirements establish a base volume of mixed NGLs during periods when it might be otherwise uneconomical to process certain sources of natural gas. Furthermore, significant volumes of mixed NGLs are contractually committed to the Partnership's NGL fractionation facilities.

Although competition for NGL fractionation services is primarily based on the fractionation fee, the ability of an NGL fractionator to obtain mixed NGLs and distribute NGL products is also an important competitive factor. This ability is a function of the existence of storage infrastructure and supply and market connectivity necessary to conduct such operations. The Partnership believes that the location, scope and capability of the Partnership's logistics assets, including its transportation and distribution systems, gives the Partnership access to both substantial sources of mixed NGLs and a large number of end-use markets.

The Partnership also has a natural gasoline hydrotreater at Mont Belvieu, Texas that removes sulfur from natural gasoline, allowing customers to meet new, more stringent environmental standards. The facility has a capacity of 30 MBbl/d and is supported by long-term fee-based contracts that have certain guaranteed volume commitments or provisions for deficiency payments.

The following table details the Logistics Assets segment's fractionation and treating facilities:

Facility	% Owned	Gross Capacity _(MBbl/d) (1)	Gross Throughput for 2015 (MBbl/d)
Operated Facilities:			
Lake Charles Fractionator (Lake Charles, LA)	100.0	55.0	23.1
Cedar Bayou Fractionator (Mont Belvieu, TX) (2)	88.0	393.0	319.2
Targa LSNG Hydrotreater (Mont Belvieu, TX)	100.0	30.0	
LSNG treating volumes			22.4
Benzene treating volumes			22.4
Non-operated Facilities:			
Gulf Coast Fractionators (Mont Belvieu, TX)	38.8	125.0	114.5

(1) Actual fractionation capacities may also vary due to the Y-grade composition of the gas being processed and does not contemplate ethane rejection.

(2) Gross capacity represents 100% of the volume. Capacity includes 40 MBbl/d of additional butane/gasoline fractionation capacity.

Storage, Terminaling and Petroleum Logistics

In general, the Partnership's NGL storage assets provide warehousing of mixed NGLs, NGL products and petrochemical products in underground wells, which allows for the injection and withdrawal of such products at various times in order to meet supply and demand cycles. Similarly, the Partnership's terminaling operations provide the inbound/outbound logistics and warehousing of mixed NGLs, NGL products and petrochemical products in above-ground storage tanks. The Partnership's NGL underground storage and terminaling facilities serve single markets, such as propane, as well as multiple products and markets. For example, the Mont Belvieu and Galena Park facilities have extensive pipeline connections for mixed NGL supply and delivery of component NGLs. In addition, some of the Partnership's facilities are connected to marine, rail and truck loading and unloading facilities that provide services and products to customers. The Partnership provides long and short-term storage and terminaling services and throughput capability to third-party customers for a fee.

The Partnership's Petroleum Logistics business owns and operates storage and terminaling facilities in Texas, Maryland and Washington. These facilities not only serve the refined petroleum products and crude oil markets, but also include LPGs and biofuels.

Across the Logistics and Marketing segment, the Partnership owns or operates a total of 39 storage wells at its facilities with a net storage capacity of approximately 64 MMBbl, the usage of which may be limited by brine handling capacity, which is utilized to displace NGLs from storage.

The Partnership operates its storage and terminaling facilities to support its key fractionation facilities at Mont Belvieu and Lake Charles for receipt of mixed NGLs and storage of fractionated NGLs to service the petrochemical, refinery, export and heating customers/markets as well as its wholesale domestic terminals that focus on logistics to service its heating market customer base. In September 2013, the Partnership commissioned Phase I of the international export expansion project that includes facilities at both Mont Belvieu and the Galena Park Marine Terminal near Houston, Texas. Phase I of the project expanded its export capability to approximately 3.5 to 4 MMBbl per month of propane and/or butane. Included in the Phase I expansion was the capability to export international grade low ethane propane. With the completion of Phase I, the Partnership also added capabilities to load VLGC vessels alongside the small and medium sized export vessels that it loads for export. The Partnership completed Phase II of the international export expansion project in the third quarter of 2014, which added approximately 3 MMBbl per month of export capacity. The Partnership continues to experience demand growth for US-based NGLs (both propane and butane) for export into international markets.

The Partnership's fractionation, storage and terminaling business is supported by approximately 900 miles of company-owned pipelines to transport mixed NGLs and specification products.

The following table details the Logistics and Marketing segment's NGL storage facilities at December 31, 2015:

<u>Facility</u>	% Owned	Location	Number of Permitted Wells	Gross Storage Capacity (MMBbl)
Hackberry Storage (Lake Charles)	100	Cameron, LA	12(1)	20.0
Mont Belvieu Storage	100	Chambers, TX	21(2)	46.5

(1) Five of 12 owned wells leased to Citgo Petroleum Corporation under long-term leases.

(2) Excludes five non-owned wells the Partnership operates on behalf of Chevron Phillips Chemical Company LLC ("CPC"). Includes the first of four new permitted wells, which became operational in June 2015. The second new well, which has been drilled and is in the process of being washed.

The following table details the Logistics and Marketing segment's NGL and Petroleum Terminal Facilities for the year ended December 31, 2015:

Facility	% Owned	Location	Description	Throughput for 2015 (Million gallons)	Usable Storage Capacity (MMBbl)
Galena Park Terminal (1)	100	Harris, TX	NGL import/export terminal,		
			chemicals	3,585.9	0.7
Mont Belvieu Terminal	100	Chambers, TX	Transport and storage terminal	17,039.2	41.7
Hackberry Terminal	100	Cameron, LA	Storage terminal	982.5	17.8
Channelview Terminal	100	Harris, TX	Refined products, crude - transport		
			and storage terminal	249.0	0.6
Baltimore Terminal	100	Baltimore, MD	Refined products - transport and		
			storage terminal	25.0	0.5
Sound Terminal	100	Pierce, WA	Refined products, crude oil/propane		
			- transport and storage terminal	460.0	1.4
Patriot	100	Harris, TX	Dock and land for expansion (Not in		
			service)	N/A	N/A

(1) Volumes reflect total import and export across the dock/terminal and may also include volumes that have also been handled at the Mont Belvieu Terminal.

NGL Distribution and Marketing

The Partnership markets its own NGL production and also purchases component NGL products from other NGL producers and marketers for resale. Additionally, the Partnership also purchases product for resale in its Logistics segment, including exports. During the year ended December 31, 2015, its distribution and marketing services business sold an average of approximately 432.3 MBbl/d of NGLs. The Partnership generally purchases mixed NGLs at a monthly pricing index less applicable fractionation, transportation and marketing fees and resell these component products to petrochemical manufacturers, refineries and other marketing and retail companies. This is primarily a physical settlement business in which the Partnership earns margins from purchasing and selling NGL products from customers under contract. The Partnership also earns margins by purchasing and reselling NGL products in the spot and forward physical markets. To effectively serve its Distribution and Marketing customers, the Partnership contracts for and uses many of the assets included in its Logistics Assets segment.

Wholesale Domestic Marketing

The Partnership's wholesale domestic propane marketing operations primarily sell propane and related logistics services to major multi-state retailers, independent retailers and other end-users. The Partnership's propane supply primarily originates from both its refinery/gas supply contracts and other owned or managed logistics and marketing assets. The Partnership sells propane at a fixed posted price or at a market index basis at the time of delivery and in some circumstances, it earns margin on a netback basis.

The wholesale propane marketing business is significantly impacted by seasonal and weather-driven demand, particularly in the winter, which can impact the price and volume of propane sold in the markets the Partnership serves.

Refinery Services

In the Partnership's refinery services business, it typically provides NGL balancing services via contractual arrangements with refiners to purchase and/or market propane and to supply butanes. The Partnership uses its commercial transportation assets (discussed below) and contracts for and uses the storage, transportation and distribution assets included in its Logistics Assets segment to assist refinery customers in managing their NGL product demand and production schedules. This includes both feedstocks consumed in refinery processes and the excess NGLs produced by other refining processes. Under typical netback purchase contracts, the Partnership generally retains a portion of the resale price of NGL sales or receives a fixed minimum fee per gallon on products sold. Under netback sales contracts, fees are earned for locating and supplying NGL feedstocks to the refineries based on a percentage of the cost to obtain such supply or a minimum fee per gallon.

Key factors impacting the results of the Partnership's refinery services business include production volumes, prices of propane and butanes, as well as its ability to perform receipt, delivery and transportation services in order to meet refinery demand.

Commercial Transportation

The Partnership's NGL transportation and distribution infrastructure includes a wide range of assets supporting both third-party customers and the delivery requirements of its marketing and asset management business. The Partnership provides fee-based transportation services to refineries and petrochemical companies throughout the Gulf Coast area. The Partnership's assets are also deployed to serve its wholesale distribution terminals, fractionation facilities, underground storage facilities and pipeline injection terminals. These distribution assets provide a variety of ways to transport products to and from the Partnership's customers.

The Partnership's transportation assets, as of December 31, 2015, include approximately 700 railcars that the Partnership leases and manages; approximately 80 owned and leased transport tractors and 20 company-owned pressurized NGL barges.

Natural Gas Marketing

The Partnership also markets natural gas available to it from the Gathering and Processing segment, purchases and resells natural gas in selected U.S. markets and manages the scheduling and logistics for these activities.

The following table details the Logistics and Marketing segment's Terminal Facilities:

Facility	% Owned	Location	Description	Throughput for 2015 (Million gallons) (1)	Usable Storage Capacity (Million gallons)
Calvert City Terminal	100	Marshall, KY	Propane terminal	9.9	0.1
Greenville Terminal	100	Washington, MS	Marine propane terminal	19.9	1.5
Port Everglades Terminal	100	Broward, FL	Marine propane terminal	7.2	1.6
Tyler Terminal	100	Smith, TX	Propane terminal	7.5	0.2
Abilene Transport (2)	100	Taylor, TX	Raw NGL transport terminal		0.1
Bridgeport Transport (2)	100	Jack, TX	Raw NGL transport terminal	—	0.1
Gladewater Transport (2)	100	Gregg, TX	Raw NGL transport terminal		0.3
Chattanooga Terminal	100	Hamilton, TN	Propane terminal	10.2	0.9
Sparta Terminal	100	Sparta, NJ	Propane terminal	14.0	0.2
Hattiesburg Terminal (3)	50	Forrest, MS	Propane terminal	363.1	302.0
Winona Terminal	100	Flagstaff, AZ	Propane terminal	16.0	0.3
Sound Terminal (4)	100	Pierce, WA	Propane terminal	6.0	0.2
Eagle Lake Transload (5)	100	Polk, FL	Propane terminal	5.8	_

(1) Throughputs include volumes related to exchange agreements and third party storage agreements.

(2) Volumes reflect total transport and injection volumes.

(3) Throughput volume reflects 100% of the facility capacity.

(4) Included in the Logistics Assets segment.

(5) Rail-to-truck transload equipment.

Operational Risks and Insurance

The Partnership is subject to all risks inherent in the midstream natural gas, crude oil and petroleum logistics businesses. These risks include, but are not limited to, explosions, fires, mechanical failure, terrorist attacks, product spillage, weather, nature and inadequate maintenance of rights-of-way and could result in damage to or destruction of operating assets and other property, or could result in personal injury, loss of life or environmental pollution, as well as curtailment or suspension of operations at the affected facility. We maintain, on behalf of ourselves and our subsidiaries, including the Partnership, general public liability, property, boiler and machinery and business interruption insurance in amounts that we consider to be appropriate for such risks. Such insurance is subject to deductibles that we consider reasonable and not excessive given the current insurance market environment. For example, following Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005, insurance premiums, deductibles and co-insurance requirements increased substantially, and terms were generally less favorable than terms that could be obtained prior to such hurricanes. Insurance market conditions worsened as a result of the losses sustained from Hurricanes Gustav and Ike in September 2008. As a result, the Partnership experienced further increases in deductibles and premiums, and further reductions in coverage and limits, with some coverage unavailable at any cost.

The occurrence of a significant loss that is not fully insured or indemnified against, or the failure of a party to meet its indemnification obligations, could materially and adversely affect the Partnership's operations and the Partnership's and our financial condition. While we currently maintain levels and types of insurance that we believe to be prudent under current insurance industry market conditions, our inability to secure these levels and types of insurance in the future could negatively impact the Partnership business operations and the Partnership's and our financial stability, particularly if an uninsured loss were to occur. No assurance can be given that we will be able to maintain these levels of insurance in the future at rates considered commercially reasonable, particularly named windstorm coverage and contingent business interruption coverage for our onshore operations.

Competition

The Partnership faces strong competition in acquiring new natural gas or crude oil supplies. Competition for natural gas and crude oil supplies is primarily based on the location of gathering and processing facilities, pricing arrangements, reputation, efficiency, flexibility, reliability and access to end-use markets or liquid marketing hubs. Competitors to the Partnership's gathering and processing operations include other natural gas gatherers and processors, such as major interstate and intrastate pipeline companies, master limited partnerships and oil and gas producers. The Partnership's major competitors for natural gas supplies in our current operating

regions include Kinder Morgan, WTG Gas Processing, L.P. ("WTG"), DCP, Devon Energy Corporation ("Devon"), Enbridge Inc., Enlink Midstream Partners, Energy Transfer Partners, L.P., ONEOK, Gulf South Pipeline Company, LP, Hanlon Gas Processing, Ltd., J-W Operating Company, Louisiana Intrastate Gas Company L.L.C. and several other interstate pipeline companies. The Partnership's competitors for crude oil gathering services in North Dakota include Crestwood Equity Partners LP, Kinder Morgan, Great Northern Midstream LLC, Caliber Midstream Partners, L.P. and Bridger Pipeline LLC. The Partnership's competitors may have greater financial resources than it possesses.

The Partnership also competes for NGL products to market through its Logistics and Marketing segment. The Partnership's competitors include major oil and gas producers who market NGL products for their own account and for others. Additionally, the Partnership competes with several other NGL marketing companies, including EPD, DCP, ONEOK and BP p.l.c.

Additionally, the Partnership faces competition for mixed NGLs supplies at its fractionation facilities. Its competitors include large oil, natural gas and petrochemical companies. The fractionators in which the Partnership owns an interest in the Mont Belvieu region compete for volumes of mixed NGLs with other fractionators also located at Mont Belvieu, Texas. Among the primary competitors are EPD, ONEOK and LoneStar NGL LLC. In addition, certain producers fractionate mixed NGLs for their own account in captive facilities. The Mont Belvieu fractionators also compete on a more limited basis with fractionators in Conway, Kansas and a number of decentralized, smaller fractionation facilities in Texas, Louisiana and New Mexico. The Partnership's other fractionation facilities compete for mixed NGLs with the fractionators at Mont Belvieu as well as other fractionation facilities located in Louisiana. The Partnership's customers who are significant producers of mixed NGLs and NGL products or consumers of NGL products may develop their own fractionation facilities in lieu of using the Partnerships' services. Its primary competitor in providing export services to its customers is EPD.

Regulation of Operations

Regulation of pipeline gathering and transportation services, natural gas sales and transportation of NGLs may affect certain aspects of the Partnership's business and the market for its products and services.

Regulation of Interstate Natural Gas Pipelines

VGS is regulated by FERC under the Natural Gas Act of 1938 ("NGA"), and the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 ("NGPA"). VGS operates under a FERCapproved, open-access tariff that establishes the rates and the terms and conditions under which the system provides services to its customers. Pursuant to FERC's jurisdiction, existing pipeline rates and/or terms and conditions of service may be challenged by customer complaint or by FERC and proposed rate changes or changes in the terms and conditions of service may be challenged by protest. Generally, FERC's authority extends to: transportation of natural gas; rates and charges for natural gas transportation; certification and construction of new facilities; extension or abandonment of services and facilities; maintenance of accounts and records; commercial relationships and communications between pipelines and certain affiliates; terms and conditions of service and service contracts with customers; depreciation and amortization policies; and acquisition and disposition of facilities.

VGS holds a certificate of public convenience and necessity issued by FERC permitting the construction, ownership, and operation of its interstate natural gas pipeline facilities and the provision of transportation services. This certificate authorization requires VGS to provide on a nondiscriminatory basis openaccess services to all customers who qualify under its FERC gas tariff. FERC has the power to prescribe the accounting treatment of items for regulatory purposes. Thus, the books and records of VGS may be periodically audited by FERC.

The maximum recourse rates that may be charged by VGS for its services are established through FERC's ratemaking process. Generally, the maximum filed recourse rates for interstate pipelines are based on the cost of service, including recovery of and a return on the pipeline's investment. Key determinants in the ratemaking process are costs of providing service, allowed rate of return and volume throughput and contractual capacity commitment assumptions. VGS is permitted to discount its firm and interruptible rates without further FERC authorization down to the variable cost of performing service, provided they do not "unduly discriminate." The applicable recourse rates and terms and conditions for service are set forth in each pipeline's FERC-approved tariff. Rate design and the allocation of costs also can impact a pipeline's profitability. On August 31, 2015, VGS filed a revised tariff sheet with FERC, seeking to increase the rates for service on VGS. Several of VGS's customers protested the proposed increase, and the ratemaking proceeding remains pending. A hearing before a FERC administrative law judge on the proposed increase is schedule to begin on July 20, 2016.

The Partnership also owns (in conjunction with Pioneer) and operates the Driver Residue Pipeline, a gas transmission pipeline extending from the Partnership's Driver processing plant in WestTX just over ten miles to points of interconnection with intrastate and interstate natural gas transmission pipelines. The Partnership has obtained a limited jurisdiction certificate of public convenience and necessity under the Natural Gas Act for the Driver Residue Pipeline. In the certificate order, among other things, FERC waived requirements pertaining to the filing of an initial rate for service, the filing of a tariff and compliance with specified accounting and reporting requirements. As such, the Driver Residue Pipeline is not currently subject to conventional rate regulation; to requirements FERC imposes on "open access" interstate natural gas pipelines; to the obligation to file and maintain a tariff; or to the obligation to conform to certain business practices and to file certain reports. If, however, the Partnership is unable to receive a *bona fide* request for firm service on the Driver Residue Pipeline from a third party, FERC would reexamine the waivers it has granted the Partnership and would require the Partnership to file for authorization to offer "open access" transportation under its regulations, which would impose additional costs upon the Partnership.

Gathering Pipeline Regulation

The Partnership's natural gas gathering operations are typically subject to ratable take and common purchaser statutes in the states in which it operates. The common purchaser statutes generally require gathering pipelines to purchase or take without undue discrimination as to source of supply or producer. These statutes are designed to prohibit discrimination in favor of one producer over another or one source of supply over another. The regulations under these statutes can have the effect of imposing some restrictions on the Partnership's ability as an owner of gathering facilities to decide with whom it contracts to gather natural gas. The states in which the Partnership operates have adopted complaint-based regulation of natural gas gathering access and rate discrimination. The rates the Partnership charges for gathering are deemed just and reasonable unless challenged in a complaint. We cannot predict whether such a complaint will be filed against the Partnership in the future. Failure to comply with state regulations can result in the imposition of administrative, civil and criminal penalties.

Section 1(b) of the NGA exempts natural gas gathering facilities from regulation as a natural gas company by FERC under the NGA. The Partnership believes that the natural gas pipelines in its gathering systems, including the gas gathering systems that are part of the Badlands and of the Pelican and Seahawk gathering systems, meet the traditional tests FERC has used to establish a pipeline's status as a gatherer not subject to regulation as a natural gas, company. However, to the extent the Partnership's gathering systems buy and sell natural gas, such gatherers, in their capacity as buyers and sellers of natural gas, are now subject to Order No. 704. See "—Other Federal Laws and Regulations Affecting Our Industry—FERC Market Transparency Rules."

Intrastate Pipeline Regulation

Though the Partnership's natural gas intrastate pipelines are not subject to regulation by FERC as natural gas companies under the NGA, the Partnership's intrastate pipelines may be subject to certain FERC-imposed reporting requirements depending on the volume of natural gas purchased or sold in a given year. See "—Other Federal Laws and Regulations Affecting Our Industry—FERC Market Transparency Rules."

The Partnership's intrastate pipelines located in Texas are regulated by the Railroad Commission of Texas (the "RRC"). Our Texas intrastate pipeline, Targa Intrastate Pipeline LLC ("Targa Intrastate"), owns the intrastate pipeline that transports natural gas from its Shackelford processing plant to an interconnect with Atmos Pipeline-Texas that in turn delivers gas to the West Texas Utilities Company's Paint Creek Power Station. Targa Intrastate also owns a 1.65-mile, ten-inch diameter intrastate pipeline that transports natural gas from a third-party gathering system into the Chico system in Denton County, Texas. Targa Intrastate is a gas utility subject to regulation by the RRC and has a tariff on file with such agency. Our other Texas intrastate pipeline, Targa Gas Pipeline LLC, owns a multi-county intrastate pipeline that transports gas in Crane, Ector, Midland, and Upton Counties, Texas, as well as some lines in North Texas. Targa Gas Pipeline LLC is a gas utility subject to regulation by the RRC.

The Partnership's Louisiana intrastate pipeline, Targa Louisiana Intrastate LLC owns an approximately 60-mile intrastate pipeline system that receives all of the natural gas it transports within or at the boundary of the State of

Louisiana. Because all such gas ultimately is consumed within Louisiana, and since the pipeline's rates and terms of service are subject to regulation by the Office of Conservation of the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources ("DNR"), the pipeline qualifies as a Hinshaw pipeline under Section 1(c) of the NGA and thus is exempt from most FERC regulation.

We have an ownership interest of 50% of the capacity in a 50-mile long intrastate natural gas transmission pipeline, which extends from the tailgate of three natural gas processing plants located near Pettus, Texas to interconnections with existing intrastate and interstate natural gas pipelines near Refugio, Texas. The capacity is held by our subsidiary, TPL SouthTex Transmission Company LP ("TPL SouthTex Transmission"), which is entitled to transport natural gas through its capacity on behalf of third parties to both intrastate and interstate markets. Because the jointly owned pipeline system was initially interconnected only with intrastate markets, each of the capacity holders qualified as an "intrastate pipeline" within the meaning of the NGPA and therefore are able to provide transportation of natural gas to interstate markets under Section 311 of the NGPA. Under Sections 311 and 601 of the NGPA, an intrastate pipeline may transport natural gas under authority of Section 311 must be filed with FERC and must be shown to be "fair and equitable." TPL SouthTex Transmission has a Statement of Operating Conditions on file with FERC, and FERC has accepted the rates, which TPL SouthTex Transmission's predecessor filed, as being in accordance with the "fair and equitable" standard. TPL SouthTex Transmission is required to file, on or before November 6, 2017, a petition for approval of its then-existing rates, or to propose a new rate, applicable to NGPA Section 311 service.

The Partnership also operates natural gas pipelines that extend from some of its processing plants to interconnections with both intrastate and interstate natural gas pipelines. Those facilities, known in the industry as "plant tailgate" pipelines, typically operate at transmission pressure levels and may transport "pipeline quality" natural gas. Because the Partnership's plant tailgate pipelines are relatively short, the Partnership treats them as "stub" lines, which are exempt from FERC's jurisdiction under the Natural Gas Act. FERC's treatment of the "stub" line exemption has varied over time, but, absent other factors, FERC generally limits the length of the lines that qualify for the "stub" line exemption. To the extent the Partnership's plant tailgate pipelines do not qualify for the "stub" line exemption to operate its tailgate pipelines or whether they can be reconfigured or otherwise modified to eliminate the possibility that they could be subject to FERC jurisdiction. If the Partnership concludes that FERC authorization is necessary, the Partnership would expect to seek regulatory treatment similar to the treatment FERC has accorded to the Driver Residue Pipeline. The Partnership cannot, however, be assured that FERC would agree to assert only limited jurisdiction. If FERC were to find that it must assert comprehensive jurisdiction, the Partnership's operating costs would increase and the Partnership could be subject to enforcement actions under the Domenici-Barton Energy Policy Act of 2005 ("EP Act of 2005").

Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Kansas have adopted complaint-based regulation of intrastate natural gas transportation activities, which allows natural gas producers and shippers to file complaints with state regulators in an effort to resolve grievances relating to pipeline access and rate discrimination. The rates the Partnership charges for intrastate transportation are deemed just and reasonable unless challenged in a complaint. We cannot predict whether such a complaint will be filed against the Partnership in the future. Failure to comply with state regulations can result in the imposition of administrative, civil and criminal penalties.

The Partnership's intrastate NGL pipelines in Louisiana gather mixed NGLs streams that the Partnership owns from processing plants in Louisiana and deliver such streams to the Gillis fractionators in Lake Charles, Louisiana, where the mixed NGLs streams are fractionated into various products. The Partnership delivers such refined petroleum products (ethane, propane, butanes and natural gasoline) out of its fractionator to and from Targa-owned storage, to other third-party facilities and to various third-party pipelines in Louisiana. These pipelines are not subject to FERC regulation or rate regulation by the DNR, but are regulated by United States Department of Transportation ("DOT") safety regulations.

The Partnership's intrastate pipelines in North Dakota are subject to the various regulations of the State of North Dakota. In addition, various federal agencies within the U.S. Department of the Interior, particularly the Bureau of Land Management, Office of Natural Resources Revenue (formerly the Minerals Management Service) and the Bureau of Indian Affairs, as well as the Three Affiliated Tribes, promulgate and enforce regulations pertaining to operations on the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation. Please see "-Other State and Local Regulation of Operations" below.

Natural Gas Processing

The Partnership's natural gas gathering and processing operations are not presently subject to FERC regulation. However, since May 2009 the Partnership has been required to report to FERC information regarding natural gas sale and purchase transactions for some of its operations depending on the volume of natural gas transacted during the prior calendar year. See "—Other Federal Laws and Regulations Affecting Our Industry—FERC Market Transparency Rules." There can be no assurance that the Partnership's processing operations will continue to be exempt from other FERC regulation in the future.

Sales of Natural Gas and NGLs

The price at which the Partnership buys and sells natural gas and NGLs is currently not subject to federal rate regulation and, for the most part, is not subject to state regulation. However, with regard to the Partnership's physical purchases and sales of these energy commodities and any related hedging activities that the Partnership undertakes, it is required to observe anti-market manipulation laws and related regulations enforced by FERC and/or the Commodities Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC"). See "—Other Federal Laws and Regulations Affecting Our Industry—EP Act of 2005")." Since May 2009, the Partnership has been required to report to FERC information regarding natural gas sale and purchase transactions for some of the Partnership's operations depending on the volume of natural gas transacted during the prior calendar year. See "—Other Federal Laws and Regulations, it could also be subject to related third-party damage claims by, among others, market participants, sellers, royalty owners and taxing authorities.

Other State and Local Regulation of Operations

The Partnership's business activities are subject to various state and local laws and regulations, as well as orders of regulatory bodies pursuant thereto, governing a wide variety of matters, including marketing, production, pricing, community right-to-know, protection of the environment, safety and other matters. In addition, the Three Affiliated Tribes promulgate and enforce regulations pertaining to operations on the Fort Berthold Indian Reservation, on which the Partnership operates a significant portion of its Badlands gathering and processing assets. The Three Affiliated Tribes is a sovereign nation having the right to enforce certain laws and regulations independent from federal, state and local statutes and regulations. For additional information regarding the potential impact of federal, state, tribal or local regulatory measures on the Partnership's business, see "Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business."

Interstate Common Carrier Liquids Pipeline Regulation

Targa NGL Pipeline Company LLC ("Targa NGL") has interstate NGL pipelines that are considered common carrier pipelines subject to regulation by FERC under the Interstate Commerce Act (the "ICA"). More specifically, Targa NGL owns a regulated twelve-inch diameter pipeline that runs between Lake Charles, Louisiana and Mont Belvieu, Texas. This pipeline can move mixed NGLs and purity NGL products. Targa NGL also owns an eight-inch diameter pipeline and a twenty-inch diameter pipeline, each of which run between Mont Belvieu, Texas and Galena Park, Texas. The eight-inch and the twenty-inch pipelines are also regulated and are part of an extensive mixed NGL and purity NGL pipeline receipt and delivery system that provides services to domestic and foreign import and export customers. The ICA requires that we maintain tariffs on file with FERC for each of these pipelines. Those tariffs set forth the rates we charge for providing transportation services as well as the rules and regulations governing these services. The ICA requires, among other things, that rates on interstate common carrier pipelines be "just and reasonable" and non-discriminatory. All shippers on these pipelines are the Partnership's subsidiaries.

The crude oil pipeline system that is part of the Badlands assets has qualified for a temporary waiver of applicable FERC regulatory requirements under the ICA based on current circumstances. Such waivers are subject to revocation, however, should the pipeline's circumstances change. FERC could, either at the request of other entities or on its own initiative, assert that some or all of the transportation on this pipeline system is within its jurisdiction. In the event that FERC were to determine that this pipeline system no longer qualified for waiver, we would likely be required to file a tariff with FERC, provide a cost justification for the transportation charge, and provide service to all potential shippers without undue discrimination. Such a change in the jurisdictional status of transportation on this pipeline could adversely affect the Partnership's results of operations.

Other Federal Laws and Regulations Affecting Our Industry

EP Act of 2005

The EP Act of 2005 is a comprehensive compilation of tax incentives, authorized appropriations for grants and guaranteed loans, and significant changes to the statutory policy that affects all segments of the energy industry. Among other matters, the EP Act of 2005 amends the NGA to add an anti-market manipulation provision which makes it unlawful for any entity to engage in prohibited behavior to be prescribed by FERC, and furthermore provides FERC with additional civil penalty authority. The EP Act of 2005 provides FERC with the power to assess civil penalties of up to \$1 million per day for violations of the NGA and \$1 million per violation per day for violations of the NGPA. The civil penalty provisions are applicable to entities that engage in the sale of natural gas for resale in interstate commerce, including VGS. In 2006, FERC issued Order No. 670 to implement the anti-market manipulation provision of the EP Act of 2005. Order No. 670 does not apply to activities that relate only to intrastate or other non-jurisdictional sales or gathering, but does apply to activities of gas pipelines and storage companies that provide interstate services, as well as otherwise non-jurisdictional entities to the extent the activities are conducted "in connection with" gas sales, purchases or transportation subject to FERC jurisdiction, which includes the annual reporting requirements under a final rule on the annual natural gas transaction reporting requirements, as amended by subsequent orders on rehearing (Order No. 704), and the quarterly reporting requirement under Order No. 735. The anti-market manipulation rule and enhanced civil penalty authority reflect an expansion of FERC's NGA enforcement authority.

FERC Market Transparency Rules

Beginning in 2007, FERC has issued a number of rules intended to provide for greater marketing transparency in the natural gas industry, including Order Nos. 704, 720, and 735. Under Order No. 704, wholesale buyers and sellers of more than 2.2 Bcf of physical natural gas in the previous calendar year, including interstate and intrastate natural gas pipelines, natural gas gatherers, natural gas processors and natural gas marketers, are now required to report, on May 1 of each year, aggregate volumes of natural gas purchased or sold at wholesale in the prior calendar year to the extent such transactions utilize, contribute to, or may contribute to the formation of price indices.

Under Order No. 720, certain non-interstate pipelines delivering, on an annual basis, more than an average of 50 million MMBtu of gas over the previous three calendar years, are required to post on a daily basis certain information regarding the pipeline's capacity and scheduled flows for each receipt and delivery point that has a design capacity equal to or greater than 15,000 MMBtu/d and interstate pipelines are required to post information regarding the provision of no-notice service. In October 2011, Order No. 720 as clarified was vacated by the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. We take the position that, at this time, all of the Partnership's entities are exempt from Order No. 720 as currently effective.

Under Order No. 735, intrastate pipelines providing transportation services under Section 311 of the NGPA and "Hinshaw" pipelines operating under Section 1(c) of the NGA are required to report on a quarterly basis more detailed transportation and storage transaction information, including: rates charged by the pipeline under each contract; receipt and delivery points and zones or segments covered by each contract; the quantity of natural gas the shipper is entitled to transport, store, or deliver; the duration of the contract; and whether there is an affiliate relationship between the pipeline and the shipper. Order No. 735 also extends FERC's periodic review of the rates charged by the subject pipelines from three years to five years. On rehearing, FERC reaffirmed Order No. 735 with some modifications. As currently written, this rule does not apply to the Partnership's Hinshaw pipelines.

Additional proposals and proceedings that might affect the natural gas industry are pending before Congress, FERC and the courts. We cannot predict the ultimate impact of these or the above regulatory changes to the Partnership's natural gas operations. We do not believe that the Partnership would be affected by any such FERC action materially differently than other midstream natural gas companies with whom it competes.

Environmental and Operational Health and Safety Matters

General

The Partnership's operations are subject to stringent federal, tribal, state and local laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment, worker health and safety, or otherwise relating to

environmental protection. As with the industry generally, compliance with current and anticipated environmental laws and regulations increases the Partnership's overall cost of business, including its capital costs to construct, maintain and upgrade equipment and facilities. The Partnership has implemented programs and policies designed to keep its pipelines, plants and other facilities in compliance with existing environmental laws and regulations. The recent trend in environmental regulation is to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment and thus, any changes in environmental laws and regulations or reinterpretation of enforcement policies that result in more stringent and costly waste management or disposal, pollution control or remediation requirements could have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's operations and financial position. The Partnership may be unable to pass on such increased compliance costs to our customers. See Risk Factor "Failure to comply with environmental laws or regulations or an accidental release into the environment may cause us to incur significant costs and liabilities" under Item 1A in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016 for further discussion on environmental compliance matters. See "Item 3. Legal Proceedings – Environmental Proceedings" in our Annual Report field February 29, 2016 for a discussion of certain recent or pending proceedings related to environmental matters.

Historically, the Partnership's environmental compliance costs have not had a material adverse effect on its results of operations; however, there can be no assurance that such costs will not become material in the future. The following is a summary of the more significant existing environmental and worker health and safety laws and regulations, as amended from time to time, to which our business operations are subject and for which compliance may have a material adverse impact on our capital expenditures, results of operations or financial position.

Hazardous Substances and Waste

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), and comparable state laws impose joint and several, strict liability on certain classes of persons who are considered to be responsible for the release of a "hazardous substance" into the environment. These persons include current and prior owners or operators of the site where the release occurred and entities that disposed or arranged for the disposal of the hazardous substances found at the site. Liability of these "responsible persons" under CERCLA may include the costs of cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources and for the costs of certain health studies. CERCLA also authorizes the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and, in some instances, third-parties to act in response to threats to the public health or the environment and to seek to recover from these responsible persons the costs they incur. It is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third-parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the release of hazardous substances" under CERCLA or similar state statutes and, as a result, may be jointly and severally liable under CERCLA or such statutes for all or part of the costs required to clean up releases of hazardous substance into the environment.

The Partnership also generates solid wastes, including hazardous wastes that are subject to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA") and comparable state statutes. While RCRA regulates both solid and hazardous wastes, it imposes strict requirements on the generation, storage, treatment, transportation and disposal of hazardous wastes. In the course of its operations, the Partnership generates petroleum product wastes and ordinary industrial wastes such as paint wastes, waste solvents and waste compressor oils that are regulated as hazardous wastes. Although certain materials generated in the exploration, development or production of crude oil and natural gas are excluded from RCRA's hazardous waste regulations, it is possible that future changes in law or regulation could result in these wastes, including wastes currently generated during its operations, being designated as "hazardous wastes" and therefore subject to more rigorous and costly disposal requirements, which could have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's capital expenditures and operating expenses.

The Partnership currently owns or leases, and has in the past owned or leased, properties that for many years have been used for midstream natural gas and NGL activities and refined petroleum product and crude oil storage and terminaling activities. Hydrocarbons or other substances and wastes may have been released on or under the properties owned or leased by the Partnership or on or under the other locations where these hydrocarbons or other substances and wastes may have been wastes have been taken for treatment or disposal. In addition, certain of these properties have been operated by third parties whose treatment and release of hydrocarbons or other substances and wastes was not under the Partnership's control. These properties and any hydrocarbons, substances and wastes released thereon may be subject to CERCLA, RCRA and analogous state laws. Under these laws, the Partnership could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes (including wastes released by prior owners or operators), to clean up contaminated property (including contaminated groundwater) and to perform remedial operations to prevent future contamination.

Air Emissions

The federal Clean Air Act and comparable state laws and regulations restrict the emission of air pollutants from many sources, including processing plants and compressor stations and also impose various monitoring and reporting requirements. These laws and regulations may require the Partnership to obtain pre-approval for the construction or modification of certain projects or facilities expected to produce or significantly increase air emissions, obtain and strictly comply with stringent air permit requirements or utilize specific equipment or technologies to control emissions. The need to obtain permits has the potential to delay the development of oil and natural gas related projects. Over the next several years, the Partnership may be required to incur certain capital expenditures for air pollution control equipment or other air emissions related issues. For example, in October 2015, the EPA issued a final rule under the Clean Air Act, lowering the National Ambient Air Quality Standard ("NAAQS") for ground-level ozone to 70 parts per billion under both the primary and secondary standards to provide requisite protection of public health and welfare, respectively. The final rule became effective on December 28, 2015, and EPA is expected to make final geographical attainment designations by late 2017. Such reclassification may make it more difficult to construct new or modified sources of air pollution in newly designated non-attainment areas. Also, states are expected to implement more stringent regulations, which could apply to our operations. Additionally, on August 18, 2015, the EPA proposed four new rules related to air emissions from the oil and gas industry, including (1) New Source Performance Standards for emissions of methane and VOCs from new and modified oil and natural gas production and natural gas gathering, processing, and transmission facilities; (2) suggested control technique guidelines for existing oil and gas sources for states to consider adopting in certain ozone non-attainment areas; (3) a rule intended to more clearly define, and possibly expand, the definition of a "source" for purposes of determining applicability of air emissions permitting for oil and gas sources; and (4) a Federal Implementation Plan to govern minor new source review air emissions permitting for oil and gas sources on certain Indian Reservations, including the Forth Berthold Indian Reservation in North Dakota. Compliance with these or other new regulations could, among other things, require installation of new emission controls on some of the Partnership's equipment, result in longer permitting timelines, and significantly increase the Partnership's capital expenditures and operating costs, which could adversely impact on the Partnership's business.

Climate Change

The EPA has determined that greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions endanger public health and the environment because emissions of such gases are contributing to warming of the earth's atmosphere and other climatic changes. Based on these findings, the EPA has adopted regulations under the Clean Air Act related to GHG emissions. See Risk Factor "The adoption of climate change legislation and regulations restricting emissions of GHGs could result in increased operating costs and reduced demand for the products and services we provide" under Item 1A in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016 for further discussion on climate change and regulation of GHG emissions.

Water Discharges

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" or "CWA") and analogous state laws impose restrictions and strict controls regarding the discharge of pollutants into navigable waters. Pursuant to the CWA and analogous state laws, permits must be obtained to discharge pollutants into state waters or waters of the United States. Any such discharge of pollutants into regulated waters must be performed in accordance with the terms of the permit issued by the EPA or the analogous state agency. Spill prevention, control and countermeasure requirements under federal law require appropriate containment berms and similar structures to help prevent the contamination of navigable waters in the event of a petroleum hydrocarbon tank spill, rupture or leak. In addition, the CWA and analogous state laws require individual permits or coverage under general permits for discharges of storm water runoff from certain types of facilities and such permits may require us to monitor and sample the storm water runoff. The CWA also prohibits the discharge of dredge and fill material in regulated waters, including wetlands, unless authorized by permit. The CWA and analogous state laws also may impose substantial civil and criminal penalties for non-compliance including spills and other non-authorized discharges.

In May 2015, the EPA released a final rule that attempted to clarify the meaning of the definition of "waters of the United States" under the CWA but several judicial challenges to this rule have been initiated, with plaintiffs' generally objecting to the perceived broadening of the definition of waters of the United States under a rule that

allegedly did not comply with appropriate procedural requirements. On August 27, 2015, one day prior to the rule going into effect, a federal district judge in North Dakota enjoined implementation of the rule in 13 states, and, on October 9, 2015 the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals stayed the rule nationwide, as there are currently cases in more than a dozen district courts as well as the Sixth Circuit that may affect the rule and its implementation. Any expansion to CWA jurisdiction in areas where the Partnership or its customers operate could impose additional permitting obligations on the Partnership or its customers.

The Federal Oil Pollution Act of 1990 ("OPA") which amends the CWA, establishes strict liability for owners and operators of facilities that are the site of a release of oil into waters of the United States. The OPA and its associated regulations impose a variety of requirements on responsible parties related to the prevention of oil spills and liability for damages resulting from such spills. A "responsible party" under the OPA includes owners and operators of onshore facilities, such as our plants and our pipelines. Under the OPA, owners and operators of facilities that handle, store, or transport oil are required to develop and implement oil spill response plans, and establish and maintain evidence of financial responsibility sufficient to cover liabilities related to an oil spill for which such parties could be statutorily responsible.

Hydraulic Fracturing

Hydraulic fracturing involves the injection of water, sand and chemical additives under pressure into rock formations to stimulate gas production. The process is typically regulated by state oil and gas commissions, but several federal agencies have asserted regulatory authority over aspects of the process, including the EPA and the federal Bureau of Land Management ("BLM"). In addition, Congress has from time to time considered the adoption of legislation to federally regulate hydraulic fracturing. At the state level, a growing number of states have adopted or are considering adopting legal requirements that could impose more stringent permitting, disclosure or well construction requirements on hydraulic fracturing activities, and states could elect to prohibit hydraulic fracturing altogether. In addition, local governments may seek to adopt ordinances within their jurisdictions regulating the time, place and manner of drilling activities in general or hydraulic fracturing activities in particular. Further, several federal governmental agencies are conducting reviews and studies on the environmental aspects of hydraulic fracturing activities, including the White House Council on Environmental Quality and the EPA, which released a draft report for public and Scientific Advisory Board review in June 2015. These studies, depending on their degree of pursuit and any meaningful results obtained, could spur initiatives to further regulate hydraulic fracturing. While the Partnership does not conduct hydraulic fracturing, if new or more stringent federal, state, or local legal restrictions or prohibitions relating to the hydraulic fracturing process are adopted in areas where the Partnership's oil and natural gas exploration and production customers operate, those customers could incur potentially significant added costs to comply with such requirements and experience delays or curtailment in the pursuit of exploration, development or production activities, which could reduce demand for the Partnership's gathering, processing and fractionation services. See Risk Factor "Increased regulation of hydraulic fracturing could result in reductions or delays in drilling and completing new oil and natural gas wells, which could adversely impact our revenues by decreasing the volumes of natural gas, NGLs or crude oil through our facilities and reducing the utilization of our assets" under Item 1A in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016 for further discussion on hydraulic fracturing.

Endangered Species Act Considerations

The federal Endangered Species Act ("ESA") restricts activities that may affect endangered or threatened species or their habitats. Some of the Partnership's facilities may be located in areas that are designated as habitat for endangered or threatened species. If endangered species are located in areas of the underlying properties where we wish to conduct development activities, such work could be prohibited or delayed or expensive mitigation may be required. Moreover, as a result of a settlement approved by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia in September 2011, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service ("FWS") is required to make a determination on the listing of numerous species as endangered or threatened under the ESA before the completion of the agency's 2017 fiscal year. The designation of previously unprotected species as threatened or endangered in areas where we or our oil and natural gas exploration and production customers operate could cause us or our customers to incur increased costs arising from species protection measures and could result in delays or limitations in our customers' performance of operations, which could reduce demand for our midstream services.

Employee Health and Safety

We are subject to a number of federal and state laws and regulations, including the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act ("OSHA") and comparable state statutes, whose purpose is to protect the health and safety of

workers, both generally and within the pipeline industry. In addition, the OSHA hazard communication standard, the EPA community right-to-know regulations under Title III of the Federal Superfund Amendment and Reauthorization Act and comparable state statutes require that information be maintained concerning hazardous materials used or produced in our operations and that this information be provided to employees, state and local government authorities and citizens. We and the entities in which we own an interest are also subject to OSHA Process Safety Management regulations, which are designed to prevent or minimize the consequences of catastrophic releases of toxic, reactive, flammable or explosive chemicals. The regulations apply to any process that (1) involves a listed chemical in a quantity at or above the threshold quantity specified in the regulation for that chemical, or (2) involves certain flammable gases or flammable liquids present on site in one location in a quantity of 10,000 pounds or more. Flammable liquids stored in atmospheric tanks below their normal boiling point without the benefit of chilling or refrigeration are exempt. We have an internal program of inspection designed to monitor and enforce compliance with worker safety requirements.

Pipeline Safety

Many of the Partnership's natural gas, NGL and crude pipelines are subject to regulation by the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA") of the DOT (or state analogs) under the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act of 1968 ("NGPSA") with respect to natural gas, and the Hazardous Liquids Pipeline Safety Act of 1979 ("HLPSA") with respect to crude oil, NGLs and condensates. Both the NGPSA and the HLPSA were amended by the Pipeline Safety Improvement Act of 2002 ("PSI Act") and the Pipeline Inspection, Protection, Enforcement, and Safety Act of 2006 ("PIPES Act"). The NGPSA and HLPSA govern the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of natural gas, crude oil, NGL and condensate pipeline facilities. Pursuant to these acts, PHMSA has promulgated regulations governing, among other things, pipeline wall thicknesses, design pressures, maximum operating pressures, pipeline patrols and leak surveys, minimum depth requirements, and emergency procedures, as well as other matters intended to ensure adequate protection for the public and to prevent accidents and failures. Additionally, PHMSA has promulgated regulations requiring pipeline operators to develop and implement integrity management programs for certain gas and hazardous liquids pipelines that, in the event of a pipeline leak or rupture, could affect "high consequence areas," which are areas where a release could have the most significant adverse consequences, including high-population areas, certain drinking water sources and unusually sensitive ecological areas. The Partnership's past compliance with the NGPSA and HLPSA has not had a material adverse effect on its results of operations; however, future compliance with these pipeline safety laws could result in increased costs.

These pipeline safety laws were amended by the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011 ("2011 Pipeline Safety Act"), which requires increased safety measures for gas and hazardous liquids transportation pipelines. Among other things, the 2011 Pipeline Safety Act directs the Secretary of Transportation to promulgate regulations relating to expanded integrity management requirements, automatic or remote-controlled valve use, excess flow valve use, leak detection system installation, testing to confirm the material strength of certain pipelines, and operator verification of records confirming the maximum allowable pressure of certain intrastate gas transmission pipelines. The 2011 Pipeline Safety Act also increases the maximum penalty for violation of pipeline safety regulations from \$100,000 to \$200,000 per violation per day of violation and also from \$1 million to \$2 million for a related series of violations. The safety enhancement requirements and other provisions of the 2011 Pipeline Safety Act as well as any implementation of PHMSA regulations thereunder or any issuance or reinterpretation of PHMSA guidance with respect thereto could require us to install new or modified safety controls, pursue additional capital projects or conduct maintenance programs on an accelerated basis, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Partnership's results of operations or financial position.

In addition, states have adopted regulations, similar to existing PHMSA regulations, for intrastate gathering and transmission lines. Texas, Louisiana and New Mexico, for example, have developed regulatory programs that parallel the federal regulatory scheme and are applicable to intrastate pipelines transporting natural gas and NGLs. North Dakota has similarly implemented regulatory programs applicable to intrastate natural gas pipelines. The Partnership currently estimates an annual average cost of \$5.0 million for the years 2016 through 2018 to perform necessary integrity management program testing on its pipelines required by existing PHMSA and state regulations. This estimate does not include the costs, if any, of any repair, remediation, or preventative or mitigating actions that may be determined to be necessary as a result of the testing program, which costs could be substantial. However, we do not expect that any such costs would be material to the Partnership's financial condition or results of operations.

The Partnership, or the entities in which it owns an interest, inspects its pipelines regularly in compliance with state and federal maintenance requirements. Nonetheless, the adoption of new or amended regulations by

PHMSA or the states that result in more stringent or costly pipeline integrity management or safety standards could have a significant adverse effect on us and similarly situated midstream operators. For example, federal construction, maintenance and inspection standards that apply to pipelines in relatively populated areas generally do not apply to gathering lines running through rural regions. In recent years, the PHSMA has considered changes to this "rural gathering exemption, including publishing an advance notice of proposed rulemaking in 2011, in which the agency sought public comment on possible changes to the definition of "high consequence areas" and "gathering lines" and the strengthening of pipeline integrity management requirements. More recently, in response to an August 2014 report from the U.S. Government Accountability Office, the PHMSA stated that it is developing revisions to its pipeline safety regulations, including consideration of the need to adopt safety requirements for gas gathering pipelines that are not currently subject to regulation. In the absence of the PHMSA pursuing any legal requirements, state agencies, to the extent authorized, may pursue state standards, including standards for rural gathering lines. For example, in 2013 the Texas Legislature authorized the Texas Railroad Commission to adopt and implement safety standards applicable to the intrastate transportation of hazardous liquids and natural gas in rural locations by gathering pipeline. See Risk Factor "Federal and state legislative and regulatory initiatives relating to pipeline safety that require the use of new or more stringent safety controls or result in more stringent enforcement of applicable legal requirements could subject the Partnership to increased capital costs, operational delays and costs of operation" under Item 1A in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016 for further discussion on pipeline safety standards.

Title to Properties and Rights-of-Way

The Partnership's real property falls into two categories: (1) parcels that it owns in fee and (2) parcels in which its interest derives from leases, easements, rights-of-way, permits or licenses from landowners or governmental authorities permitting the use of such land for its operations. Portions of the land on which the Partnership's plants and other major facilities are located are owned by the Partnership in fee title and we believe that the Partnership has satisfactory title to these lands. The remainder of the land on which the Partnership plant sites and major facilities are located is held by the Partnership pursuant to ground leases between the Partnership, as lessee, and the fee owner of the lands, as lessors. The Partnership and its predecessors have leased these lands for many years without any material challenge known to the Partnership relating to the title to the land upon which the assets are located, and we believe that the Partnership has satisfactory leasehold estates to such lands. We have no knowledge of any challenge to the underlying fee title of any material lease, easement, right-of-way, permit, lease or license; and we believe that the Partnership has satisfactory title to all of its material leases, easements, rights-of-way, permits, leases and licenses.

Employees

Through a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours, we employ approximately 1,870 people who primarily support the Partnership's operations. None of those employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. We consider our employee relations to be good.

Financial Information by Reportable Segment

See "Segment Information" included under Note 24 of the "Consolidated Financial Statements" for a presentation of financial results by reportable segment and see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations– Results of Operations– By Reportable Segment" for a discussion of our financial results by segment which have been recast for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 to reflect our change in reporting segments.

Available Information

We make certain filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), including our Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments and exhibits to those reports. We make such filings available free of charge through our website, *http://www.targaresources.com*, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with the SEC. The filings are also available through the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549 or by calling 1-800-SEC-0330. Also, these filings are available on the internet at *http://www.sec.gov*. Our press releases and recent analyst presentations are also available on our website.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following table presents selected historical consolidated financial and operating data of Targa Resources Corp. for the periods ended, and as of, the dates indicated. We derived this information from our historical "Consolidated Financial Statements" and accompanying notes. The information in the table below should be read together with, and is qualified in its entirety, by reference to those financial statements and notes in this Annual Report.

	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
		(In millions,	except per shar	e amounts)	
Statement of operations data:					
Revenues	\$ 6,658.6	\$8,616.5	\$6,314.7	\$5,679.0	\$6,843.2
Income from operations	159.3	640.5	368.2	336.3	351.1
Net income (loss)	(151.4)	423.0	201.3	159.3	215.4
Net income available to common shareholders	58.3	102.3	65.1	38.1	30.7
Net income per common share - basic	1.09	2.44	1.56	0.93	0.75
Net income per common share - diluted	1.09	2.43	1.55	0.91	0.74
Balance sheet data (at end of period):					
Total assets	\$13,211.0	\$6,423.5	\$6,022.5	\$5,081.5	\$3,811.8
Long-term debt	5,718.8	2,855.5	2,963.2	2,451.8	1,547.8
Other:					
Dividends declared per share	\$ 3.5250	\$ 2.8450	\$ 2.2050	\$ 1.6388	\$ 1.2063

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the recast financial statements and notes.

Overview

Financial Presentation

Targa Resources Corp. is a publicly traded Delaware corporation formed in October 2005. Our common stock is listed on the NYSE under the symbol "TRGP." In this Annual Report, unless the context requires otherwise, references to "we," "us," "our," the "Company," or "Targa" are intended to mean our consolidated business and operations.

We own all of the general partner interest, Incentive Distribution Rights ("IDRs") and outstanding common units in the Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership, that is a leading United States provider of midstream natural gas and NGL services, with a growing presence in crude oil gathering and petroleum terminaling. Common units of the Partnership were listed on the NYSE under the symbol "NGLS" prior to our acquisition of all of the outstanding common units not already owned by us on February 17, 2016. Preferred units of the Partnership are listed on the NYSE under the symbol "NGLS PRA."

Our primary business objective is to increase our cash available for dividends to our stockholders by assisting the Partnership in executing its business strategy. We may potentially facilitate the Partnership's growth through various forms of financial support, including, but not limited to, making loans, making capital contributions in exchange for yielding or non-yielding equity interests or providing other financial support to the Partnership to support its ability to make distributions. We also may potentially enter into other economic transactions intended to increase our ability to make cash available for dividends over time. In addition, we may potentially acquire assets that could be candidates for acquisition by the Partnership, potentially after operational or commercial improvement or further development.

An indirect subsidiary of ours is the general partner of the Partnership. Because we control the general partner, under GAAP we must reflect our ownership interest in the Partnership on a consolidated basis. Accordingly, the Partnership's financial results are included in our consolidated financial statements even though the distribution or transfer of Partnership assets are limited by the terms of the partnership agreement, as well as restrictive covenants in the Partnership's lending agreements. The limited partner interests in the Partnership not owned by us on certain dates are reflected in our results of operations as net income attributable to noncontrolling interests. Therefore, throughout this discussion, we make a distinction where relevant between financial results of the Partnership versus those of us as a standalone parent including our Non-Partnership subsidiaries.

The Partnership files its own separate Annual Report. The financial results presented in our consolidated financial statements will differ from the consolidated financial statements of the Partnership primarily due to the effects of:

- our separate debt obligations;
- federal income taxes;
- certain retained general and administrative costs applicable to us as a public company;
- certain administrative assets and liabilities incumbent as a provider of operational and support services to the Partnership;
- certain non-operating assets and liabilities that we retained;
- Partnership distributions and earnings allocable to third-party preferred unitholders, if applicable, which are included in non-controlling interest in our statements; and

• Partnership distributions applicable to our General Partner interest, IDRs and investment in Partnership common units. While these are eliminated when preparing our consolidated financial statements, they nonetheless are the primary source of cash flow that supports the payment of dividends to our stockholders.

Our Operations

Currently, we have no separate, direct operating activities apart from those conducted by the Partnership. As such, our cash inflows will primarily consist of cash distributions from our interests in the Partnership. The Partnership is required to distribute all available cash at the end of each quarter after establishing reserves to provide for the proper conduct of its business or to provide for future distributions.

On February 17, 2016, we completed the previously announced transactions contemplated by the TRC/TRP Merger Agreement, pursuant to which we acquired indirectly all of our outstanding common units that we and our subsidiaries did not already own. Upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, Merger Sub merged with and into TRP, with TRP continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of TRC.

At the effective time of the TRC/TRP Merger, each outstanding TRP common unit not owned by us or our subsidiaries was converted into the right to receive 0.62 shares of our common stock. No fractional shares were issued in the TRC/TRP Merger, and TRP common unitholders instead received cash in lieu of fractional shares.

As we control the Partnership, the changes in our ownership interest in the Partnership will be accounted for as an equity transaction and no gain or loss will be recognized in our consolidated statements of income resulting from the TRC/TRP Merger. In addition, the tax effects of the TRC/TRP Merger are reported as adjustments to our additional paid-in capital.

The Partnership's Operations

The Partnership is a leading provider of midstream natural gas and NGL services in the United States, with a growing presence in crude oil gathering and petroleum terminaling. In connection with these business activities, the Partnership buys and sells natural gas, NGLs and NGL products, crude oil, condensate and refined products.

The Partnership is engaged in the business of:

- gathering, compressing, treating, processing and selling natural gas;
- storing, fractionating, treating, transporting and selling NGLs and NGL products, including services to LPG exporters;
- gathering, storing and terminaling crude oil; and
- storing, terminaling and selling refined petroleum products.

The Partnership reports its operations in two segments: (i) Gathering and Processing; and (ii) Logistics and Marketing (also refreed to as the Downstream Business) The operating margin results of its hedging activities are reported in Other.

The Partnership's Gathering and Processing segment includes assets used in the gathering of natural gas produced from oil and gas wells and processing this raw natural gas into merchantable natural gas by extracting NGLs and removing impurities and assets used for crude oil gathering and terminaling. The Gathering and Processing segment's assets are located in the Permian Basin of West Texas and Southeast New Mexico; the Eagle Ford Shale in South Texas; the Barnett Shale in North Texas; the Anadarko, Ardmore, and Arkoma Basins in Oklahoma and South Central Kansas; the Williston Basin in North Dakota; and inthe onshore and near offshore regions of the Louisiana Gulf Coast and the Gulf of Mexico.

The Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment is also referred to as its Downstream Business. The Partnership's Downstream Business includes the activities necessary to convert mixed NGLs into NGL products and provides certain value-added services such as the fractionation, storage, terminaling, transportation, exporting, distribution and marketing of NGLs and NGL products; the storing and terminaling of refined petroleum products and crude oil; and certain natural gas supply and marketing activities in support of the Partnership's other businesses, as well as transporting natural gas and NGLs.

The Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment is involved in transporting, storing, and fractionating mixed NGLs; storing, terminaling, and transporting finished NGLs, including services for exporting LPGs; and storing and terminaling of refined petroleum products. These assets are generally connected to and supplied in part by the Partnership's Gathering and Processing segment and are predominantly located in Mont Belvieu and Galena Park, Texas, in Lake Charles, Louisiana and in Tacoma, Washington.

The Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment covers activities required to distribute and market raw and finished NGLs and all natural gas marketing activities. It includes (1) marketing the Partnership's own NGL production and purchasing NGL products for resale in selected United States markets; (2) providing LPG balancing services to refinery customers; (3) transporting, storing and selling propane and providing related propane logistics services to multi-state retailers, independent retailers and other end-users; (4) providing propane, butane and services to LPG exporters; and (5) marketing natural gas available to the Partnership from its Gathering and Processing division and the purchase and resale and other value added activities related to third-party natural gas in selected United States markets.

Other contains the results (including any hedge ineffectiveness) of the Partnership's commodity hedging activities included in operating margin and the mark-to-market gains/losses related to derivative contracts that were not designated as cash-flow hedges.

TRC/TRP Merger

On February 17, 2016, TRC completed the previously announced transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, by and among us, our general partner, TRP and Spartan Merger Sub LLC, a subsidiary of TRC ("Merger Sub") pursuant to which TRC acquired indirectly all of the Partnership's outstanding common units that TRC and its subsidiaries did not already own. Upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, Merger Sub merged with and into TRP, with TRP continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of TRC.

At the effective time of the TRC/TRP Merger, each outstanding TRP common unit not owned by TRC or its subsidiaries was converted into the right to receive 0.62 TRC shares. No fractional TRC shares were issued in the TRC/TRP Merger, and TRP common unitholders instead received cash in lieu of fractional TRC shares.

2015 Developments

Atlas Mergers

On February 27, 2015, Targa completed the Atlas mergers. In connection with the Atlas mergers, APL changed its name to "Targa Pipeline Partners LP," which we refer to as TPL, and ATLS changed its name to "Targa Energy LP."

TPL is a provider of natural gas gathering, processing and treating services primarily in the Anadarko, Ardmore, Arkoma and Permian Basins located in the southwestern and mid-continent regions of the United States and in the Eagle Ford Shale play in South Texas. The Atlas mergers add TPL's Woodford/SCOOP, Mississippi Lime, Eagle Ford and additional Permian assets to the Partnership's existing operations. In total, TPL adds 2,053 MMcf/d of processing capacity and 12,220 miles of additional pipeline. The results of TPL are reported in our Field Gathering and Processing segment.

Pursuant to the IDR Giveback Amendment entered into in conjunction with the Atlas mergers, IDRs of \$9.375 million were allocated to common unitholders for each quarter of 2015 commencing with the first quarter of 2015. The IDR Giveback Amendment covers sixteen quarters following the completion of the Atlas mergers on February 27, 2015 and resulted in reallocation of IDR payments to common unitholders –in the amount of \$9.375 million per quarter for 2015, and will result in reallocation of IDR payments to common unitholders in the amount of \$6.25 million in the first quarter of 2016.

Logistics and Marketing Segment Expansion

Cedar Bayou Fractionator Train 5

In July 2014, the Partnership approved construction of a 100 MBbl/d fractionator at CBF. The 100 MBbl/d expansion will be fully integrated with the Partnership's existing Gulf Coast NGL storage, terminaling and delivery infrastructure, which includes an extensive network of connections to key petrochemical and industrial customers as well as the Partnership's LPG export terminal at Galena Park on the Houston Ship Channel. Construction has been underway and is continuing and the Partnership expects completion of construction in second quarter of 2016. Construction of the expansion has proceeded without disruption to existing operations, and we estimate that total growth capital expenditures net to our 88% interest for the expansion and the related infrastructure enhancements at Mont Belvieu should approximate \$340 million.

Channelview Splitter

On December 27, 2015, Targa Terminals and Noble entered into the Splitter Agreement under which Targa Terminals will build and operate a 35,000 barrel per day crude and condensate splitter at Targa Terminals' Channelview Terminal on the Houston Ship Channel ("Channelview Splitter"). The Channelview Splitter will have the capability to split approximately 35,000 barrels per day of condensate into its various components, including naphtha, kerosene, gas oil, jet fuel, and liquefied petroleum gas and will provide segregated storage for the crude, condensate and components. The Channelview Splitter is expected to be completed by early 2018, and has an estimated total cost of approximately \$130 million to \$150 million. The Partnership's current total project capital expenditure estimate is higher than in the original announcement in March 2014 because of changes in project scope and anticipated increases in costs for engineering, procurement and construction services and/or materials, including labor costs. As contemplated by the December 2014 Agreement, the Splitter Agreement completes and terminates the December 2014 Agreement while retaining the Partnership's economic benefits from that agreement.

Gathering and Processing Segment Expansion

Badlands Little Missouri 3

In the first quarter of 2015, the Partnership completed the 40 MMcf/d Little Missouri 3 plant expansion in McKenzie County, North Dakota, that increased capacity to 90 MMcf/d.

Permian Basin Buffalo Plant

In April 2014, TPL announced plans to build a new plant and expand the gathering footprint of its WestTX system. This project includes the laying of a new high pressure gathering line into Martin and Andrews counties of Texas, as well as incremental compression and a new 200 MMcf/d cryogenic processing plant, known as the Buffalo plant, which is now expected to be completed during the second quarter of 2016. Total net growth capital expenditures for the Buffalo plant should approximate \$105 million.

Eagle Ford Shale Natural Gas Processing Joint Venture

In October 2015, the Partnership announced that it entered into joint venture agreements with Sanchez to construct the Raptor Plant and approximately 45 miles of associated pipelines. The Partnership expects to invest approximately \$125 million of growth capital expenditures related to the joint ventures, and assuming full contribution from Sanchez, will have a 50% interest in the plant and the approximately 45 miles of high pressure gathering pipelines that will connect Sanchez's Catarina gathering system to the plant. The Partnership will hold all of the transportation capacity on the pipeline and the gathering joint venture receives fees for transportation.

The Raptor Plant will accommodate the growing production from Sanchez's premier Eagle Ford Shale acreage position in Dimmit, La Salle and Webb Counties, Texas and from other third party producers. The plant and high pressure gathering lines are supported by long-term, firm, fee-based contracts and acreage dedications with Sanchez. The Partnership will manage construction and operations of the plant and high pressure gathering lines, and the plant is expected to begin operations in early 2017. Prior to the plant being placed in-service, the Partnership will benefit from Sanchez natural gas volumes that will be processed at our Silver Oak facilities in Bee County, Texas.

In addition to the major projects in process noted above, the Partnership potentially has other growth capital expenditures in 2016 related to the continued build out of its gathering and processing infrastructure and logistics capabilities. In the current depressed market environment, the Partnership will evaluate these potential projects based on return profile, capital requirements and strategic need and may choose to defer projects depending on expected activity levels.

Accounts Receivable Securitization Facility

The Securitization Facility provides up to \$225.0 million of borrowing capacity at LIBOR market index rates plus a margin through December 9, 2016. Under the Securitization Facility, Targa Midstream Services LLC ("TMS"), a consolidated subsidiary of the Partnership, contributes receivables to Targa Gas Marketing LLC ("TGM"), a consolidated subsidiary of the Partnership, and TGM and another consolidated subsidiary of the Partnership (Targa Liquids Marketing and Trade LLC ("TLMT")) sell or contribute receivables, without recourse, to another of its consolidated subsidiaries (Targa Receivables LLC or "TRLLC"), a special purpose consolidated subsidiary created for the sole purpose of the Securitization Facility. TRLLC, in turn, sells an undivided percentage ownership in the eligible receivables to a third-party financial institution. Receivables up to the amount of the outstanding debt under the Securitization Facility are not available to satisfy the claims of the creditors of TLMT, TMS, TGM or the Partnership. Any excess receivables are eligible to satisfy the claims of creditors of TLMT, TMS, TGM or the Partnership. As of December 31, 2015, total funding under the Securitization Facility was \$219.3 million.

Distributions

During 2015, the Partnership paid cash distributions of \$3.28 per unit, an increase of approximately six percent compared with the \$3.09 per unit paid during 2014. In January 2016, the general partner declared a cash distribution of \$0.825 per unit (\$3.30 on an annualized basis) for the fourth quarter 2015, an increase of approximately two percent compared with the \$0.81 per unit declared in January 2015.

Other Financing Activities

In connection with the closing of the Atlas mergers, we entered into the TRC Credit Agreement, dated as of February 27, 2015, among us, each lender from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent, collateral agent, swing line lender and letter of credit issuer. The TRC Credit Agreement provides for a new five year revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount up to \$670 million and a seven year term loan facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$430 million. We used the net proceeds from the term loan issuance and the revolving credit facility to fund cash components of the ATLS merger, including cash merger consideration and approximately \$160 million related to change of control payments made by ATLS, cash settlements of equity awards and transaction fees and expenses. In March 2015, we repaid \$188.0 million of the term loan and wrote off \$3.3 million of the discount and \$5.7 million of debt issuance costs. In June 2015, we repaid \$82.0 million of the term loan and wrote off \$1.4 million of the discount and \$2.4 million of debt issuance costs. The write-off of the discount and debt issuance costs are reflected as Loss from financing activities on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

In January 2015, the Partnership and Targa Resources Partners Finance Corporation (collectively, the "Partnership Issuers") issued \$1.1 billion in aggregate principal amount of 5% Notes resulting in approximately \$1,089.8 million of net proceeds after costs, which were used together with borrowings under the TRP Revolver, to fund the APL Notes Tender Offers and the Change of Control Offer.

In February 2015, the Partnership amended the TRP Revolver to increase available commitments to \$1.6 billion from \$1.2 billion while retaining the Partnership's right to request up to an additional \$300.0 million in commitment increases. The Partnership used proceeds from borrowings under the credit facility to fund some of the cash components of the APL merger, including \$701.4 million for the repayments of the APL Revolver and \$28.8 million related to change of control payments. In connection with the 58,614,157 common units issued in the Atlas mergers in February 2015, we contributed an additional \$52.4 million to the Partnership to maintain our 2% general partner interest.

In May 2015, the Partnership entered into the May 2015 EDA, pursuant to which it may sell through its sales agents, at its option, up to an aggregate of \$1.0 billion of common units. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Partnership issued 7,377,380 common units under its EDAs, receiving proceeds of \$316.1 million (net of commissions). As of December 31, 2015, approximately \$4.2 million of capacity and \$835.6 million of capacity remain under the May 2014 and May 2015 EDAs. During the year ended December 31, 2015 we contributed \$6.5 million to the Partnership to maintain our 2% general partner interest. Pursuant to the TRC/TRP Merger Agreement, TRC has agreed to cause the TRP common units to be delisted from the NYSE and deregistered under the Exchange Act. As a result of the completion of the TRC/TRP Merger, the TRP common units are no longer publicly traded.

In May 2015, the Partnership Issuers issued \$342.1 million aggregate principal amount of the TRP 6 5/8% Notes to holders of the 2020 APL Notes, which were validly tendered for exchange.

In September 2015, the Partnership Issuers issued \$600.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6 3/4% Notes resulting in approximately \$595.0 million of net proceeds after costs, which were used to reduce borrowings under the TRP Revolver and for general partnership purposes.

In October 2015, the Partnership completed an offering of 4,400,000 Preferred Units at a price of \$25.00 per unit. The Partnership sold an additional 600,000 Preferred Units pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option. The Partnership received net proceeds after costs of approximately \$121.1 million. The Partnership used the net proceeds from this offering to reduce borrowings under the TRP Revolver and for general partnership purposes. As of December 31, 2015, the Partnership has paid \$1.5 million in distributions to its preferred unitholders. See Note 11 - Partnership Units and Related Matters. The Preferred Units remain outstanding as limited partner interests in TRP and continue to trade on the NYSE under the symbol "NGLS PRA."

In December 2015, the Partnership repurchased on the open market a portion of its various series of outstanding senior notes paying \$14.3 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$17.9 million of the outstanding balances. The December 2015 Senior Note Repurchases resulted in a \$3.6 million gain on debt repurchase and a write-off of \$0.1 million in related deferred debt issuance costs.

APL Merger Financing Activities

APL Senior Notes Tender Offers

In January 2015, the Partnership commenced cash tender offers for any and all of the outstanding fixed rate senior secured notes to be acquired in the APL merger, referred to as the APL Notes Tender Offers, which totaled \$1.55 billion.

The results of the APL Notes Tender Offers were:

Senior Notes		tstanding e Balance	Amount Tendered (\$	I	emium Paid in millio	In	crued terest Paid	 al Tender Offer syments	% Tendered	afte	e Balance er Tender Offers
6 5⁄8% dı	ie 2020	\$ 500.0	\$ 140.1	\$	2.1	\$	3.7	\$ 145.9	28.02%	\$	359.9
4 3⁄4% dı	ie 2021	400.0	393.5		5.9		5.3	404.7	98.38%		6.5
5 7⁄8% dı	ie 2023	650.0	601.9		8.7		2.6	613.2	92.60%		48.1
Total		\$ 1,550.0	\$1,135.5	\$	16.7	\$	11.6	\$ 1,163.8		\$	414.5

In connection with the APL Notes Tender Offers, on February 27, 2015, the supplemental indentures governing the 4 3/4% Senior Notes due 2021 (the "2021 APL Notes") and the 5 7/8% Senior Notes due 2023 (the "2023 APL Notes") of TPL and Targa Pipeline Finance Corporation (formerly known as Atlas Pipeline Finance Corporation) (together, the "APL Issuers"), became operative. These supplemental indentures eliminated substantially all of the restrictive covenants and certain events of default applicable to the 2021 APL Notes and the 2023 APL Notes that were not accepted for payment.

Not having achieved the minimum tender condition on the 6 5/8% Senior Notes due 2020 of the APL Issuers (the "2020 APL Notes"), the Partnership made a change of control offer, referred to as the Change of Control Offer, for any and all of the 2020 APL Notes in advance of, and conditioned upon, the consummation of the APL merger. In March 2015, holders representing \$4.8 million of the outstanding 2020 APL Notes tendered their notes requiring a payment of \$5.0 million, which included the change of control premium and accrued interest.

Payments made under the APL Notes Tender Offers and Change of Control Offer totaling \$1,168.8 million are presented as financing activities for the Partnership in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*, which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, Revenue Recognition, and most industry-specific guidance. The update also creates a new Subtopic 340-40, Other Assets and Deferred Costs – Contracts with Customers, which provides guidance for the incremental costs of obtaining a contract with a customer and those costs incurred in fulfilling a contract with a customer that are not in the scope of another topic. The new revenue standard requires that entities should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entities expect to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, the standard requires a five step process of identifying the contracts with customers, identifying the performance obligations in the contracts, determining the transaction price, allocating the transaction price to the performance obligations, and recognizing revenue when, or as, the performance obligations are satisfied. The amendment also requires enhanced disclosures regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers.

The revenue recognition standard is effective for the annual period beginning December 15, 2017, and for annual and interim periods thereafter. Earlier adoption is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. We must retroactively apply the new revenue recognition standard to transactions in all prior periods presented, but will have a choice between either (1) restating each prior period presented or (2) presenting a cumulative effect adjustment in the period the amendment is adopted. We expect to adopt this guidance on January 1, 2018 and are continuing to evaluate the impact on our revenue recognition practices.

In November 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-16, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): *Determining Whether the Host Contract in a Hybrid Financial Instrument Issued in the Form of a Share Is More Akin to Debt or to Equity (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force).* The amendments in this update clarify how current GAAP should be interpreted in evaluating the economic characteristics and risks of a host contract in a hybrid financial instrument that is issued in the form of a share. These amendments have been adopted, with no material impact on our consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, *Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis*. The amendments in this update are intended to simplify the consolidation evaluation for reporting organizations that are required to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities and modify the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are variable interest entities or voting interest entities. Our analysis of the amendments indicates that we will continue to consolidate the Partnership upon the adoption of this guidance in the first quarter of 2016. We are currently evaluating the effect of the amendments by revisiting our consolidation model for each of our less-than-wholly owned subsidiaries and do not expect the amendments to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements or related disclosures.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, *Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs.* The amendments in this update require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability (other than revolving credit facilities) be presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. This update deals solely with financial statement display matters; recognition and measurement of debt issuance costs are unaffected. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15, *Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements.* The amendment clarifies ASU 2015-03 and provides that an entity may defer and present debt issuance costs for a line-of-credit or other revolving credit facility arrangement as an asset and subsequently amortize the deferred debt issuance costs ratably over the term of the arrangement, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the arrangement. Unamortized debt issuance costs of \$14.4 million and \$8.4 million for revolving credit facilities were included in Other long-term assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014. We will continue to include debt issuance costs for

our line-of-credit and revolving credit facility arrangements in Other long-term assets upon adoption of ASU 2015-03. These amendments are effective for us on January 1, 2016. We adopted the amendments on January 1, 2016 and have reclassified unamortized debt issuance costs of \$42.7 million and \$29.9 million for term loans on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 from Other long-term assets to long-term debt.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, *Inventory (Topic 303): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory.* Topic 303 currently requires inventory to be measured at the lower of cost or market, where market could be replacement cost, net realizable value or net realizable value less a normal profit margin. The amendments in this update require that all inventory, excluding inventory that is measured using the last-in, first-out method or the retail inventory method, be measured at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Net realizable value is defined as the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation. These amendments have been adopted, with no impact on our consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16, *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments*. Topic 805 currently requires that adjustments to provisional amounts recorded in a business combination be recognized retrospectively as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. The amendments in this update require that an acquirer recognize these measurement-period adjustments in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined, with the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization or other income effects, if any, as a result of the change to the provisional amounts, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. The amendments require disclosure of the amount recorded in current-period earnings that would have been recorded in previous reporting periods if the adjustment to the provisional amounts had been recognized as of the acquisition date. The amendments are effective for us in 2016, with early adoption permitted. We adopted the amendments on September 30, 2015 and have recognized the measurement-period adjustments for the Atlas mergers determined in the three months ended December 31, 2015 in current period earnings. See Note 4 – Business Acquisitions for additional information regarding the nature and amount of the measurement-period adjustments.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, *Income Taxes (Topic 740): Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes.* The amendments in this update require that deferred tax asset and liabilities be classified as noncurrent on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. We adopted these amendments retrospectively on December 31, 2015. As a result, we have revised our December 31, 2014 Consolidated Balance Sheet to reclassify \$0.1 million of current deferred tax assets to noncurrent and \$0.6 million of current deferred tax liabilities to noncurrent.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*. The amendments in this update require, among other things, that lessees recognize the following for all leases (with the exception of short-term leases) at the commencement date: (1) a lease liability, which is a lessee's obligation to make lease payments arising from a lease, measured on a discounted basis; and (2) a right-of-use asset, which is an asset that represents the lessee's right to use, or control the use of, a specified asset for the lease term. Lessees and lessors must apply a modified retrospective transition approach for leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements. We expect to adopt the amendments in the first quarter of 2019 and are currently evaluating the impacts of the amendments to our financial statements and accounting practices for leases.

Factors That Significantly Affect the Partnership's Results

The Partnership's results of operations are substantially impacted by changes in commodity prices, the volumes that move through its gathering, processing and logistics assets, contract terms, the impact of hedging activities and the cost to operate and support assets.

Commodity Prices

The following table presents selected annual and quarterly industry index prices for natural gas, selected NGL products and crude oil for the periods presented:

Average Quarterly & <u>Annual Prices</u> 2016	<u>Natural Ga</u>	s \$/MMBtu (1)	ve Targa NGL gal (2)	Crude Oil \$/Bbl (3)		
1st Quarter (4)	\$	2.38	\$ 0.33	\$	31.78	
<u>2015</u>						
4th Quarter	\$	2.27	\$ 0.40	\$	42.17	
3rd Quarter		2.77	0.39		46.44	
2nd Quarter		2.65	0.44		57.96	
1st Quarter		2.99	0.46		48.57	
2015 Average		2.67	0.42		48.79	
2014 4th Quarter 3rd Quarter 2nd Quarter 1st Quarter	\$	4.04 4.07 4.68 4.95	\$ 0.63 0.84 0.88 0.98	\$	73.12 97.21 102.98 98.62	
2014 Average		4.43	0.83		92.99	
2013						
4th Quarter	\$	3.61	\$ 0.92	\$	97.50	
3rd Quarter		3.58	0.86		105.82	
2nd Quarter		4.10	0.81		94.23	
1st Quarter		3.34	0.86		94.35	
2013 Average		3.65	0.86		97.98	

(1) Natural gas prices are based on average quarterly and annual prices from Henry Hub I-FERC commercial index prices.

(2) NGL prices are based on quarterly weighted average prices and annual averages of prices from Mont Belvieu Non-TET monthly commercial index prices. Illustrative Targa NGL contains 37% ethane, 35% propane, 10% natural gasoline, 6% isobutane and 12% normal butane.

(3) Crude oil prices are based on quarterly weighted average prices and annual averages of daily prices from West Texas Intermediate commercial index prices as measured on the NYMEX.

(4) Prices for the first quarter of 2016 are based on the monthly average price for January 2016.

Volumes

In the Partnership's gathering and processing operations, plant inlet volumes, crude oil volumes and capacity utilization rates generally are driven by wellhead production and the Partnership's competitive and contractual position on a regional basis and more broadly by the impact of prices for oil, natural gas and NGLs on exploration and production activity in the areas of the Partnership's operations. The factors that impact the gathering and processing volumes also impact the total volumes that flow to the Downstream Business. In addition, fractionation volumes are also affected by the location of the resulting mixed NGLs, available pipeline capacity to transport NGLs to the Partnership's fractionators and its competitive and contractual position relative to other fractionators.

Contract Terms, Contract Mix and the Impact of Commodity Prices

Because of the potential for significant volatility of natural gas and NGL prices, the contract mix of the Partnership's Gathering and Processing segment, other than fee-based contracts in Badlands and other gathering and processing business units and certain other gathering and processing services, can have a material impact on its profitability, especially those contracts that create direct exposure to changes in energy prices by paying the Partnership for gathering and processing services with a portion of the commodities handled ("equity volumes").

Contract terms in the Gathering and Processing segment are based upon a variety of factors, including natural gas and crude quality, geographic location, competitive dynamics and the pricing environment at the time the contract is executed, and customer requirements. The Partnership's gathering and processing contract mix and, accordingly, its exposure to crude, natural gas and NGL prices may change as a result of producer preferences, competition and changes in production as wells decline at different rates or are added, its expansion into regions where different types of contracts are more common and other market factors. For example, the Partnership's Badlands and SouthTX crude and natural gas contracts are essentially 100% fee-based.

The contract terms and contract mix of the Partnership's Downstream Business can also have a significant impact on the Partnership's results of operations. During periods of low relative demand for available fractionation capacity, rates were low and frac-or-pay contracts were not readily available. The current demand for fractionation services has grown resulting in increases in fractionation fees and contract term. In addition, reservation fees are required. Increased demand for export services also supports fee-based contracts. The Logistics and Marketing segment includes both fee-based and percent-of-proceeds contracts.

Impact of the Partnership's Commodity Price Hedging Activities

The Partnership has hedged the commodity price risk associated with a portion of its expected natural gas, NGL and condensate equity volumes through 2018 by entering into financially settled derivative transactions. These transactions include swaps, futures, and purchased puts (or floors) and calls (or caps) to hedge additional expected equity commodity volumes without creating volumetric risk. The Partnership may buy calls in connection with swap positions to create a price floor with upside. The Partnership intends to continue managing its exposure to commodity prices in the future by entering into derivative transactions. The Partnership actively manages the Downstream Business product inventory and other working capital levels to reduce exposure to changing NGL prices. For additional information regarding the Partnership's hedging activities, see "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk— Commodity Price Risk" in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016.

Operating Expenses

Variable costs such as fuel, utilities, power, service and repairs can impact the Partnership's results as volumes fluctuate through its systems. Continued expansion of existing assets will also give rise to additional operating expenses, which will affect the Partnership's results. The employees supporting the Partnership's operations are employees of Targa Resources LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of us. The Partnership reimburses us for the payment of certain operating expenses, including compensation and benefits of operating personnel assigned to the Partnership's assets.

General and Administrative Expenses

We perform centralized corporate functions for the Partnership, such as legal, accounting, treasury, insurance, risk management, health, safety, environmental, information technology, human resources, credit, payroll, internal audit, taxes engineering and marketing. Other than our direct costs of being a separate public reporting company, these costs are reimbursed by the Partnership. See "Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence" in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016.

General Trends and Outlook

We expect the midstream energy business environment to continue to be affected by the following key trends: demand for the Partnership's products and services, commodity prices, volatile capital markets and increased regulation. These expectations are based on assumptions made by us and information currently available to us. To the extent our underlying assumptions about or interpretations of available information prove to be incorrect, the Partnership's actual results may vary materially from our expected results.

Demand for the Partnership's Services

Fluctuations in energy prices can affect production rates and investments by third parties in the development of oil and natural gas reserves. Generally, drilling and production activity will increase as energy prices increase. The recent substantial decline in oil, condensate, NGL and natural gas prices has led many exploration and production companies to reduce planned capital expenditures for drilling and production activities during 2016.

In the Partnership's Gathering and Processing areas of operation, producers have reduced and are likely to continue to reduce their drilling activity to varying degrees, which may lead to lower oil, condensate, NGL and natural gas volume growth in the near term and reduced demand for the Partnership's services. Producer activity generates demand in the Partnership's Downstream Business for fractionation and other fee-based services, which may decrease in the near term. As prices have declined, demand for the Partnership's international export, storage and terminaling services has remained relatively constant, as demand for these services is based on a number of domestic and international factors.

Commodity Prices

There has been, and we believe there will continue to be, significant volatility in commodity prices and in the relationships among NGL, crude oil and natural gas prices. In addition, the volatility and uncertainty of natural gas, crude oil and NGL prices impact drilling, completion and other investment decisions by producers and ultimately supply to the Partnership's systems. Notably, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2014 and continuing in 2015, there has been a significant decline in commodity prices. We can not predict how long this decline in commodity prices will extend. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors – The Partnership's cash flow is affected by supply and demand for natural gas and NGL products and by natural gas, NGL, crude oil and condensate prices, and decreases in these prices could adversely affect its results of operations and financial condition." in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016.

The Partnership's operating income generally improves in an environment of higher natural gas, NGL and condensate prices, and where the spread between NGL prices and natural gas prices widens primarily as a result of its percent-of-proceeds contracts. The Partnership's processing profitability is largely dependent upon pricing and the supply of and market demand for natural gas, NGLs and condensate. Pricing and supply are beyond its control and have been volatile. In a declining commodity price environment, without taking into account the Partnership's hedges, the Partnership will realize a reduction in cash flows under its percent-of-proceeds contracts proportionate to average price declines. Due to the recent volatility in commodity prices, we are uncertain of what pricing and market demand for oil, condensate, NGLs and natural gas will be throughout 2016, and, as a result, demand for the services that we provide may decrease. Across the Partnership's operations and particularly in the Partnership's Downstream Business, the Partnership benefits from long-term feebased arrangements for its services, regardless of the actual volumes processed or delivered. The significant level of margin we derive from fee-based arrangements combined with the Partnership's hedging arrangements helps to mitigate the Partnership's exposure to commodity price movements. For additional information regarding the Partnership's hedging activities, see "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk—Commodity Price Risk" in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016.

Volatile Capital Markets

The Partnership continuously considers and enters into discussions regarding potential acquisitions and growth projects, and identifies appropriate private and public capital sources for funding potential acquisitions and growth projects. Any limitations on the Partnership's access to capital may impair its ability to execute this strategy. If the cost of such capital becomes too expensive, the Partnership's ability to develop or acquire strategic and accretive assets may be limited. The Partnership may not be able to raise the necessary funds on satisfactory terms, if at all. The primary factors influencing the Partnership's cost of borrowing include interest rates, credit spreads, covenants, underwriting or loan origination fees and similar charges it pays to lenders. These factors may impair the Partnership's ability to execute its acquisition and growth strategy.

In addition, the Partnership is experiencing increased competition for the types of assets it contemplates purchasing or developing. Current economic conditions and competition for asset purchases and development opportunities could limit its ability to fully execute its acquisition and growth strategy.

Increased Regulation

Additional regulation in various areas has the potential to materially impact the Partnership's operations and financial condition. For example, increased regulation of hydraulic fracturing and increased GHG emission regulations used by producers may cause reductions in supplies of natural gas, NGLs, and crude oil from producers. Please read "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Increased regulation of hydraulic fracturing could result in reductions or delays in drilling and completing new oil and natural gas wells, which could adversely impact the Partnership's revenues by decreasing the volumes of natural gas, NGLs or crude oil through its facilities and

reducing the utilization of its assets." in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016. Similarly, the forthcoming rules and regulations of the CFTC may limit the Partnership's ability or increase the cost to use derivatives, which could create more volatility and less predictability in its results of operations.

How We Evaluate Our Operations

Our consolidated operations include the operations of the Partnership due to our ownership and control of the general partner. We currently have no direct operating activities separate from those conducted by the Partnership. Our financial results differ from the Partnership's due to the financial effects of: noncontrolling interests in the Partnership, our separate debt obligations, certain non-operating costs associated with assets and liabilities that we retained and were not included in asset conveyances to the Partnership, and certain general and administrative costs applicable to us as a separate public company. We monitor these non-partnership financial items to ensure proper reflection of the Partnership and Non-Partnership results.

Distributable Cash Flow

Management's primary measure of analyzing our performance is the non-GAAP measure distributable cash flow.

We define distributable cash flow as distributions due to us from the Partnership, less our specific general and administrative costs as a separate public reporting entity, the interest carrying costs associated with our debt and taxes attributable to our earnings. It excludes transaction costs related to acquisitions, losses on debt redemptions and amendments and non-cash interest expense. Distributable cash flow is a significant performance metric used by us and by external users of our financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks, research analysts and others to compare basic cash flows generated by us to the cash dividends we expect to pay our shareholders. Using this metric, management and external users of our financial statements can quickly compute the coverage ratio of estimated cash flows to planned cash dividends. Distributable cash flow is also an important financial measure for our shareholders since it serves as an indicator of our success in providing a cash return on investment. Specifically, this financial measure indicates to investors whether or not we are generating cash flow at a level that can sustain or support an increase in our quarterly dividend rates. Distributable cash flow is also a quantitative standard used throughout the investment community because the share value is generally determined by the share's yield (which in turn is based on the amount of cash dividends the entity pays to a shareholder).

The economic substance behind our use of distributable cash flow is to measure the ability of our assets to generate cash flow sufficient to pay dividends to our investors.

The GAAP measure most directly comparable to distributable cash flow is net income. Distributable cash flow should not be considered as an alternative to GAAP net income. Distributable cash flow is not a presentation made in accordance with GAAP and has important limitations as an analytical tool. Investors should not consider distributable cash flow in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of our results as reported under GAAP. Because distributable cash flow excludes some, but not all, items that affect net income and is defined differently by different companies in our industry, our definition of distributable cash flow may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies, thereby diminishing its utility.

Our Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Management compensates for the limitations of distributable cash flow as an analytical tool by reviewing the comparable GAAP measure, understanding the differences between the measures and incorporating these insights into its decision making process.

	2015	2014 Tn millions)	2013
Targa Resources Corp. Distributable Cash Flow			
Distributions declared by Targa Resources Partners LP associated with:			
General Partner Interests	\$ 15.9	\$ 10.2	\$ 8.4
Incentive Distribution Rights	173.4	139.8	103.1
Common Units	53.9	40.8	37.5
Total distributions declared by Targa Resources Partners LP	243.2	190.8	149.0
Income (expenses) of TRC Non-Partnership			
General and administrative expenses	(8.1)	(8.2)	(8.4)
Interest expense, net (1)	(21.4)	(3.3)	(3.1)
Current cash tax expense (2)	(9.5)	(63.5)	(31.0)
Taxes funded with cash on hand (3)	9.5	11.8	10.0
Other income (expense)	0.1	(2.9)	0.1
Distributable cash flow	\$213.8	\$124.7	\$116.6

(1) Excludes non-cash interest expense.

(2) Excludes \$4.7 million of non-cash current tax expense arising from amortization of deferred long-term tax assets from drop down gains realized for tax purposes and paid in 2010 for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

(3) Current period portion of amount established at our IPO to fund taxes on deferred gains related to drop down transactions that were treated as sales for income tax purposes.

	2015	2014 (In millions)	2013
Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) of Targa Resources Corp. to Distributable Cash Flow		(111 111110113)	
Net income (loss) of Targa Resources Corp.	\$(151.4)	\$ 423.0	\$ 201.3
Less: Net (income) loss of Targa Resources Partners LP	59.3	(505.1)	(258.6)
Net loss for TRC Non-Partnership	(92.1)	(82.1)	(57.3)
TRC Non-Partnership income tax expense	39.0	63.2	45.3
Distributions from the Partnership	243.2	190.8	149.0
Non-cash loss on hedges	_		0.3
Loss from financing activities	12.9	—	—
Non-cash interest expense (1)	2.7	—	—
Depreciation - Non-Partnership assets	—	4.5	0.3
Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)	8.1	—	—
Current cash tax expense (2)	(9.5)	(63.5)	(31.0)
Taxes funded with cash on hand (3)	9.5	11.8	10.0
Distributable cash flow	\$ 213.8	\$ 124.7	\$ 116.6

(1) The definition of Distributable cash flow was revised in 2015 to adjust for transaction costs related to business acquisitions and non-cash interest expense.

(2) Excludes \$4.7 million of non-cash current tax expense arising from amortization of deferred long-term tax assets from drop down gains realized for tax purposes and paid in 2010 for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

(3) Current period portion of amount established at our IPO to fund taxes on deferred gains related to drop down transactions that were treated as sales for income tax purposes.

How We Evaluate the Partnership's Operations

The Partnership's profitability of its business segments is a function of the difference between: (i) the revenues the Partnership receives from its operations, including fee-based revenues from services and revenues from the natural gas, NGLs, crude oil and condensate the Partnership sells, and (ii) the costs associated with conducting the Partnership's operations, including the costs of wellhead natural gas, crude oil and mixed NGLs that the Partnership purchases as well as operating, general and administrative costs and the impact of commodity hedging activities. Because commodity price movements tend to impact both revenues and costs, increases or decreases in the Partnership's revenues alone are not necessarily indicative of increases or decreases in its profitability. The Partnership's contract portfolio, the prevailing pricing environment for crude oil, natural gas and NGLs, and the volumes of crude oil, natural gas and NGL throughput on its systems are important factors in determining its profitability. The Partnership's profitability is also affected by the NGL content in gathered wellhead natural gas, supply and demand for its products and services, utilization of its assets and changes in its customer mix.

The Partnership's profitability is also impacted by fee-based revenues. The Partnership's growth strategy, based on expansion of existing facilities as well as third-party acquisitions of businesses and assets, has increased the percentage of our revenues that are fee-based. Fixed fees for services such as fractionation, storage, terminaling and crude oil gathering are not directly tied to changes in market prices for commodities.

Management uses a variety of financial measures and operational measurements to analyze the Partnership's performance. These include: (1) throughput volumes, facility efficiencies and fuel consumption, (2) operating expenses, (3) capital expenditures and (4) the following non-GAAP measures: gross margin, operating margin, adjusted EBITDA and distributable cash flow.

Throughput Volumes, Facility Efficiencies and Fuel Consumption

The Partnership's profitability is impacted by its ability to add new sources of natural gas supply and crude oil supply to offset the natural decline of existing volumes from oil and natural gas wells that are connected to its gathering and processing systems. This is achieved by connecting new wells and adding new volumes in existing areas of production, as well as by capturing crude oil and natural gas supplies currently gathered by third-parties. Similarly, the Partnership's profitability is impacted by its ability to add new sources of mixed NGL supply, typically connected by third-party transportation, to its Downstream Business' fractionation facilities. The Partnership fractionates NGLs generated by its gathering and processing plants, as well as by contracting for mixed NGL supply from third-party facilities.

In addition, the Partnership seeks to increase operating margin by limiting volume losses, reducing fuel consumption and by increasing efficiency. With its gathering systems' extensive use of remote monitoring capabilities, the Partnership monitors the volumes received at the wellhead or central delivery points along its gathering systems, the volume of natural gas received at its processing plant inlets and the volumes of NGLs and residue natural gas recovered by its processing plants. The Partnership also monitors the volumes of NGLs received, stored, fractionated and delivered across its logistics assets. This information is tracked through its processing plants and Downstream Business facilities to determine customer settlements for sales and volume related fees for service and helps the Partnership increase efficiency and reduces fuel consumption.

As part of monitoring the efficiency of its operations, the Partnership measures the difference between the volume of natural gas received at the wellhead or central delivery points on its gathering systems and the volume received at the inlet of its processing plants as an indicator of fuel consumption and line loss. The Partnership also tracks the difference between the volume of natural gas received at the inlet of the processing plant and the NGLs and residue gas produced at the outlet of such plant to monitor the fuel consumption and recoveries of its facilities. Similar tracking is performed for its crude oil gathering and logistics assets. These volume, recovery and fuel consumption measurements are an important part of the Partnership's operational efficiency analysis and safety programs.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses are costs associated with the operation of specific assets. Labor, contract services, repair and maintenance, utilities and ad valorem taxes comprise the most significant portion of the Partnership's operating expenses. These expenses, other than fuel and power, generally remain relatively stable and independent of the volumes through its systems, but fluctuate depending on the scope of the activities performed during a specific period.

Capital Expenditures

Capital projects associated with growth and maintenance projects are closely monitored. Return on investment is analyzed before a capital project is approved, spending is closely monitored throughout the development of the project, and the subsequent operational performance is compared to the assumptions used in the economic analysis performed for the capital investment approval. The Partnership has seen a substantial increase in its total capital spent since 2010 and currently has significant internal growth projects.

Gross Margin

The Partnership defines gross margin as revenues less purchases. It is impacted by volumes and commodity prices as well as by the Partnership's contract mix and commodity hedging program.

The Partnership defines Gathering and Processing gross margin as total operating revenues from (1) the sale of natural gas, condensate, crude oil and NGLs and (2) natural gas and crude oil gathering and service fee revenues less product purchases, which consist primarily of producer payments and other natural gas and crude oil purchases.

Logistics and Marketing segment gross margin consists primarily of (1) service fee revenue (including the pass-through of energy costs included in fee rates), (2) system product gains and losses, and (3) NGL and natural gas sales less NGL and natural gas purchases, transportation costs and in the net inventory change.

The gross margin impacts of cash flow hedge settlements are reported in Other.

Operating Margin

The Partnership defines operating margin as gross margin less operating expenses. Operating margin is an important performance measure of the core profitability of the Partnership's operations.

Management reviews business segment gross margin and operating margin monthly as a core internal management process. We believe that investors benefit from having access to the same financial measures that management uses in evaluating the Partnership's operating results. Gross margin and operating margin provide useful information to investors because they are used as supplemental financial measures by management and by external users of the Partnership's financial statements, including investors and commercial banks, to assess:

- the financial performance of the Partnership's assets without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis;
- the Partnership's operating performance and return on capital as compared to other companies in the midstream energy sector, without regard to financing or capital structure; and
- the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the overall rates of return on alternative investment opportunities.

Gross margin and operating margin are non-GAAP measures. The GAAP measure most directly comparable to gross margin and operating margin is net income. Gross margin and operating margin are not alternatives to GAAP net income and have important limitations as analytical tools. Investors should not consider gross margin and operating margin in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of the Partnership's results as reported under GAAP. Because gross margin and operating margin exclude some, but not all, items that affect net income and are defined differently by different companies in our industry, the Partnership's definition of gross margin and operating margin may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies, thereby diminishing their utility.

Management compensates for the limitations of gross margin and operating margin as analytical tools by reviewing the comparable GAAP measures, understanding the differences between the measures and incorporating these insights into its decision-making processes.

Adjusted EBITDA

The Partnership defines Adjusted EBITDA as net income attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP before: interest; income taxes; depreciation and amortization; impairment of goodwill; gains or losses on debt repurchases, redemptions, amendments, exchanges and early debt extinguishments and asset disposals; risk management activities related to derivative instruments including the cash impact of hedges acquired in the APL merger; non-cash compensation on Partnership equity grants; transaction costs related to business acquisitions; earnings/losses from unconsolidated affiliates net of distributions, distributions from preferred interests and the noncontrolling interest portion of depreciation and amortization expenses. Adjusted EBITDA is used as a supplemental financial measure by the Partnership and by external users of its financial statements such as investors, commercial banks and others. The economic substance behind the Partnership's use of Adjusted EBITDA is to measure the ability of its assets to generate cash sufficient to pay interest costs, support its indebtedness and make distributions to its investors.

Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure. The GAAP measures most directly comparable to Adjusted EBITDA are net cash provided by operating activities and net income attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP. Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered as an alternative to GAAP net cash provided by operating activities or GAAP net income. Adjusted EBITDA has important limitations as an analytical tool. Investors should not consider Adjusted EBITDA in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of the Partnership's results as reported under GAAP. Because Adjusted EBITDA excludes some, but not all, items that affect net income and net cash provided by operating activities and is defined differently by different companies in the Partnership's industry, the Partnership's definition of Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies, thereby diminishing its utility.

Management compensates for the limitations of Adjusted EBITDA as an analytical tool by reviewing the comparable GAAP measures, understanding the differences between the measures and incorporating these insights into its decision-making processes.

Distributable Cash Flow

The Partnership defines distributable cash flow as net income attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP plus depreciation and amortization, impairment of goodwill; deferred taxes and amortization of debt issuance costs included in interest expense, adjusted for non-cash risk management activities related to derivative instruments including the cash impact of hedges acquired in the APL merger; debt repurchases, redemptions, amendments, exchanges and early debt extinguishments, non-cash compensation on Partnership equity grants, changes in fair value of contingent consideration and mandatorily redeemable preferred interests, transaction costs related to business acquisitions, earnings/losses from unconsolidated affiliates net of distributions and asset disposals and less maintenance capital expenditures (net of any reimbursements of project costs). This measure includes any impact of noncontrolling interests.

Distributable cash flow is a significant performance metric used by the Partnership and by external users of the Partnership's financial statements, such as investors, commercial banks and research analysts, to compare basic cash flows generated by the Partnership (prior to the establishment of any retained cash reserves by the board of directors of its general partner) to the cash distributions the Partnership expects to pay the Partnership's limited partners. Using this metric, the Partnership's management and external users of its financial statements can quickly compute the coverage ratio of estimated cash flows to cash distributions. Distributable cash flow is also an important financial measure for the Partnership's limited partners whether or not the Partnership is generating cash flow at a level that can sustain or support an increase in the Partnership's quarterly distribution rates. Distributable cash flow is also a quantitative standard used throughout the investment community with respect to publicly-traded partnerships and limited liability companies because the value of a unit of such an entity is generally determined by the unit's yield (which in turn is based on the amount of cash distributions the entity pays to a limited partner).

Distributable cash flow is a non-GAAP financial measure. The GAAP measure most directly comparable to distributable cash flow is net income attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP. Distributable cash flow should not be considered as an alternative to GAAP net income attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP. It has important limitations as an analytical tool. Investors should not consider distributable cash flow in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of the Partnership's results as reported under GAAP. Because distributable cash flow excludes some, but not all, items that affect net income and is defined differently by different companies in the Partnership's industry, the Partnership's definition of distributable cash flow may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies, thereby diminishing its utility.

Management compensates for the limitations of distributable cash flow as an analytical tool by reviewing the comparable GAAP measure, understanding the differences between the measures and incorporating these insights into its decision-making processes.

The Partnership's Non-GAAP Financial Measures

The following tables reconcile the non-GAAP financial measures of the Partnership used by management to the most directly comparable GAAP measures for the periods indicated:

Reconciliation of Targa Resources Partners LP gross margin and operating margin to net income (loss): Single		2015	2014 (In millions)	2013
Gross margin \$1,821.0 \$1,623.9 \$1,216.3 Operating expenses (540.0) (487.3) (414.8) Operating margin 1,281.0 1,136.6 801.5 Depreciation and amortization expenses (677.1) (346.5) (271.6) General and administrative expenses (153.6) (139.8) (143.1) Provisional goodwill impairment (290.0) - - Interest expense, net (207.8) (143.8) (31.0) Income tax expense (0.6) (4.8) (2.9) Gain (loss) on sale or disposition of assets 8.0 4.8 (3.9) Gain (loss) from financing activities 2.8 (12.4) (14.7) Change in contingent consideration 1.2 - 15.3 Other, net (23.2) 11.0 9.0 Net income (loss) to Adjusted EBITDA $\frac{2015}{(10.0)}$ $\frac{2013}{(10.0)}$ $\frac{1000}{(10.0)}$ Net income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP $\frac{5}{(27.4)}$ $\frac{5}{467.7}$ $\frac{233.5}{23.5}$ Interest expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0	Reconciliation of Targa Resources Partners LP gross margin and operating margin to net		(
Operating expenses (540.0) (487.3) (414.8) Operating margin 1,281.0 1,136.6 801.5 Depreciation and amortization expenses (677.1) (346.5) (271.6) General and administrative expenses (153.6) (139.8) (143.1) Provisional goodwill impairment (290.0) - - Interest expense, net (207.8) (143.8) (131.0) Income tax expense (0.6) (4.8) (2.9) Gain (loss) on sale or disposition of assets 8.0 4.8 (3.9) Gain (loss) from financing activities 2.8 (12.4) (14.7) Change in contingent consideration 1.2 - 1.5 255.6 Ver income (loss) \$ 505.1 \$ 258.6 2015 2014 2013 Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA Net income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP \$ (27.4) \$ 467.7 \$ 233.5 Interest expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0 Income tax expense 0.6 4.8 2.9 Depereciation and amortization expenses				
Operating margin 1,281.0 1,136.6 801.5 Depreciation and amortization expenses (677.1) (346.5) (271.6) General and administrative expenses (153.6) (139.8) (143.1) Provisional goodwill impairment (290.0) - - Interest expense, net (207.8) (143.8) (131.0) Income tax expense (0.6) (4.8) (2.9) Gain (loss) on sale or disposition of assets 8.0 4.8 (3.9) Gain (loss) from financing activities 2.8 (12.4) (14.7) Change in contingent consideration 1.2 - 15.3 Other, net (23.2) 11.0 9.0 Net income (loss) \$ (59.3) \$ 505.1 \$ 258.6 2015 2014 2013 (In millions) Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA (In millions) 1.2 Net income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP \$ (27.4) \$ 467.7 \$ 233.5 Interest expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0 <t< td=""><td>8</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	8			
Depreciation and amortization expenses (677.1) (346.5) (271.6) General and administrative expenses (153.6) (139.8) (143.1) Provisional goodwill impairment (290.0) — — Interest expense, net (207.8) (143.8) (131.0) Income tax expense (0.6) (4.8) (2.9) Gain (loss) on sale or disposition of assets 8.0 4.8 (3.9) Gain (loss) from financing activities 2.8 (12.4) (14.7) Change in contingent consideration 1.2 — 15.3 Other, net (23.2) 11.0 9.0 Net income (loss) \$ (59.3) \$ 505.1 \$ 235.5 Interest expense, net 2015 2014 2013 (11.0) 9.0 Net income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP \$ (27.4) \$ 467.7 \$ 233.5 Interest expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0 12.4 143.8 131.0 Income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP \$ (27.4) \$ 467.7 <td< td=""><td></td><td>(540.0)</td><td>(487.3)</td><td>(414.8)</td></td<>		(540.0)	(487.3)	(414.8)
General and administrative expenses (153.6) (139.8) (143.1) Provisional goodwill impairment (290.0) - - Interest expense, net (207.8) (143.8) (131.0) Income tax expense (0.6) (4.8) (2.9) Gain (loss) on sale or disposition of assets 8.0 4.8 (3.9) Gain (loss) from financing activities 2.8 (12.4) (14.7) Change in contingent consideration 1.2 - 15.3 Other, net (23.2) 11.0 9.0 Net income (loss) \$ (59.3) \$ 505.1 \$ 235.5 Income tax expense, net 2015 2014 2013 Income tax expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0 Income tax expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0 Income tax expense 0.6 4.8 2.9 Depreciation and amortization expenses 677.1 346.5 271.6 Provisional goodwill impairment 290.0 - - (Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets (8.0) (4.8) 3.9 (Gain) loss from f		1,281.0	1,136.6	
Provisional goodwill impairment (290.0) Interest expense, net (207.8) (143.8) (131.0) Income tax expense (0.6) (4.8) (2.9) Gain (loss) on sale or disposition of assets 8.0 4.8 (3.9) Gain (loss) from financing activities 2.8 (12.4) (14.7) Change in contingent consideration 1.2 15.3 Other, net (23.2) 11.0 9.0 Net income (loss) \$ 505.1 \$ 258.6 2015 2014 2013 r(m millions) * 505.1 \$ 238.5 Interest expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0 Income tax expense 0.6 4.8 2.9 Depreciation and amortization expenses 677.1 346.5 271.6 Provisional goodwill impairment 290.0 (Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets (8.0) (4.8) 3.9 (Gain) loss from financing activities 2.8 (18.0) (12.0) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates (1) 2.5 (18.0)				
Interest expense, net (207.8) (143.8) (131.0) Income tax expense (0.6) (4.8) (2.9) Gain (loss) no sale or disposition of assets 8.0 4.8 (3.9) Gain (loss) from financing activities 2.8 (12.4) (14.7) Change in contingent consideration 1.2 15.3 Other, net (23.2) 11.0 9.0 Net income (loss) \$ (59.3) \$ 505.1 \$ 258.6 2015 2014 2013 Interest expense, net (13.0) (14.3) Income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP \$ (27.4) \$ 467.7 \$ 233.5 Interest expense, net 2016 2017.2 214.2 213.0 Income tax expense 667.1 346.5 271.6 Provisional goodwill impairment 2000 - - (Gain) loss from financing activities (12.8) 12.4 14.7 (Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets (8.0) (4.8) 3.9 (Gain) loss from financing activities (2.8) 12.4 14.7 (Earnings) los from un		. ,	(139.8)	(143.1)
Income tax expense (0.6) (4.8) (2.9) Gain (loss) on sale or disposition of assets 8.0 4.8 (3.9) Gain (loss) from financing activities 2.8 (12.4) (14.7) Change in contingent consideration 1.2 $ 15.3$ Other, net (23.2) 11.0 9.0 Net income (loss) $$ (59.3)$ $$ 505.1$ $$ 258.6$ 20142013 (In millions)Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDANet income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP $$ (27.4)$ $$ 467.7$ $$ 233.5$ Interest expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0 Income tax expense 677.1 346.5 271.6 Provisional goodwill impairment 290.0 $ -$ (Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets (8.0) (4.8) 3.9 (Gain) loss from financing activities (2.8) 12.4 14.7 (Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1) 21.1 18.0 12.0 Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1) 21.1 18.0 12.0 Change in contingent consideration (1.2) $ -$ Risk management activities 64.8 4.7 (0.5) Other 0.6 $ -$ Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2) (69.7) (14.0) (12.6)	Provisional goodwill impairment	(290.0)	—	—
Gain (loss) on sale or disposition of assets8.04.8(3.9)Gain (loss) from financing activities2.8 (12.4) (14.7) Change in contingent consideration1.2-15.3Other, net(23.2)11.09.0S(59.3)\$ 505.1\$ 258.6 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 20142013 Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA 207.8143.8131.0Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDANet income (Loss) to Targa Resources Partners LP\$ (27.4)\$ 46.77\$ 23.5Interest expense, net207.8143.8131.0Income tax expense0.64.82.9Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1346.5271.6Provisional goodwill impairment290.0(Gain) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)-(15.3)Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)19.2Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)	Interest expense, net	(207.8)	· · ·	(131.0)
Gain (loss) from financing activities2.8 (12.4) (14.7) Change in contingent consideration1.2-15.3Other, net(23.2)11.09.0Net income (loss)\$ 505.1\$ 258.6 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2015 2014 2016 2013(In millions) Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA Net income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP\$ (27.4)\$ 467.7\$ 233.5Interest expense, net207.8143.8131.0Income tax expense0.64.82.9Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1346.5271.6Provisional goodwill impairment290.0(Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets(8.0)(4.8)3.9(Gain) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)-(15.3)Compensation ons related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)		(0.6)	(4.8)	
Change in contingent consideration1.2-15.3Other, net(23.2)11.09.0Net income (loss) $$ (59.3)$ $$ 505.1$ $$ 258.6$ 2015 20142013 Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA Net income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP $$ (27.4)$ $$ 467.7$ $$ 233.5$ Interest expense, net207.8143.8131.0Income tax expense0.64.82.9Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1346.5271.6Provisional goodwill impairment290.0(Gain) loss from financing activities(2.8)12.414.7(Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)-(15.3)Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)19.2Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)		8.0	4.8	(3.9)
Other, net(23.2)11.09.0Net income (loss)\$ (59.3)\$ 505.1\$ 258.6201520142013(In millions)Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDANet income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP\$ (27.4)\$ 467.7\$ 233.5Interest expense, net207.8143.8131.0Income tax expense0.64.82.9Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1346.5271.6Provisional goodwill impairment290.0(Gain) loss from financing activities(2.8)12.414.7(Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)			(12.4)	(14.7)
Net income (loss)\$ (59.3)\$ 505.1\$ 258.6201520142013(In millions)Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDANet income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP\$ (27.4)\$ 467.7\$ 233.5Interest expense, net207.8143.8131.0Income tax expense0.64.82.9Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1346.5271.6Provisional goodwill impairment290.0(Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets(8.0)(4.8)3.9(Gain) loss from financing activities(2.8)12.414.7(Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)(15.3)Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)16.69.2.6Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)			—	
201520142013 (In millions)Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDANet income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP\$ (27.4)\$ 467.7 \$ 233.5Interest expense, net207.8143.8131.0Income tax expense0.64.82.9Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1346.5271.6Provisional goodwill impairment290.0(Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets(8.0)(4.8)3.9(Gain) loss from financing activities(2.8)12.414.7(Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)(15.3)Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)119.2Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)	Other, net	(23.2)	11.0	9.0
Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA Net income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP \$ (27.4) \$ 467.7 \$ 233.5 Interest expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0 Income tax expense 0.6 4.8 2.9 Depreciation and amortization expenses 677.1 346.5 271.6 Provisional goodwill impairment 290.0 (Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets (8.0) (4.8) 3.9 (Gain) loss from financing activities (2.8) 12.4 14.7 (Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1) 2.5 (18.0) (12.0) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1) 21.1 18.0 12.0 Change in contingent consideration (1.2) (15.3) Compensation on TRP equity grants (1) 116.6 9.2 6.0 64.8 4.7 (0.5) Other 0.6 - Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2) (69.7) (14.0) (12.6) (12.6)	Net income (loss)	\$ (59.3)	\$ 505.1	\$ 258.6
Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA Net income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP \$ (27.4) \$ 467.7 \$ 233.5 Interest expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0 Income tax expense 0.6 4.8 2.9 Depreciation and amortization expenses 677.1 346.5 271.6 Provisional goodwill impairment 290.0 (Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets (8.0) (4.8) 3.9 (Gain) loss from financing activities (2.8) 12.4 14.7 (Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1) 2.5 (18.0) (12.0) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1) 21.1 18.0 12.0 Change in contingent consideration (1.2) (15.3) Compensation on TRP equity grants (1) 116.6 9.2 6.0 64.8 4.7 (0.5) Other 0.6 - Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2) (69.7) (14.0) (12.6) (12.6)				
Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA Net income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP \$ (27.4) \$ 467.7 \$ 233.5 Interest expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0 Income tax expense 0.6 4.8 2.9 Depreciation and amortization expenses 677.1 346.5 271.6 Provisional goodwill impairment 290.0 (Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets (8.0) (4.8) 3.9 (Gain) loss from financing activities (2.8) 12.4 14.7 (Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1) 2.5 (18.0) (12.0) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1) 21.1 18.0 12.0 Change in contingent consideration (1.2) (15.3) Compensation on TRP equity grants (1) 16.6 9.2 6.0 Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1) 19.2 Risk management activities 64.8 4.7 (0.5) Other 0.6 </td <td></td> <td>2015</td> <td></td> <td>2013</td>		2015		2013
Net income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP \$ (27.4) \$ 467.7 \$ 233.5 Interest expense, net 207.8 143.8 131.0 Income tax expense 0.6 4.8 2.9 Depreciation and amortization expenses 677.1 346.5 271.6 Provisional goodwill impairment 290.0 — — (Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets (8.0) (4.8) 3.9 (Gain) loss from financing activities (2.8) 12.4 14.7 (Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1) 2.5 (18.0) (12.0) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1) 21.1 18.0 12.0 Change in contingent consideration (1.2) — (15.3) Compensation on TRP equity grants (1) 16.6 9.2 6.0 Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1) 19.2 — — Risk management activities 64.8 4.7 (0.5) Other 0.6 — — — Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2) (69.7) (14.0) (12.6) </td <td>Deconciliation of Not Income (Lose) to Adjusted EPITDA</td> <td></td> <td>(In millions)</td> <td></td>	Deconciliation of Not Income (Lose) to Adjusted EPITDA		(In millions)	
Interest expense, net207.8143.8131.0Income tax expense0.64.82.9Depreciation and amortization expenses 677.1 346.5271.6Provisional goodwill impairment290.0(Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets(8.0)(4.8)3.9(Gain) loss from financing activities(2.8)12.414.7(Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)(15.3)Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)16.69.26.0Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)		¢ (27.4)	¢ 1677	¢ 722 E
Income tax expense 0.6 4.8 2.9 Depreciation and amortization expenses 677.1 346.5 271.6 Provisional goodwill impairment 290.0 $$ $$ (Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets (8.0) (4.8) 3.9 (Gain) loss from financing activities (2.8) 12.4 14.7 (Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1) 2.5 (18.0) (12.0) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1) 21.1 18.0 12.0 Change in contingent consideration (1.2) $$ (15.3) Compensation on TRP equity grants (1) 16.6 9.2 6.0 Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1) 19.2 $$ $$ Risk management activities 64.8 4.7 (0.5) Other 0.6 $$ $$ Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2) (69.7) (14.0) (12.6)				4
Depreciation and amortization expenses 677.1 346.5 271.6 Provisional goodwill impairment 290.0 $ -$ (Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets (8.0) (4.8) 3.9 (Gain) loss from financing activities (2.8) 12.4 14.7 (Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1) 2.5 (18.0) (12.0) Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1) 21.1 18.0 12.0 Change in contingent consideration (1.2) $ (15.3)$ Compensation on TRP equity grants (1) 16.6 9.2 6.0 Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1) 19.2 $ -$ Risk management activities 64.8 4.7 (0.5) Other 0.6 $ -$ Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2) (69.7) (14.0) (12.6)				
Provisional goodwill impairment290.0(Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets(8.0)(4.8)3.9(Gain) loss from financing activities(2.8)12.414.7(Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)(15.3)Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)16.69.26.0Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)				
(Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets(8.0)(4.8)3.9(Gain) loss from financing activities(2.8)12.414.7(Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)(15.3)Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)16.69.26.0Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)			540.5	2/1.0
(Gain) loss from financing activities(2.8)12.414.7(Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)(15.3)Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)16.69.26.0Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)			(4.8)	3 9
(Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (1)2.5(18.0)(12.0)Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)(15.3)Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)16.69.26.0Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)				
Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates and preferred partner interests (1)21.118.012.0Change in contingent consideration(1.2)—(15.3)Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)16.69.26.0Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2——Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6——Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)				
Change in contingent consideration(1.2)—(15.3)Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)16.69.26.0Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2——Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6——Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)				
Compensation on TRP equity grants (1)16.69.26.0Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)				
Transaction costs related to business acquisitions (1)19.2Risk management activities64.84.7(0.5)Other0.6Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2)(69.7)(14.0)(12.6)				
Risk management activities 64.8 4.7 (0.5) Other 0.6 - - Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2) (69.7) (14.0) (12.6)				
Other 0.6 — — Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2) (69.7) (14.0) (12.6)				
Noncontrolling interests adjustment (2) (69.7) (14.0) (12.6)				
			(14.0)	
	Targa Resources Partners LP Adjusted EBITDA	\$1,191.2	\$ 970.3	\$ 635.2

(1) The definition of Adjusted EBITDA was revised in 2014 to exclude non-cash compensation on equity grants and in 2015 to exclude earnings from unconsolidated investments net of distributions and transaction costs related to business acquisitions.

(2) Noncontrolling interest portion of depreciation and amortization expenses and impairment of goodwill.

2015	2014 (In millions)	2013
	,	
\$1,083.9	\$838.5	\$411.4
31.9	(37.4)	(25.1)
207.8	143.8	131.0
(12.6)	(11.2)	(15.5)
2.5	(18.0)	(12.0)
21.1	18.0	12.0
19.2	—	
0.8	3.2	2.0
(67.6)	(18.4)	(13.7)
(277.5)	(58.6)	230.3
181.7	110.4	(85.2)
\$1,191.2	\$970.3	\$635.2
	\$1,083.9 31.9 207.8 (12.6) 2.5 21.1 19.2 0.8 (67.6) (277.5) 181.7	(In millions) \$1,083.9 \$838.5 31.9 (37.4) 207.8 143.8 (12.6) (11.2) 2.5 (18.0) 21.1 18.0 19.2 — 0.8 3.2 (67.6) (18.4) (277.5) (58.6) 181.7 110.4

(1) Includes amortization of debt issuance costs, discount and premium.

(2) The definition of Adjusted EBITDA was revised in 2014 to exclude non-cash compensation on equity grants and in 2015 to exclude earnings from unconsolidated investments net of distributions and transaction costs related to business acquisitions.

(3) Includes accretion expense associated with asset retirement obligations, gain or loss on financing activities, noncontrolling interest portion of depreciation and amortization expenses, and impairment of goodwill.

	2015	2014	2013
		(In millions)	
Reconciliation of net income (loss) to Distributable Cash flow:			
Net income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources Partners LP	\$ (27.4)	\$467.7	\$233.5
Depreciation and amortization expenses	677.1	346.5	271.6
Provisional goodwill impairment	290.0	—	—
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	(0.2)	1.6	0.9
Non-cash interest expense, net (1)	12.6	11.2	15.5
(Gain) loss from financing activities	(2.8)	12.4	14.7
(Earnings) loss from unconsolidated affiliates (2)	2.5	(18.0)	(12.0)
Distributions from unconsolidated affiliates (2)	15.0	18.0	12.0
Compensation on TRP equity grants (2)	16.6	9.2	6.0
Change in redemption value of other long term liabilities	(30.6)	—	—
Change in contingent consideration	(1.2)	—	(15.3)
(Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets	(8.0)	(4.8)	3.9
Risk management activities	64.8	4.7	(0.5)
Maintenance capital expenditures	(97.9)	(79.1)	(79.9)
Transactions costs related to business acquisitions (2)	19.2		—
Other (3)	(61.9)	(6.2)	(4.1)
Targa Resources Partners LP distributable cash flow	\$867.8	\$763.2	\$446.3

(1) Includes amortization of debt issuance costs, discount and premium.

(2) The definition of distributable cash flow was revised in 2014 to exclude non-cash compensation on equity grants and in 2015 to exclude earnings from unconsolidated investments net of distributions and transaction costs related to business acquisitions.

(3) Includes the noncontrolling interests portion of maintenance capital expenditures, depreciation and amortization expenses and impairment of goodwill.

Financial Information – Partnership versus Non-Partnership

As a supplement to the financial statements included in this Annual Report, we present the following tables, which segregate our Consolidated Balance Sheets, results of operations and statement of cash flows between Partnership and Non-Partnership activities. Partnership results are presented the same basis reported in the Partnership's Annual Report on Form 10-K. Except when otherwise noted, the remainder of this management's discussion and analysis refers to these disaggregated results.

Balance Sheets - Partnership versus Non-Partnership

		December 31, 2015	<u>.</u>	December 31, 2014							
	Targa Resources Corp. <u>Consolidated</u>	Targa Resources Partners LP	TRC - Non- Partnership (In mi	Targa Resources Corp. <u>Consolidated</u> illions)	Targa Resources Partners LP	TRC - Non- Partnership					
ASSETS			(
Current assets:											
Cash and cash equivalents (1)	\$ 140.2	\$ 135.4	\$ 4.8	\$ 81.0	\$ 72.3	\$ 8.7					
Trade receivables, net	515.8	514.8	1.0	567.3	566.8	0.5					
Inventory	141.0	141.0	—	168.9	168.9	—					
Assets from risk management activities	92.2	92.2	—	44.4	44.4	—					
Other current assets (1)	30.8	10.0	20.8	20.9	3.8	17.1					
Total current assets	920.0	893.4	26.6	882.5	856.2	26.3					
Property, plant and equipment, net	9,702.7	9,702.6	0.1	4,824.6	4,824.6						
Intangible assets, net	1,810.1	1,810.1	—	591.9	591.9						
Goodwill	417.0	417.0	—	—	—						
Long-term assets from risk management activities	34.9	34.9	—	15.8	15.8	_					
Other long-term assets (2)	326.3	268.7	57.6	108.7	58.8	49.9					
Total assets	\$ 13,211.0	\$13,126.7	\$ 84.3	\$ 6,423.5	\$ 6,347.3	\$ 76.2					
LIABILITIES AND OWNERS' EQUITY											
Current liabilities:											
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (3)	\$ 657.1	\$ 635.8	\$ 21.3	\$ 638.5	\$ 592.7	\$ 45.8					
Affiliate payable (receivable) (4)	—	30.0	(30.0)	—	53.2	(53.2)					
Liabilities from risk management activities	5.2	5.2	—	5.2	5.2	—					
Accounts receivable securitization facility	219.3	219.3		182.8	182.8						
Total current liabilities	881.6	890.3	(8.7)	826.5	833.9	(7.4)					
Long-term debt	5,718.8	5,125.7	593.1	2,855.5	2,753.5	102.0					
Long-term liabilities from risk management activities	2.4	2.4	_	_	_						
Deferred income taxes (5)	177.8	27.2	150.6	138.7	13.7	125.0					
Other long-term liabilities (6)	180.2	178.2	2.0	63.3	57.8	5.5					
Total liabilities	6,960.8	6,223.8	737.0	3,884.0	3,658.9	225.1					
Total owners' equity	6,250.2	6,902.9	(652.7)	2,539.5	2,688.4	(148.9)					
Total liabilities and owners' equity	\$ 13,211.0	\$13,126.7	\$ 84.3	\$ 6,423.5	\$ 6,347.3	\$ 76.2					

The major Non-Partnership balance sheet items relate to:

(1) Corporate assets consisting of cash and prepaid insurance.

- (2) Other long-term assets primarily consists of investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and long-term pre-paid tax assets related to gains on 2010 dropdown transactions recognized as sales of assets for tax purposes.
- (3) Accrued current liabilities related to payroll and incentive compensation plans and taxes payable.
- (4) Receivable related to intercompany billings arising from our providing management, commercial, operational, financial and administrative services to the Partnership.

(5) Current and long-term deferred income tax balances.

(6) Long-term liabilities related to TRC incentive compensation plans and deferred rent related to the headquarters' office lease.

Results of Operations – Partnership versus Non-Partnership

						Year l	End	ed Decembe	r 31,				
			201					2014				2013	
	Re	Targa esources Corp. isolidated	Targ Resou Partn LF	rces iers	C - Non- rtnership	Targa Resources Corp. onsolidated	Pa	Targa Resources artners LP		.C - Non- rtnership	Targa Lesources Corp. nsolidated	Targa Resources Partners LP	C - Non- rtnership
Revenues (1)	\$	6,658.6	\$6,65	6.8	\$ _	\$ 8,616.5	•	n millions) 8,616.5	\$	_	\$ 6,314.7	\$6,314.9	\$ (0.2)
Costs and Expenses:													
Product purchases		4,837.6	4,83	87.6		6,992.7		6,992.7		—	5,098.7	5,098.7	—
Operating expenses		540.0	54	0.0		487.3		487.2		0.1	414.8	414.7	0.1
Depreciation and amortization (2)		677.1	67	7.1		351.0		346.5		4.5	271.9	271.6	0.3
General and administrative (3)		161.7	15	53.6	8.1	148.0		139.8		8.2	151.5	143.1	8.4
Provisional goodwill impairment		290.0	29	0.0				_		_		—	_
Other operating (income) expense		(7.1)	((7.1)	_	(3.0)		(3.0)		_	9.6	9.6	_
Income (loss) from operations		159.3	16	57.4	(8.1)	 640.5		653.3		(12.8)	368.2	377.2	(9.0)
Other income (expense):													
Interest expense, net (4)		(231.9)	(20)7.8)	(24.1)	(147.1)		(143.8)		(3.3)	(134.1)	(131.0)	(3.1)
Equity earnings		(2.5)	((2.5)		18.0		18.0			14.8	14.8	
Gain (loss) from financing activities (5)		(10.1)		2.8	(12.9)	(12.4)		(12.4)		—	(14.7)	(14.7)	_
Other income (expense) (6)		(26.6)	(1	8.6)	(8.0)	(8.0)		(5.2)		(2.8)	15.3	15.2	0.1
Income (loss) before income taxes		(111.8)	(5	58.7)	 (53.1)	 491.0		509.9		(18.9)	 249.5	261.5	 (12.0)
Income tax expense (7)		(39.6)	Ì	(0.6)	(39.0)	(68.0)		(4.8)		(63.2)	(48.2)	(2.9)	(45.3)
Net income (loss)		(151.4)	(5	59.3)	 (92.1)	 423.0		505.1		(82.1)	 201.3	258.6	 (57.3)
Less: Net income attributable to		· · ·								. ,			. ,
noncontrolling interests (8)		(209.7)	(3	31.9)	(177.8)	320.7		37.4		283.3	136.2	25.1	111.1
Net income (loss) after noncontrolling													
interests	\$	58.3	\$ (2	27.4)	\$ 85.7	\$ 102.3	\$	467.7	\$	(365.4)	\$ 65.1	\$ 233.5	\$ (168.4)

The major Non-Partnership results of operations relate to:

(1) Amortization of AOCI related to Versado hedges dropped down to the Partnership, and AOCI related to terminated hedges (fully amortized during 2013).

(2) Depreciation on assets excluded from drop-down transactions (fully depreciated in 2014).

(3) General and administrative expenses retained by TRC related to its status as a public entity.

(4) Interest expense related to TRC debt obligations.

(5) Includes losses recorded on debt repurchases, redemptions, amendments and exchanges related to TRP debt obligations.

(6) Legal and merger costs incurred in 2015 and 2014 related to TRC for the Atlas mergers.

(7) Reflects TRC's federal and state income taxes.

(8) TRC noncontrolling interests in the net income of the Partnership.

Statements of Cash Flows – Partnership versus Non-Partnership

				Year	Ended Decembe	er 31,			
	Targa	2015 Targa		Targa	2014 Targa		Targa	2013 Targa	
	Resources Corp. Consolidated	Resources Partners LP	TRC - Non- Partnership	Resources Corp. Consolidated	Resources Partners LP	TRC - Non- Partnership	Resources Corp. Consolidated	Resources Partners LP	TRC - Non- Partnership
Cash flows from operating					(In millions)				
activities									
Net income (loss)	\$ (151.4)	\$ (59.3)	\$ (92.1)	\$ 423.0	\$ 505.1	\$ (82.1)	\$ 201.3	\$ 258.6	\$ (57.3)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash									
provided by operating activities:									
Amortization in interest expense									
(1)	15.3	12.6	2.7	11.8	11.2	0.6	15.9	15.5	0.4
Compensation on equity grants (2)	25.0	16.6	8.4	14.3	9.2	5.1	13.2	6.0	7.2
Depreciation and amortization expense (3)	677.1	677.1		351.0	346.5	4.5	271.9	271.6	0.3
Provisional goodwill impairment	290.0	290.0			540.5	4.5	2/1.9	2/1.0	0.5
Accretion of asset retirement	200.0	200.0							
obligations	5.3	5.3	—	4.5	4.4	0.1	4.0	3.9	0.1
Change in redemption value of									
other long-term liabilities Deferred income tax expense (4)	(30.6) 24.6	(30.6) (0.2)	24.8	(4.4)	 1.6	(6.0)	 5.4	 0.9	4.5
Equity (earnings) loss of	24.0	(0.2)	24.0	(4.4)	1.0	(0.0)	5.4	0.9	4.5
unconsolidated affiliates	2.5	2.5		(18.0)	(18.0)	_	(14.8)	(14.8)	
Distributions received from									
unconsolidated affiliates	13.8	13.8	—	18.0	18.0		12.0	12.0	_
Risk management activities (5)	71.1	71.1	—	4.7	4.7	(0.0)	(0.3)	(0.5)	0.2
(Gain) loss on sale of assets (Gain) loss from financing	(8.0)	(8.0)	_	(4.8)	(4.8)	_	3.9	3.9	_
activities	10.1	(2.8)	12.9	12.4	12.4	_	14.7	14.7	
Changes in operating assets and		~ /							
liabilities (6)	89.9	95.8	(5.9)	(50.7)	(51.8)	1.1	(144.5)	(160.4)	15.9
Net cash provided by (used in)									
operating activities	1,034.7	1,083.9	(49.2)	761.8	838.5	(76.7)	382.7	411.4	(28.7)
Cash flows from investing activities									
Outlays for property, plant and									
equipment (3)	(817.2)	(817.2)		(762.2)	(762.2)	_	(1,013.6)	(1,013.6)	_
Business acquisitions, net of cash									
acquired (7)	(1,574.4)	(828.7)	(745.7)		_	_	—	_	—
Investment in unconsolidated affiliate	(11.7)	(11.7)							
Return of capital from	(11.7)	(11.7)	_	_			_		_
unconsolidated affiliate	1.2	1.2		5.7	5.7		_		
Other, net	2.5	2.5		5.1	5.1		(12.7)	(12.7)	
Net cash used in investing									
activities	(2,399.6)	(1,653.9)	(745.7)	(751.4)	(751.4)		(1,026.3)	(1,026.3)	
Cash flows from financing activities									
Loan Facilities - Partnership									
Borrowings	1,996.0	1,996.0		1,600.0	1,600.0		1,613.0	1,613.0	
Repayments	(1,716.0)	(1,716.0)	—	(1,995.0)	(1,995.0)	—	(1,838.0)	(1,838.0)	_
Issuance of senior notes	1,700.0	1,700.0	—	800.0	800.0	—	625.0	625.0	
Redemption of senior notes Redemption of APL senior notes	(14.3) (1,168.8)	(14.3) (1,168.8)		(259.8)	(259.8)	_	(183.2)	(183.2)	_
Accounts receivable securitization	(1,100.0)	(1,100.0)							
facility - Partnership									
Borrowings	391.6	391.6	—	381.9	381.9	—	373.3	373.3	—
Repayments	(355.1)	(355.1)	—	(478.8)	(478.8)		(93.6)	(93.6)	—
Loan Facilities - Non-Partnership: Proceeds from issuance of senior									
term loan	422.5		422.5						_
Repayments on senior term loan	(270.0)		(270.0)	_			_		
Borrowings (1)	492.0	—	492.0	92.0	—	92.0	65.0	—	65.0
Repayments (1)	(154.0)	—	(154.0)	(74.0)	—	(74.0)	(63.0)	—	(63.0)
Costs incurred in connection with financing arrangements	(54.3)	(26.1)	(28.2)	(14.3)	(14.0)	(0.3)	(15.3)	(15.3)	_
Proceeds from sale of common and	(54.5)	(20.1)	(20.2)	(14.3)	(14.0)	(0.5)	(13.3)	(13.3)	
preferred units of the Partnership									
(8)	443.6	503.7	(60.1)	412.7	420.4	(7.7)	524.7	535.5	(10.8)
Repurchase of common units under	/= =`	/= =		(10)	(10)				
Partnership compensation plans Contributions from noncontrolling	(5.5) 78.4	(5.5) 78.4	_	(4.8)	(4.8)	_	4.3	4.3	_
Contributions from noncontroning	/0.4	/0.4	_	_		—	4.5	4.5	

interests									
Distributions to noncontrolling									
interests (9)	(514.8)	(748.0)	233.2	(339.8)	(520.6)	180.8	(278.7)	(416.6)	137.9
Payment of distribution equivalent									
rights	(2.8)	(2.8)	—	(1.6)	(1.6)	—		—	
Proceeds from sale of common									
stock	336.8	_	336.8	_		_	_	_	_
Dividends to common and common									
equivalent shareholders	(179.0)		(179.0)	(113.0)		(113.0)	(87.8)	—	(87.8)
Repurchase of common stock	(3.3)	_	(3.3)	(2.6)		(2.6)	(13.3)	—	(13.3)
Excess tax benefit from stock-									
based awards	1.1		1.1	1.0		1.0	1.6		1.6
Net cash provided by (used in)									
financing activities	1,424.1	633.1	791.0	3.9	(72.3)	76.2	634.0	604.4	29.6
Net change in cash and cash									
equivalents	59.2	63.1	(3.9)	14.3	14.8	(0.5)	(9.6)	(10.5)	0.9
Cash and cash equivalents,									
beginning of period	81.0	72.3	8.7	66.7	57.5	9.2	76.3	68.0	8.3
Cash and cash equivalents, end of									
period	\$ 140.2	\$ 135.4	\$ 4.8	\$ 81.0	\$ 72.3	\$ 8.7	\$ 66.7	\$ 57.5	\$ 9.2

The major Non-Partnership cash flow items relate to:

(1) Cash and non-cash activity related to TRC debt obligations.

(2) Compensation on TRC's equity grants.

(3) Cash and non-cash activity related to corporate administrative assets.

(4) TRC's federal and state income taxes.

(5) Non-cash OCI hedge realizations related to predecessor operations.

(6) See Balance Sheets – Partnership versus Non-Partnership for a description of the Non-Partnership operating assets and liabilities.

(7) Cash consideration of TRC merger with ATLS.

- (8) Contributions to the Partnership to maintain 2% General Partner ownership.
- (9) Distributions received by TRC from the Partnership for its general partner interest, limited partner interest and IDRs.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The following table and discussion is a summary of our consolidated results of operations:

	2015	2014	2013	2015 vs. 2014		2014 vs. 2	013
Revenues		(\$ in millions, except operating statistics and price amounts)					
Sales of commodities	\$5,465.4	\$7,595.2	5,728.0	¢(2,120,9)	28%	\$1,867.2	33%
Fees from midstream services			5,726.0	\$(2,129.8)	20% 17%	\$1,007.2 434.6	55% 74%
	1,193.2	1,021.3		171.9			
Total revenues	6,658.6	8,616.5	6,314.7	(1,957.9)	23%	2,301.8	36%
Product purchases	4,837.6	6,992.7	5,098.7	(2,155.1)	31%	1,894.0	37%
Gross margin (1)	1,821.0	1,623.8	1,216.0	197.2	12%	407.8	34%
Operating expenses	540.0	487.3	414.8	52.7	11%	72.5	17%
Operating margin (2)	1,281.0	1,136.5	801.2	144.5	13%	335.3	42%
Depreciation and amortization expenses	677.1	351.0	271.9	326.1	93%	79.1	29%
General and administrative expenses	161.7	148.0	151.5	13.7	9%	(3.5)	2%
Provisional goodwill impairment	290.0			290.0	NM		NM
Other operating (income) expenses	(7.1)	(3.0)	9.6	(4.1)	137%	(12.6)	131%
Income from operations	159.3	640.5	368.2	(481.2)	75%	272.3	74%
Interest expense, net	(231.9)	(147.1)	(134.1)	(84.8)	58%	(13.0)	10%
Equity earnings	(2.5)	18.0	14.8	(20.5)	114%	3.2	22%
Loss from financing activities	(10.1)	(12.4)	(14.7)	2.3	19%	2.3	16%
Other income (expense)	(26.6)	(8.0)	15.3	(18.6)	233%	(23.3)	152%
Income tax (expense) benefit	(39.6)	(68.0)	(48.2)	28.4	42%	(19.8)	41%
Net income (loss)	(151.4)	423.0	201.3	(574.4)	136%	221.7	110%
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	(209.7)	320.7	136.2	(530.4)	165%	184.5	135%
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 58.3	\$ 102.3	65.1	\$ (44.0)	43%	\$ 37.2	57%
Operating statistics:							
Crude oil gathered, MBbl/d	106.3	93.5	46.9	12.8	14%	46.6	99%
Plant natural gas inlet, MMcf/d (3) (4) (5)	3,241.3	2,109.5	2,110.2	1,131.8	54%	(0.7)	0%
Gross NGL production, MBbl/d (5)	265.5	153.0	136.8	112.5	74%	16.2	12%
Export volumes, MBbl/d (6)	183.0	176.9	66.6	6.1	3%	110.3	166%
Natural gas sales, BBtu/d (4) (5)	1,770.7	902.3	928.2	868.4	96%	(25.9)	3%
NGL sales, MBbl/d (5)	517.0	419.5	294.8	97.5	23%	124.7	42%
Condensate sales, MBbl/d (5)	9.3	4.4	3.5	4.9	111%	0.9	26%

(1) Gross margin is a non-GAAP financial measure and is discussed under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – How We Evaluate the Partnership's Operations."

(2) Operating margin is a non-GAAP financial measure and is discussed under "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – How We Evaluate the Partnership's Operations."

(3) Plant natural gas inlet represents the volume of natural gas passing through the meter located at the inlet of a natural gas processing plant, other than in Badlands, where it represents total wellhead gathered volume.

(4) Plant natural gas inlet volumes include producer take-in-kind volumes, while natural gas sales exclude producer take-in-kind volumes.

(5) These volume statistics are presented with the numerator as the total volume sold during the quarter and the denominator as the number of calendar days during the quarter.

(6) Export volumes represent the quantity of NGL products delivered to third-party customers at our Galena Park Marine terminal that are destined for international markets.

2015 Compared to 2014

Revenues from commodity sales declined as the effect of significantly lower commodity prices (\$6,318.1 million) exceeded the favorable impacts of inclusion of ten months of operations of TPL (\$1,261.7 million), other volume increases (\$2,934.0 million), and favorable hedge settlements (\$84.2 million). Fee-based and other revenues increased due to the inclusion of TPL's fee revenue (\$177.1 million), which were partially offset by lower export fees.

Offsetting lower commodity revenues was a commensurate reduction in product purchases due to significantly lower commodity costs (\$3,235.3 million). 2015 also included product purchases related to TPL's operations (\$1,106.1 million).

The higher gross margin in 2015 was attributable to inclusion of TPL operations, increased throughput related to other system expansions in our Gathering and Processing segment, recognition of a renegotiated commercial contract and increased terminaling and storage fees, partially offset by lower fractionation and export margin in our Logistics and Marketing segment. Higher operating expenses are due to the inclusion of TPL's operations (\$101.6 million), which more than offset the cost savings generated throughout our other operating areas (\$48.9 million). See "—Results of Operations—By Reportable Segment" for additional information regarding changes in gross margin and operating margin on a segment basis.

The increase in depreciation and amortization expenses reflects the impact of TPL, the planned increased amortization of the Badlands intangible assets and growth investments placed in service after 2014, including the international export expansion project, continuing development at Badlands and other system expansions. During 2015, we recorded an additional \$32.6 million charge to depreciation to reflect an impairment of certain gas processing facilities and associated gathering systems in the Gathering and Processing segment as a result of reduced forecasted processing volumes due to current market conditions and processing spreads in Louisiana.

Higher general and administrative expense are due to the inclusion of TPL general and administrative costs (\$32.1 million), which was partially offset by other general and administrative reductions (\$18.1 million), primarily from lower compensation and related costs.

The increase in other operating gains during 2015 was primarily related to higher gains on sales of assets.

During 2015, we recognized a provisional loss of \$290.0 million associated with the provisional impairment of goodwill in our Gathering and Processing segment.

The increase in net interest expense primarily reflects higher borrowings attributable to the APL mergers and lower capitalized interest associated with major capital projects compared to 2014. These factors were partially offset by the change in the non-cash redemption value (\$30.6 million) of the mandatorily redeemable preferred interests in the Partnership's WestTX and WestOK joint ventures acquired in the Atlas mergers.

During 2015, the loss on financing activities was due primarily to the repayment of \$270.0 million of the TRC Term Loan, which resulting in a write-off of \$4.7 million of unamortized discounts and \$8.2 million of deferred debt issuance costs associated with this repayment. These charges were partially offset by the Partnership's \$3.6 million gain on repurchase of debt, partially offset by \$0.7 million expenses incurred for the APL notes exchange offer. In 2014, the loss on financing activities was due to the Partnership's redemption of its 7 7/8% senior notes.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased due to lower earnings in 2015 at our joint ventures: Cedar Bayou Fractionators, VESCO, and Versado. The inclusion of noncontrolling interest from TPL's Centrahoma joint venture, which included their portion of the goodwill impairment, also decreased the net income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

Our effective tax rate has not changed period over period. The decrease in 2015 current income tax expense is primarily due to the reduction of taxable income as a result of increased depreciation and amortization deductions from the Atlas mergers, including the tax amortization of the Special GP interest. The Increase in deferred taxes is primarily attributable to book/tax differences in depreciation and amortization of Atlas fixed assets.

2014 Compared 2013

Higher revenues, including the impact of hedging (a \$29.4 million decrease to revenues), were primarily due to higher NGL volumes (\$1,778.6 million), higher fee-based and other revenues (\$438.1 million) and higher natural gas commodity sales prices (\$201.4 million), partially offset by lower NGL and condensate prices (\$65.6 million).

Higher gross margin in 2014 reflects increased export activities and higher fractionation fees in our Logistics and Marketing segment and increased Gathering and Processing throughput volumes associated with system expansions and increased producer activity, as well as higher natural gas prices. This significant growth in our asset base brought a higher level of operating expenses in 2014. See "—Results of Operations—By Reportable Segment" for additional information regarding changes in gross margin and operating margin on a segment basis.

The increase in depreciation and amortization expenses reflects increased amortization of the Badlands intangible assets and higher depreciation related to major organic investments placed in service, including continuing development at Badlands, the international export expansion project, High Plains and Longhorn plants, CBF Train 4 and other system expansions.

General and administrative expenses were slightly lower due to the effect of lower non-cash expenses related to periodic valuations of unvested Long Term Incentive Plan awards, which offset increases in other overhead costs.

The increase in other operating income primarily relates to an insurance settlement in 2014 compared to losses on asset disposals recorded in 2013.

The increase in interest expense reflects higher outstanding borrowings and lower capitalized interest allocated to our major expansion projects, partially offset by lower overall interest rates.

Losses from financing activities reflect premiums paid and the write-off of associated unamortized debt issuance costs related to the redemptions of our $7.7_8\%$ Notes in 2014 and the outstanding balance of the 11 1/4% Notes and \$100 million of our 6.3/8% Notes in 2013.

Other expense in 2014 was primarily attributable to transaction costs related to the pending Atlas mergers. In 2013 we recorded a gain from the elimination of a contingent consideration liability associated with the Badlands acquisition.

The increase in earnings attributable to noncontrolling interests is primarily due to higher Partnership earnings and higher earnings from the Partnership's joint ventures.

Results of Operations—By Reportable Segment

We have segregated the following segment operating margins between Partnership and TRC Non-Partnership activities.

	Gathering and Processing		Logistics and Marketing		Other			nsolidated ating Margin
					(In millions))		
2015	\$	515.1	\$	681.6	\$84.2	\$	0.1	\$ 1,281.0
2014		449.9		694.8	(8.0)		(0.2)	1,136.5
2013		355.9		424.2	21.4		(0.3)	801.2

Results of Operations of the Partnership – By Reportable Segment

Gathering and Processing Segment

	2015	2014	2013	2015 vs. 2	2014	2014 vs. 20	013
Gross margin	\$ 830.1	\$ 686.9	\$ 568.0	\$ 143.2	21%	\$ 118.9	21%
Operating expenses	315.0	237.0	212.1	78.0	33%	24.9	12%
Operating margin	\$ 515.1	\$ 449.9	\$ 355.9	\$ 65.2	14%	\$ 94.0	26%
Operating statistics (1):							
Plant natural gas inlet, MMcf/d (2),(3)							
SAOU (4) (5)	234.0	193.1	154.1	40.9	21%	39.0	25%
WestTX (6)	374.0			374.0	NM	—	NM
Sand Hills (5)	163.0	165.1	155.8	(2.1)	1%	9.3	6%
Versado	183.2	169.6	156.4	13.6	8%	13.2	8%
Permian	954.2	527.8	466.3	426.4		61.5	
SouthTX (6)	120.0	—	—	120.0	NM	—	NM
North Texas (7)	347.6	354.5	292.4	(6.9)	2%	62.1	21%
SouthOK (6)	401.5	—	—	401.5	NM	—	NM
WestOK (6)	471.7			471.7	NM		NM
Central	1,340.8	354.5	292.4	986.3		62.1	
Badlands (8)	49.2	38.9	21.4	10.3	26%	17.5	82%
Total Field	2,344.2	921.2	780.1	1,423.0		141.1	
Coastal	897.0	1,188.4	1,330.1	(291.4)	25%	(141.7)	11%
Total	3,241.2	2,109.6	2,110.2	1,131.6	54%	(0.6)%	
Gross NGL production, MBbl/d (3)							
SAOU (5)	27.3	25.2	22.5	2.1	8%	2.7	12%
WestTX (6)	43.4	—	—	43.4	NM	—	NM
Sand Hills (4)	17.4	18.0	17.5	(0.6)	3%	0.5	3%
Versado	23.4	21.4	18.9	2.0	9%	2.5	13%
Permian	111.5	64.6	58.9	46.9		5.7	
SouthTX (6)	13.8	_	_	13.8	NM		NM
North Texas	39.6	37.8	31.1	1.8	5%	6.7	22%
SouthOK (6)	28.1	—		28.1	NM		NM
WestOK (6)	23.8			23.8	NM	<u> </u>	NM
Central	105.3	37.8	31.1	67.5		6.7	
Badlands	6.8	3.5	1.9	3.3	94%	1.6	84%
Total Field	223.6	105.9	91.9	117.7		14.0	
Coastal	41.8	47.1	44.9	(5.3)	11%	2.2	5%
Total	265.4	153.0	136.8	112.4	73%	16.2	12%
Crude oil gathered, MBbl/d	106.3	93.5	46.9	12.8	14%	46.6	99%
Natural gas sales, BBtu/d (3)	1,577.9	727.0	672.3	850.9	117%	54.7	8%
NGL sales, MBbl/d	208.3	120.9	113.2	87.4	72%	7.7	7%
Condensate sales, MBbl/d	9.1	4.3	3.6	4.8	112%	0.7	19%
Average realized prices (9):	0.05			(1.0.1)	1001	0.55	4 = 0 /
Natural gas, \$/MMBtu	2.38	4.19	3.57	(1.81)	43%	0.62	17%
NGL, \$/gal Condensate, \$/Bbl	0.35 41.86	0.75	0.79	(0.40)	53% 50%	(0.04)	5% 11%
Culluensale, J/DUI	41.00	83.55	94.17	(41.69)	50%	(10.62)	11%

(1) Segment operating statistics include the effect of intersegment amounts, which have been eliminated from the consolidated presentation. For all volume statistics presented, the numerator is the total volume sold during the quarter and the denominator is the number of calendar days during the quarter, including the volumes related to plants acquired in the APL merger.

- (2) Plant natural gas inlet represents our undivided interest in the volume of natural gas passing through the meter located at the inlet of a natural gas processing plant.
- (3) Plant natural gas inlet volumes and gross NGL production volumes include producer take-in-kind volumes, while natural gas sales exclude producer take-in-kind volumes.
- (4) Includes volumes from the 200 MMcf/d cryogenic High Plains plant which started commercial operations in June 2014.
- (5) Includes wellhead gathered volumes moved from Sand Hills via pipeline to SAOU for processing.
- (6) Operations acquired as part of the APL merger effective February 27, 2015.
- (7) Includes volumes from the 200 MMcf/d cryogenic Longhorn plant which started commercial operations in May 2014.
- (8) Badlands natural gas inlet represents the total wellhead gathered volume.
- (9) Average realized prices exclude the impact of hedging activities presented in Other.

2015 Compared to 2014

The increase in gross margin was primarily due to the inclusion of the TPL volumes along with other volume increases partially offset by significantly lower commodity prices. The increases in plant inlet volumes at SAOU, Sand Hills (see footnote (5) above) and Versado were driven by system expansions and by increased producer activity which increased available supply across our areas of operation partially offset by reduced producer activity and volumes in North Texas. 2015 benefited from a full year operations of the Longhorn plant in North Texas, the High Plains plant in SAOU and the Little Missouri 3 plant in Badlands. Badlands crude oil and natural gas volumes increased significantly due to plant and system expansion and increased producer activity. Coastal plant inlet volumes decreased primarily due to current market conditions and the decline of off-system volumes partially offset by additional higher average GPM volumes.

Excluding the addition of operating expenses for TPL, operating expenses for other areas were significantly lower, even with system expansions, primarily due to focused cost reduction efforts.

2014 Compared to 2013

Gross margin improvements in our Gathering and Processing segment were fueled by total Field throughput increases and higher natural gas sales prices partially offset by decreased Coastal throughput, lower NGL and condensate sales prices and the impact of severe cold weather in the first quarter of 2014. The increase in total Field plant inlet volumes was driven by system expansions and by increased producer activity which increased available supply across our areas of operation. Gross margin in 2014 also benefited from the second quarter start-up of commercial operations at the Longhorn Plant in North Texas and the High Plains Plant in SAOU. Badlands crude oil and natural gas volumes increased significantly due to producer activities and system expansion. Higher NGL sales reflect similar factors. The decrease in Coastal plant inlet volumes was largely attributable to the decline of off-system supply volumes and current market conditions partially offset by new higher average GPM volumes.

Higher operating expenses were primarily driven by volume growth and system expansions and included additional labor costs, ad valorem taxes and compression and system maintenance expenses.

Gross Operating Statistics Compared to Actual Reported

The table below provides a reconciliation between gross operating statistics and the actual reported operating statistics for the Gathering and Processing segment:

	Year Ended December 31, 2015							
	Gross Volume (3)	Ownership %	Net Volume (3)	Pro Forma (4)	Timing Adjustment (5)	Actual Reported		
Operating statistics:								
Plant natural gas inlet, MMcf/d (1),(2)								
SAOU (6)	234.0	100%	234.0	234.0		234.0		
WestTX (7)(8)	612.8	73%	446.1	446.1	(72.1)	374.0		
Sand Hills (6)	163.0	100%	163.0	163.0	—	163.0		
Versado (9)	183.2	63%	115.4	183.2		183.2		
Permian	1,193.0		958.5	1,026.3	(72.1)	954.2		
SouthTX (7)	143.1	100%	143.1	143.1	(23.1)	120.0		
North Texas	347.6	100%	347.6	347.6		347.6		
SouthOK (7)	478.9	Varies (10)	398.6	478.9	(77.4)	401.5		
WestOK (7)	562.6	100%	562.6	562.6	(90.9)	471.7		
Central	1,532.2		1,451.9	1,532.2	(191.4)	1,340.8		
Badlands (11)	49.2	100%	49.2	49.2		49.2		
Total Field	2,774.4		2,459.6	2,607.7	(263.5)	2,344.2		
Gross NGL production, MBbl/d (2)								
SAOU (6)	27.3	100%	27.3	27.3		27.3		
WestTX (7)(8)	71.1	73%	51.8	51.8	(8.4)	43.4		
Sand Hills (6)	17.4	100%	17.4	17.4	—	17.4		
Versado (9)	23.4	63%	14.7	23.4		23.4		
Permian	139.2		111.2	119.9	(8.4)	111.5		
SouthTX (7)	16.5	100%	16.5	16.5	(2.7)	13.8		
North Texas	39.6	100%	39.6	39.6		39.6		
SouthOK (7)	33.5	Varies (10)	29.1	33.5	(5.4)	28.1		
WestOK (7)	28.4	100%	28.4	28.4	(4.6)	23.8		
Central	118.0		113.6	118.0	(12.7)	105.3		
Badlands	6.8	100%	6.8	6.8		6.8		
Total Field	264.0		231.6	244.7	(21.1)	223.6		

(1) Plant natural gas inlet represents the volume of natural gas passing through the meter located at the inlet of a natural gas processing plant.

(2) Plant natural gas inlet volumes and gross NGL production volumes include producer take-in-kind volumes, while natural gas sales exclude producer take-in-kind volumes.

(3) For these volume statistics presented, the numerator is the total volume sold during the year and the denominator is the number of calendar days during the year, other than for the volumes related to the APL merger, for which the denominator is 306 days.

(4) Pro forma statistics represents volumes per day while owned by us.

(5) Timing adjustment made to the pro forma statistics to adjust for the actual reported statistics based on the full period.

(6) Operations acquired as part of the APL merger effective February 27, 2015.

(7) Operating results for the WestTX undivided interest assets are presented on a pro-rata net basis in our reported financials.

(8) Versado is a consolidated subsidiary and its financial results are presented on a gross basis in our reported financials.

- (9) SouthOK includes the Centrahoma joint venture, of which TPL owns 60% and other plants which are owned 100% by TPL. Centrahoma is a consolidated subsidiary and its financial results are presented on a gross basis in our reported financials.
- (10) Badlands natural gas inlet represents the total wellhead gathered volume.

Logistics and Marketing Segment

	2015	2014	2013	2015 vs. 2	2014	2014 vs.	2013
			(\$ iı	1 millions)			
Gross margin	\$907.5	\$945.6	\$627.4	\$(38.1)	4%	\$318.2	51%
Operating expenses	225.9	250.8	203.2	(24.9)	10%	47.6	23%
Operating margin	\$681.6	\$694.8	\$424.2	\$(13.2)	2%	\$270.6	64%
Operating statistics MBbl/d (1):							
Fractionation volumes (2)(3)	342.7	350.0	287.6	(7.3)	2%	62.4	22%
LSNG treating volumes (2)	22.4	23.4	20.1	(1.0)	4%	3.3	16%
Benzene treating volumes (2)	22.4	23.4	17.5	(1.0)	4%	5.9	34%
Export volumes, MBbl/d (4)	183.0	176.9	66.6	6.2	3%	110.3	166%
NGL sales, MBbl/d	422.1	413.5	290.1	8.6	2%	123.4	43%
Average realized prices:							
NGL realized price, \$/gal	0.47	0.94	0.94	(0.47)	50%	_	—

(1) Fractionation and treating contracts include pricing terms composed of base fees and fuel and power components which vary with the cost of energy. As such, the logistics segment results include effects of variable energy costs that impact both gross margin and operating expenses.

(2) Segment operating statistics include intersegment amounts, which have been eliminated from the consolidated presentation. For all volume statistics presented, the numerator is the total volume sold during the year and the denominator is the number of calendar days during the year.

- (3) Fractionation volumes reflect those volumes delivered and settled under fractionation contracts.
- (4) Export volumes represent the quantity of NGL, products delivered to third-party customers at our Galena Park Marine terminal that are destined for international markets.

2015 Compared to 2014

Logistics and Marketing gross margin decreased primarily due to lower LPG export and fractionation margins, a lower price environment, lower NGL marketing activities, and the expiration and recognition of a contract settlement in 2014. The lower gross margin was partially offset by the recognition in 2015 of the renegotiated commercial arrangements related to our crude and condensate splitter project and increased terminaling and storage throughput.

Fractionation gross margin was lower primarily due to the variable effects of fuel and power, which are largely reflected in lower operating expenses (see footnote (1) above), lower system product gains, and a decrease in supply volume.

Operating expenses decreased primarily due to lower fuel and power expense, and lower terminal expense, partially offset by higher maintenance.

2014 Compared to 2013

Logistics and Marketing gross margin was significantly higher due to increased LPG export activity, increased fractionation activities despite the increasing impact of ethane rejection, higher NGL marketing activities, and higher terminaling, storage and treating throughput. The increase in LPG export volumes was driven by Phase I of our international export expansion project coming on-line in September 2013 and Phase II coming on-line during the second quarter and third quarter of 2014. Higher fractionation volumes were primarily due to CBF Train 4, which became operational in the third quarter of 2013.

Higher operating expenses reflect the expansion of our export and fractionation facilities, increased fuel and power costs, and higher terminal activity.

	2015	2014	2013	2015 vs. 2014		2014 vs. 2013			
		(\$ in millions)							
Gross margin	\$84.2	\$(8.0)	\$21.4	\$	92.2	\$	(29.4)		
Operating margin	\$84.2	\$(8.0)	\$21.4	\$	92.2	\$	(29.4)		

Other contains the results (including any hedge ineffectiveness) of commodity derivative activities included in operating margin and mark-to-market gain/losses related to derivative contracts that were not designated as cash-flow hedges. Eliminations of inter-segment transactions are reflected in the corporate and eliminations column. The primary purpose of our commodity risk management activities is to mitigate a portion of the impact of commodity prices on our operating cash flow. We have hedged the commodity price associated with a portion of our expected (i) natural gas equity volumes and (ii) NGL and condensate equity volumes in our Gathering and Processing Operations that result from percent of proceeds or liquid processing arrangements by entering into derivative instruments. Because we are essentially forward-selling a portion of our plant equity volumes, these hedge positions will move favorably in periods of falling commodity prices.

The following table provides a breakdown of the change in Other operating margin:

		2015		2014				2013			
			(In n		cept volumetric data a	and price a					
	Volume	Price	Gain	Volume	Price Spread	Gain	Volume	Price	Gain		
	Settled	Spread (1)	(Loss)	Settled	(1)	(Loss)	Settled	Spread (1)	(Loss)		
Natural Gas (BBtu)	51.8	\$0.71/MMBtu	\$37.0	21.9	\$(0.27)/MMBtu	\$(5.9)	\$ 12.3	\$0.95/MMBtu	\$11.7		
NGL (MMBbl)	76.4	0.29/Bbl	22.1	0.6	5.79/Bbl	3.6	2.1	6.19/Bbl	12.8		
Crude Oil (MMBbl)	0.8	9.37/Bbl	21.6	0.9	(1.07)/Bbl	(1.0)	0.7	(4.01)/Bbl	(2.9)		
Non-Hedge Accounting (2)			2.6			(4.8)			(0.3)		
Ineffectiveness (3)			0.9			0.1			0.1 \$21.4		
			\$84.2			\$(8.0)	\$		\$21.4		

⁽¹⁾ The price spread is the differential between the contracted derivative instrument pricing and the price of the corresponding settled commodity transaction.

(2) Mark-to-market income (loss) associated with derivative contracts that are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes.

(3) Ineffectiveness primarily relates to certain crude hedging contracts and certain acquired hedges of APL that do not qualify for hedge accounting.

As part of the Atlas mergers, outstanding APL derivative contracts with a fair value of \$102.1 million as of the acquisition date were novated to the Partnership and included in the acquisition date fair value of assets acquired. Derivative settlements of \$67.9 million related to these novated contracts were received during the year ended December 31, 2015 and were reflected as a reduction of the acquisition date fair value of the APL derivative assets acquired, with no effect on results of operations.

Our Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have no separate, direct operating activities apart from those conducted by the Partnership. As such, our ability to finance our operations, including payment of dividends to our common stockholders, funding capital expenditures and acquisitions, or to meet our indebtedness obligations, will depend on cash inflows from future cash distributions to us from our interests in the Partnership. The Partnership is required to distribute all available cash, defined in the Partnership Agreement, at the end of each quarter after establishing reserves to provide for the proper conduct of its business or to provide for future distributions. See "Part II – Other Information- Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016. As of February 15, 2016, our interest in the Partnership consisted of the following:

• a 2% general partner interest, which we hold through our 100% ownership interest in the general partner of the Partnership;

- all of the outstanding IDRs;
- 16,309,594 of the 184,899,602 outstanding common units of the Partnership, representing a 8.8% outstanding common units of the Partnership; and
- the Special GP Interest.

As a result of the TRC/TRP Merger, which was completed on February 17, 2016, we own all of the outstanding TRP common units. We issued 104,525,775 of our common shares to TRP unitholders as a result of this transaction.

Our future cash flows will consist of distributions to us from our interests in the Partnership. These cash distributions to us should provide sufficient resources to fund our operations, long-term debt obligations, and tax obligations for at least the next twelve months. Based on the anticipated levels of distributions from the Partnership that we expect to receive, we also expect that we will be able to fund the projected quarterly cash dividends to our stockholders for the next twelve months.

The impact on us of changes in the Partnership's distribution levels will vary depending on several factors, including the Partnership's total outstanding partnership interests on the record date for the distribution and the aggregate cash distributions made by the Partnership. If the Partnership increases distributions we would expect to increase dividends to our stockholders, although the timing and amount of such increased dividends, if any, will not necessarily be comparable to the timing and amount of the increase in distributions made by the Partnership. In addition, the level of distributions we receive and of dividends we pay to our stockholders may be affected by the various risks associated with an investment in us and the underlying business of the Partnership. Please read "Part II– Item 1A. Risk Factors" in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016 for more information about the risks that may impact your investment in us.

Our Non-Partnership liquidity as of January 31, 2016 was:

	r <u>y 31, 2016</u> nillions)
Cash on hand	\$ 15.2
Total availability under TRC's credit facility	670.0
Less: Outstanding borrowings under TRC's credit facility	 (452.0)
Total liquidity	\$ 233.2

Subsequent Event

On February 18, 2016, we announced that we had entered into an agreement for the issuance and sale of \$500 million of our 9.5% Series A Preferred Stock (the "Preferred Stock"). The Preferred Stock can be redeemed in whole or in part at our option after five years. The Preferred Stock is also convertible into our common stock beginning in 2028. In association with the issuance of the Preferred Stock, we also agreed to issue approximately 7,020,000 warrants with a strike price of \$18.88 per common share and 3,385,000 warrants with a strike price of \$25.11 per common share. The warrants have a seven year term and can be exercised commencing six months after closing. We expect to use the net proceeds from the sale of the Preferred Stock to repay indebtedness and for general corporate purposes. We expect this transaction to close in March 2016.

The Partnership's Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Partnership's ability to finance its operations, including funding capital expenditures and acquisitions, meeting its indebtedness obligations, refinancing its indebtedness and meeting its collateral requirements, will depend on its ability to generate cash in the future. The Partnership's ability to generate cash is subject to a number of factors, some of which are beyond its control. These include weather, commodity prices (particularly for natural gas and NGLs) and ongoing efforts to manage operating costs and maintenance capital expenditures, as well as general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors.

The Partnership's main sources of liquidity and capital resources are internally generated cash flow from operations, borrowings under the TRP Revolver, borrowings under the Securitization Facility, the issuance of additional Preferred Units and access to public equity and private capital and debt markets. The capital markets continue to experience volatility. The Partnership's exposure to current credit conditions includes its credit facilities, cash investments and counterparty performance risks. The Partnership continually monitors its liquidity and the credit markets, as well as events and circumstances surrounding each of the lenders to the TRP Revolver and Securitization Facility.

The Partnership's liquidity as of January 31, 2016 was:

	 <u>ary 31, 2016</u> 1 millions)
Cash on hand	\$ 154.7
Total commitments under the TRP Revolver	1,600.0
Total availability under the Securitization Facility	225.0
	 1,979.7
Less: Outstanding borrowings under the TRP Revolver	(380.0)
Outstanding borrowings under the Securitization Facility	(225.0)
Outstanding letters of credit under the TRP Revolver	(13.0)
Total liquidity	\$ 1,361.7

Other potential capital resources include:

The Partnership's right to request an additional \$300 million in commitment increases under the TRP Revolver, subject to the terms therein. The TRP Revolver matures on October 3, 2017.

A portion of the Partnership's capital resources may be allocated to letters of credit to satisfy certain counterparty credit requirements. These letters of credit reflect the Partnership's non-investment grade status, as assigned by Moody's and S&P. They also reflect certain counterparties' views of its financial condition and ability to satisfy its performance obligations, as well as commodity prices and other factors.

Working Capital

Working capital is the amount by which current assets exceed current liabilities. On a consolidated basis at the end of any given month, accounts receivable and payable tied to commodity sales and purchases are relatively balanced with receivables from NGL customers offset by plant settlements payable to producers. The factors that typically cause overall variability in the Partnership's reported total working capital are: (1) the Partnership's cash position; (2) liquids inventory levels and valuation, which the Partnership closely manages; (3) changes in the fair value of the current portion of derivative contracts; and (4) major structural changes in the Partnership's asset base or business operations, such as acquisitions or divestitures and certain organic growth projects.

The Partnership's working capital increased \$21.7 million excluding the increase in current debt obligations. The major items contributing to this increase were increased cash balances, an increase in our net risk management working capital asset position due to changes in the forward prices of commodities, and decreased payables to Parent due to lower compensation and related costs. Partially offsetting these items were an increase in interest accruals related to new borrowings, decreased commodity activity and inventories due to falling prices, and increased accruals for other goods and services.

Based on the Partnership's anticipated levels of operations and absent any disruptive events, we believe the Partnership's internally generated cash flow, borrowings available under the TRP Revolver and the Securitization Facility and proceeds from debt offerings should provide sufficient resources to finance its operations, capital expenditures, long-term debt obligations, collateral requirements and minimum quarterly cash distributions for at least the next twelve months.

The Non-Partnership working capital decreased \$3.8 million. This change was the result of general business operations.

We have incurred tax liabilities as a result of our sales of assets to the Partnership. We have sufficient liquidity to satisfy the \$38.8 million tax liability expected to be paid over the next nine years.

Cash Flow

Cash Flow from Operating Activities

	Targa Resources Corp. <u>Consolidated</u>	Targa Resources Partners LP	C - Non- tnership
		(In millions)	
2015	\$ 1,034.7	\$1,083.9	\$ (49.2)
2014	761.8	838.5	(76.7)
2013	382.7	411.4	(28.7)

The following table displays the Partnership versus Non-Partnership's operating cash flows using the direct method as a supplement to the presentation in the consolidated financial statements:

	Targa Resources Corp. Consolidated	2015 Targa Resources Partners LP	TRC-Non Partnership (In m	Targa Resources Corp. Consolidated illions)	2014 Targa Resources Partners LP	TRC-Non Partnership
Cash flows from operating activities:						
Cash received from customers	\$ 6,820.9	\$6,820.9	\$ —	\$ 8,769.4	\$8,769.5	\$ (0.1)
Cash received from (paid to) derivative counterparties	140.5	140.5	—	(4.9)	(4.9)	—
Cash outlays for:						
Product purchases	5,058.8	5,058.8		7,268.5	7,268.5	
Operating expenses	448.9	448.9	—	402.6	402.5	0.1
General and administrative expenses	180.6	175.2	5.4	134.5	133.7	0.8
Cash distributions from equity investments (1)	(13.8)	(13.8)	—	(18.0)	(18.0)	_
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized (2)	214.1	193.1	21.0	133.8	131.0	2.8
Income taxes paid, net of refunds	13.8	3.4	10.4	73.4	2.7	70.7
Other cash (receipts) payments	24.3	11.9	12.4	7.9	5.7	2.2
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 1,034.7	\$1,083.9	\$ (49.2)	\$ 761.8	\$ 838.5	\$ (76.7)

	20.9 20.9 – 5,364.8 5,364.8 –				
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Cash received from customers	\$	6,388.0	\$6,388.3	\$	(0.3)
Cash received from (paid to) derivative counterparties		20.9	20.9		—
Cash outlays for:					
Product purchases		5,364.8	5,364.8		—
Operating expenses		377.4	377.3		0.1
General and administrative expenses		137.6	145.3		(7.7)
Cash distributions from equity investments (1)		(12.0)	(12.0)		_
Interest paid, net of amounts capitalized (2)		121.7	119.1		2.6
Income taxes paid, net of refunds		35.7	2.3		33.4
Other cash (receipts) payments		1.0	1.0		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	382.7	\$ 411.4	\$	(28.7)

(1) Excludes \$1.2 million included in investing activities for 2015 related to distributions from GCF and T2 Joint Ventures that exceeded cumulative equity earnings. Excludes \$5.7 million included in investing activities for 2014 related to distributions from GCF that exceeded cumulative equity earnings. GCF did not have distributions that exceeded cumulative earnings for 2013.

(2) Net of capitalized interest paid of \$13.2 million, \$16.1 and \$28.0 million included in investing activities for 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Cash Flow from Operating Activities - Partnership

Lower commodity prices were the primary contributor to decreased cash collections and payments for product purchases in 2015 compared to 2014. Derivatives were a net inflow in 2015 versus a net outflow in 2014 reflecting lower commodity prices paid to counterparties compared to the fixed price the Partnership received on those derivative contracts. Higher cash outlay for general and administrative expenses in 2015 versus 2014 were mainly due to the addition of general and administrative costs for TPL. Other cash payments during 2015 reflect transaction costs related to the ATLS Mergers.

Higher natural gas prices, sales and logistics fees related to export activities and higher NGL production volumes contributed to increased cash collections in 2014 compared to 2013, as well as higher cash payments to producers for commodity products. The change in cash received related to derivatives reflects a net outflow in 2014 compared to a net inflow in 2013 due to the prices paid to counterparties compared to the fixed price the Partnership received on those derivative contracts. Lower cash general and administrative expenses were mainly due to the lower cash settlements on TRC long term incentive plan costs in 2014 versus 2013. The increase in other cash payments during 2014 reflects transaction costs incurred in advance of the ATLS Mergers.

Cash Flow from Operating Activities - TRC-Non Partnership

TRC-Non Partnership had higher cash outlays for general and administrative expenses in 2015 versus 2014 related to the timing of intercompany reimbursements between us and our subsidiaries. The increase in interest paid for the Non-Partnership is due to the additional debt issuances during the first quarter of 2015. The decrease in taxes paid is primarily due to the reduction of taxable income as a result of increased depreciation and amortization deduction from the Atlas mergers, including the tax amortization of the Special GP Interest. The increase in other cash payments is related to transaction costs of the Atlas mergers.

TRC-Non Partnership had higher cash outlays for general and administrative expenses in 2014 versus 2013 related to the timing of intercompany reimbursements between us and our subsidiaries. The increase in taxes paid is primarily due to increase of taxable income in 2014 over 2013.

Cash Flow from Investing Activities

	Targa Resources Corp. Consolidated	Targa Resources Partners LP	TRC - Non- Partnership
		(In millions)	
2015	\$ (2,399.6)	\$(1,653.9)	\$ (745.7)
2014	(751.4)	(751.4)	
2013	(1,026.3)	(1,026.3)	

Cash Flow from Investing Activities - Partnership

The increase in net cash used in investing activities for 2015 compared to 2014 was primarily due to the \$828.7 million outlays for the cash portion of Atlas mergers along with a \$55.0 million increase in capital expenditures and an \$11.7 million increase in investments in unconsolidated affiliates.

The decrease in net cash used in investing activities for 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to lower cash outlays for capital expansion projects of \$251.4 million.

Cash Flow from Investing Activities - TRC Non Partnership

The increase in net cash used in investing activities for 2015 compared to 2014 was primarily due to cash outlays for the Atlas mergers. Cash paid for ATLS net of cash acquired was \$745.7 million.

Cash Flow from Financing Activities

	Targa Resources Corp. <u>Consolidate</u>	Partners	TRC - Non- Partnership
		(In millions)	
2015	1,424.	.1 633.1	791.0
2014	3.	.9 (72.3)	76.2
2013	634.	.0 604.4	29.6

Cash Flow from Financing Activities – Partnership

The increase in net cash provided by financing activities for 2015 compared to 2014 was primarily due to increased net borrowings under the Partnership's debt facilities (\$1,954.0 million) offset by payment to settle the tender for APL's senior notes (\$1,168.8 million). The Partnership's contribution from noncontrolling interests increased by \$78.4 million due to the cash calls for capital expansion. Contribution from the General Partner and proceeds from equity offerings increased in 2015 (\$83.3 million), offset by an increase in distributions to owners (\$239.8 million).

The decrease in net cash provided by financing activities for 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to lower net borrowings under the Partnership's debt facilities (\$448.2 million), an increase in distributions to owners (\$98.1 million), and a decrease in proceeds from equity offerings (\$115.1 million).

Cash Flow Financing Activities - Non-Partnership

The increase in net cash used in financing activities for 2015 compared to 2014 was primarily due to cash borrowings for the ATLS merger: the issuance of the term loan and borrowings under our senior secured credit facility (\$914.5 million) and proceeds from equity offerings (\$335.5 million), which were offset by repayments of the term loan and on our senior secured credit facility (\$424.0 million). Dividends paid to common shareholders in 2015 increased \$66 million.

The increase in net cash provided by financing activities for 2014 compared to 2013 was primarily due to an increase in distributions received of \$42.9 million, an increase in net borrowings under our senior secured revolving credit facility of \$16.0 million, partially offset by an increase in dividends paid of \$25.2 million.

				Cash	Dividend	Total		
For the Three Months Ended	Date Paid or to be Paid	Cash Distribution Per Limited <u>Partner Unit</u>	Limited Partner Units	General Partner Interest	Incentive Distribution Rights	Distributions to Targa Resources Corp. (1)	Declared Per TRC Common Share	Dividend Declared to Common Shareholders
2015				(In mi	llions, except per	unit amounts)		
December 31, 2015	February 9, 2016	0.8250	13.5	4.0	43.9	61.4	0.91000	51.7
September 30, 2015	November 16, 2015	0.8250	13.5	4.0	43.9	61.4	0.91000	51.2
June 30, 2015	August 17, 2015	0.8250	13.5	4.0	43.9	61.4	0.87500	49.2
March 31, 2015	May 18, 2015	0.8200	13.4	3.9	41.7	59.0	0.83000	46.6
2014								
December 31, 2014	February 17, 2015	0.8100	10.5	2.7	38.4	51.6	0.77500	32.8
September 30, 2014	November 14, 2014	0.7975	10.3	2.6	36.0	48.9	0.73250	31.0
June 30, 2014	August 14, 2014	0.7800	10.1	2.5	33.7	46.3	0.69000	29.2
March 31, 2014	May 15, 2014	0.7625	9.9	2.4	31.7	44.0	0.64750	27.4
2013								
December 31, 2013	February 14, 2014	0.7475	9.7	2.3	29.5	41.5	0.60750	25.6
September 30, 2013	November 14, 2013	0.7325	9.5	2.2	26.9	38.6	0.57000	24.1
June 30, 2013	August 14, 2013	0.7150	9.3	2.0	24.6	35.9	0.53250	22.5
March 31, 2013	May 15, 2013	0.6975	9.0	1.9	22.1	33.0	0.49500	21.0

Distributions declared and paid on the Partnership's outstanding preferred Series A units were \$1.5 million in 2015.

Capital Requirements

The Partnership's capital requirements that relate to capital expenditures are classified as expansion expenditures, which include business acquisitions, or maintenance expenditures. Expansion capital expenditures improve the service capability of the existing assets, extend asset useful lives, increase capacities from existing levels, add capabilities, reduce costs or enhance revenues, and fund acquisitions of businesses or assets. Maintenance capital expenditures are those expenditures that are necessary to maintain the service capability of the Partnership's existing assets, including the replacement of system components and equipment, that are worn, obsolete or completing their useful life, and expenditures to remain in compliance with environmental laws and regulations.

	Year Ended I 2015	<u>ecember 31,</u> 2014	2013
		(In millions)	
Capital expenditures:			
Consideration for business acquisitions	\$ 5,024.2	\$ —	\$ —
Non-cash value of acquisition (1)	(3,449.8)	—	—
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	1,574.4		
Expansion	679.3	668.7	954.6
Maintenance	97.9	79.1	79.9
Gross capital expenditures	777.2	747.8	1,034.5
Transfers from materials and supplies inventory to property, plant and			
equipment	(3.8)	(4.6)	(20.5)
Decrease (Increase) in capital project payables and accruals	43.8	19.0	(0.4)
Cash outlays for capital projects	817.2	762.2	1,013.6
	\$ 2,391.6	\$ 762.2	\$1,013.6

(1) Includes the Special GP Interest and non-cash value of consideration (see Note 4 – Business Acquisitions of the "Consolidated Financial Statements").

The Partnership currently estimates that it will invest \$525 million or less in growth capital expenditures for announced projects in 2016. Given the Partnership's objective of growth through expansions of existing assets, other internal growth projects, and acquisitions, it anticipates that over time that it will invest significant amounts of capital to grow and acquire assets. Future expansion capital expenditures may vary significantly based on investment opportunities. The Partnership expects to fund future capital expenditures with funds generated from its operations, borrowings under the TRP Revolver and the Securitization Facility and proceeds from issuances of additional equity and debt securities. Major organic growth projects for 2016 are discussed in "Item 1. Business – Organic Growth Projects" in our Annual Report filed February 29, 2016.

Credit Facilities and Long-Term Debt

The following table summarizes our debt obligations as of December 31, 2015 (in millions):

Current:	
Partnership:	¢ 010 0
Accounts receivable securitization facility, due December 2016	\$ 219.3
Long-term:	
Non-Partnership Obligations:	
TRC Senior secured revolving credit facility, variable rate, due February 2020	440.0
TRC Senior secured term loan, variable rate, due February 2022	160.0
Unamortized discount	(2.5)
Partnership Obligations:	
Senior secured revolving credit facility, due October 2017	280.0
Senior unsecured notes, 5% fixed rate, due January 2018	1,100.0
Senior unsecured notes, 4 1⁄8% fixed rate, due November 2019	800.0
Senior unsecured notes, 6 5⁄8% fixed rate, due October 2020	342.1
Unamortized premium	5.0
Senior unsecured notes, 6 7/8% fixed rate, due February 2021	483.6
Unamortized discount	(22.1)
Senior unsecured notes, 6 3/8% fixed rate, due August 2022	300.0
Senior unsecured notes, 5 1⁄4% fixed rate, due May 2023	583.7
Senior unsecured notes, $4 \frac{1}{4}\%$ fixed rate, due November 2023	623.5
Senior unsecured notes, 6 3/4% fixed rate, due March 2024	600.0
Senior unsecured APL notes, 6 5⁄8% fixed rate, due October 2020	12.9
Unamortized premium	0.2
Senior unsecured APL notes, 4 3⁄4% fixed rate, due November 2021	6.5
Senior unsecured APL notes, 5 7/8% fixed rate, due August 2023	48.1
Unamortized premium	0.5
	5,761.5
Debt issuance costs	(42.7)
Total long-term debt	5,718.8
Total Debt	\$5,938.1
	ψ0,000.1

We consolidate the debt of the Partnership with that of our own; however, we do not have the contractual obligation to make interest or principal payments with respect to the debt of the Partnership. Our debt obligations do not restrict the ability of the Partnership to make distributions to us. TRC's Credit Agreement has restrictions and covenants that may limit our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders. See Note 9 – Debt Obligations of the "Consolidated Financial Statements" beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report for more information of the restrictions and covenants in TRC's Credit Agreement.

Compliance with Debt Covenants

As of December 31, 2015, both we and the Partnership were in compliance with the covenants contained in our various debt agreements.

TRC Credit Agreement

ATLS Merger Financing Activities

In connection with the closing of the Atlas mergers, we entered into the TRC Credit Agreement. The TRC Credit Agreement includes a new five year revolving credit facility that replaced the previous credit facility due October 3, 2017. In 2015, we incurred a charge of \$0.2 million related to a write-off of debt issuance costs associated with the previous credit facility as a result of a change in syndicate members under the new TRC Credit Agreement.

The TRC Credit Agreement provides for a new five year revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount up to \$670 million and a seven year variable rate term loan facility in an aggregate principal amount of

\$430 million. This facility was issued at a 1.75% discount. The outstanding term loans are Eurodollar rate loans with an interest rate of LIBOR (with a LIBOR floor of 1%) plus an applicable rate of 4.75%. We used the net proceeds from the term loan issuance and the revolving credit facility to fund cash components of the ATLS merger, including cash merger consideration and approximately \$160.2 million related to change of control payments made by ATLS, cash settlements of equity awards and transaction fees and expenses. In March 2015, we repaid \$188.0 million of the term loan and wrote off \$3.3 million of the discount and \$5.7 million of debt issuance costs. In June 2015, we repaid \$82.0 million of the term loan and wrote off \$1.4 million of the discount and \$2.4 million of debt issuance costs. The write-off of the discount and debt issuance costs are reflected as Loss from financing activities on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2015.

We are required to pay a commitment fee ranging from 0.375% to 0.5% (dependent upon the Company's stand-alone leverage ratio) on the daily average unused portion of the TRC Credit Agreement. Additionally, issued and undrawn letters of credit bear interest at an applicable ranging from 2.75% to 3.5% (dependent upon the Company's stand-alone leverage ratio).

The TRC Credit Agreement is secured by substantially all of the Company's assets. The TRC Credit Agreement requires us to maintain a stand-alone leverage ratio (the ratio of stand-alone funded indebtedness to stand-alone adjusted EBITDA) of no more than (i) 4.50 to 1.00 for the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2016 through the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2016 and (ii) 4.00 to 1.00 for each fiscal quarter ending thereafter. The TRC Credit Agreement restricts our ability to make dividends to shareholders if, on a pro forma basis after giving effect to such dividend, (a) any default or event of default has occurred and is continuing or (b) we are not in compliance with our stand-alone leverage ratio as of the last day of the most recent test period. In addition, the TRC Credit Agreement includes various covenants that may limit, among other things, our ability to incur indebtedness, grant liens, make investments, repay or amend the terms of certain other indebtedness, merge or consolidate, sell assets, and engage in transactions with affiliates.

The Partnership's Senior Secured Credit Facility

In October 2012, the Partnership entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement that amended and replaced its variable rate Senior Secured Credit Facility due July 2015 to provide the TRP Revolver due October 3, 2017 (the "Original Agreement"). The Original Agreement had an available commitment of \$1.2 billion and allowed the Partnership to request up to an additional \$300.0 million in commitment increases.

In February 2015, the Partnership entered into the First Amendment, Waiver and Incremental Commitment Agreement (the "First Amendment") that amended its Original Agreement. The First Amendment increased available commitments to \$1.6 billion from \$1.2 billion while retaining the Partnership's ability to request up to an additional \$300.0 million in commitment increases. In addition, the First Amendment amended certain provisions of the existing TRP Revolver and designated each of TPL and its subsidiaries as an "Unrestricted Subsidiary." The Partnership used proceeds from borrowings under the credit facility to fund some of the cash components of the APL merger, including \$701.4 million for the repayments of the APL Revolver and \$28.8 million related to change of control payments.

The TRP Revolver bears interest, at the Partnership's option, either at the base rate or the Eurodollar rate. The base rate is equal to the highest of: (i) Bank of America's prime rate; (ii) the federal funds rate plus 0.5%; or (iii) the one-month LIBOR rate plus 1.0%, plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.75% to 1.75% (dependent on the Partnership's ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated adjusted EBITDA). The Eurodollar rate is equal to LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.75% to 2.75% (dependent on the Partnership's ratio of consolidated adjusted EBITDA).

The Partnership is required to pay a commitment fee equal to an applicable rate ranging from 0.3% to 0.5% (dependent on the Partnership's ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated adjusted EBITDA) times the actual daily average unused portion of the TRP Revolver. Additionally, issued and undrawn letters of credit bear interest at an applicable rate ranging from 1.75% to 2.75% (dependent on the Partnership's ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA).

The TRP Revolver is collateralized by a majority of the Partnership's assets and the assets of its restricted subsidiaries. Borrowings are guaranteed by the Partnership's restricted subsidiaries.

The TRP Revolver restricts the Partnership's ability to make distributions of available cash to unitholders if a default or an event of default (as defined in the TRP Revolver) exists or would result from such distribution. The TRP Revolver requires the Partnership to maintain a ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated adjusted EBITDA of no more than 5.50 to 1.00 and also requires the Partnership to maintain a ratio of consolidated EBITDA to consolidated interest expense of no less than 2.25 to 1.00. In addition, the TRP Revolver contains various covenants that may limit, among other things, the Partnership's ability to incur indebtedness, grant liens, make investments, repay or amend the terms of certain other indebtedness, merge or consolidate, sell assets, and engage in transactions with affiliates (in each case, subject to the Partnership's right to incur indebtedness or grant liens in connection with, and convey accounts receivable as part of, a permitted receivables financing).

The Partnership's Senior Unsecured Notes

In May 2013, the Partnership privately placed \$625.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 4 1/4% Notes. The 4 1/4% Notes resulted in approximately \$618.1 million of net proceeds, which were used to reduce borrowings under the TRP Revolver and for general partnership purposes.

In June 2013, the Partnership paid \$106.4 million plus accrued interest, which included a premium of \$6.4 million, to redeem \$100.0 million of the outstanding 6 3/8% Notes. The redemption resulted in a \$7.4 million loss on debt redemption, including the write-off of \$1.0 million of unamortized debt issuance costs.

In July 2013, the Partnership paid \$76.8 million plus accrued interest, which included a premium of \$4.1 million, per the terms of the note agreement to redeem the outstanding balance of the 11 ¼% Notes. The redemption resulted in a \$7.4 million loss on debt redemption in the third quarter 2013, including the write-off of \$1.0 million of unamortized debt issuance costs.

In October 2014, the Partnership privately placed \$800.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 4 1/8% Senior Notes due 2019 (the "4 1/8% Notes"). The 4 1/8% Notes resulted in approximately \$790.8 million of net proceeds, which were used to reduce borrowings under the TRP Revolver and Securitization Facility and for general partnership purposes.

In November 2014, the Partnership redeemed the outstanding 7 7/8% Notes at a price of 103.938% plus accrued interest through the redemption date. The redemption resulted in a \$12.4 million loss on redemption for the year ended 2014, consisting of premiums paid of \$9.9 million and a non-cash loss to write-off \$2.5 million of unamortized debt issuance costs.

In January 2015, the Partnership and Targa Resources Partners Finance Corporation (collectively, the "Partnership Issuers") issued \$1.1 billion in aggregate principal amount of 5% Senior Notes due 2018 (the "5% Notes"). The 5% Notes resulted in approximately \$1,089.8 million of net proceeds after costs, which were used with borrowings under the Partnership's senior secured credit facility to fund the APL Notes Tender Offers and the Change of Control Offer.

In September 2015, the Partnership Issuers issued \$600.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 6 3/4% Notes. The 6 3/4% Notes resulted in approximately \$595.0 million of net proceeds after costs, which were used to reduce borrowings under the Partnership's senior secured credit facility and for general partnership purposes. The 6 3/4% Notes are unsecured senior obligations that have substantially the same terms and covenants as the Partnership's other senior notes.

Debt Repurchases

In December 2015, the Partnership repurchased on the open market a portion of various series of its outstanding senior notes paying \$14.3 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$17.9 million of the outstanding balances. The December 2015 note repurchases resulted in a \$3.6 million gain on debt repurchase and a write-off of \$0.1 million in related deferred debt issuance costs.

The Partnership may retire or purchase various series of its outstanding debt through cash purchases and/or exchanges for other debt, in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or exchanges, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

APL Merger Financing Activities

APL Senior Notes Tender Offers

In January 2015, the Partnership commenced cash tender offers for any and all of the outstanding fixed rate senior secured notes to be acquired in the APL merger, referred to as the APL Notes Tender Offers, which totaled \$1.55 billion.

The results of the APL Notes Tender Offers were:

Senior Notes		tstanding e Balance	Amount Tendered	1	e mium Paid & amounts	Int P	crued terest Paid lions)	tal Tender Offer ayments	% Tendered	afte	e Balance r Tender Offers
6 5⁄8%	5 due 2020	\$ 500.0	\$ 140.1	\$	2.1	\$	3.7	\$ 145.9	28.02%	\$	359.9
4 3⁄4%	5 due 2021	400.0	393.5		5.9		5.3	404.7	98.38%		6.5
5 7⁄8%	5 due 2023	650.0	601.9		8.7		2.6	613.2	92.60%		48.1
Total		\$ 1,550.0	\$ 1,135.5	\$	16.7	\$	11.6	\$ 1,163.8		\$	414.5

In connection with the APL Notes Tender Offers, on February 27, 2015, the supplemental indentures governing the 2021 APL Notes and the 2023 APL Notes of the APL Issuers, became operative. These supplemental indentures eliminated substantially all of the restrictive covenants and certain events of default applicable to the 2021 APL Notes and the 2023 APL Notes that were not accepted for payment.

Not having achieved the minimum tender condition on the 2020 APL Notes, the Partnership made a change of control offer, referred to as the Change of Control Offer, for any and all of the 2020 APL Notes in advance of, and conditioned upon, the consummation of the APL merger. In March 2015, holders representing \$4.8 million of the outstanding 2020 APL Notes tendered their notes requiring a payment of \$5.0 million, which included the change of control premium and accrued interest.

Payments made under the APL Notes Tender Offers and Change of Control Offer totaling \$1,168.8 million are presented as financing activities for the Partnership in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Exchange Offer and Consent Solicitation

On April 13, 2015, the Partnership Issuers commenced an offer to exchange (the "Exchange Offer") any and all of the outstanding 2020 APL Notes, for an equal amount of new unsecured 6 5/8% Senior Notes due 2020 issued by the Partnership Issuers (the "6 5/8% Notes" or the "TRP 6 5/8% Notes"). On April 27, 2015, the Partnership had received tenders and consents from holders of approximately 96.3% of the total outstanding 2020 APL Notes. As a result, the minimum tender condition to the Exchange Offer and related consent solicitation was satisfied, and the APL Issuers entered into a supplemental indenture which eliminated substantially all of the restrictive covenants and certain events of default applicable to the 2020 APL Notes.

In May 2015, upon the closing of the Exchange Offer, the Partnership Issuers issued \$342.1 million aggregate principal amount of the TRP 6 5/8% Notes to holders of the 2020 APL Notes which were validly tendered for exchange. The related \$5.6 million premium, resulting from acquisition date fair value accounting, will be amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the remaining term of the TRP 6 5/8% Notes. The Partnership recognized \$0.7 million of costs associated with the Exchange Offer, reflected as a Loss from financing activities on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Selected terms of the senior unsecured notes outstanding as of December 31, 2015 are as follows:

		Per Annum		
Note Issue	Issue Date	Interest Rate	Due Date	Dates Interest Paid
"6 7⁄8% Notes"	February 2011	6 7⁄8%	February 1, 2021	February & August 1 st
"6 3⁄8% Notes"	January 2012	6 3⁄8%	August 1, 2022	February & August 1 st
"5 ¼% Notes"	Oct / Dec 2012	5 1⁄4%	May 1, 2023	May & November 1 st
"4 ¼% Notes"	May 2013	4 1⁄4%	November 15, 2023	May & November 15 th
"4 ¼8% Notes"	October 2014	4 1⁄8%	November 15, 2019	May & November 15 th
"5% Notes"	January 2015	5%	January 15, 2018	January & July 15 th
"6 5⁄8% Notes"	May 2015	6 5⁄8%	October 1, 2020	February & October 1 st
"6 3⁄4% Notes"	September 2015	6 3⁄4%	March 15, 2024	March & September 15 th
"APL 6 5⁄8% Notes"	Sept 2012 (1)	6 5⁄8%	October 1, 2020	April & October 1st
"APL 4 ¾ Notes"	May 2013 (1)	4 3⁄4%	November 15, 2021	May & November 15 th
"APL 5 7⁄8% Notes"	February 2013 (1)	5 ⁷ ⁄8%	August 1, 2023	February & August 1 st

(1) Issue dates for APL Notes are original dates of issuance. These notes were acquired in the APL Merger. See Note 4 – Business Acquisitions.

All issues of unsecured senior notes are obligations that rank pari passu in right of payment with existing and future senior indebtedness, including indebtedness under the TRP Revolver. They are senior in right of payment to any of the Partnership's future subordinated indebtedness and are unconditionally guaranteed by the Partnership. These notes are effectively subordinated to all secured indebtedness under the TRP Revolver, which is secured by a majority of its assets and the Partnership's Securitization Facility, which is secured by accounts receivable pledged under it, to the extent of the value of the collateral securing that indebtedness. Interest on all issues of senior unsecured notes is payable semi-annually in arrears.

The Partnership's senior unsecured notes and associated indenture agreements restrict its ability to make distributions to unitholders in the event of default (as defined in the indentures). The indentures also restrict the Partnership's ability and the ability of certain of the Partnership's subsidiaries to: (i) incur additional debt or enter into sale and leaseback transactions; (ii) pay certain distributions on or repurchase equity interests (only if such distributions do not meet specified conditions); (iii) make certain investments; (iv) incur liens; (v) enter into transactions with affiliates; (vi) merge or consolidate with another company; and (vii) transfer and sell assets. These covenants are subject to a number of important exceptions and qualifications. If at any time when the notes are rated investment grade by Moody's or S&P (or rated investment grade by both Moody's and S&P for the 6 7/8% Notes) and no Default or Event of Default (each as defined in the indentures) has occurred and is continuing, many of such covenants will terminate and we will cease to be subject to such covenants.

Accounts Receivable Securitization Facility

The Securitization Facility provides up to \$225.0 million of borrowing capacity at LIBOR market index rates plus a margin through December 9, 2016. Under the Securitization Facility, TMS contributes receivables to TGM, and TGM and TLMT sell or contribute receivables, without recourse, to TRLLC. TRLLC, in turn, sells an undivided percentage ownership in the eligible receivables to a third-party financial institution. Receivables up to the amount of the outstanding debt under the Securitization Facility are not available to satisfy the claims of the creditors of TLMT, TMS, TGM or the Partnership. Any excess receivables are eligible to satisfy the claims of TLMT, TMS, TGM or the Partnership. As of December 31, 2015, total funding under the Securitization Facility was \$219.3 million.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2015, there were \$24.5 million in surety bonds outstanding related to various performance obligations. These are in place to support various performance obligations for the Partnership as required by (i) statutes within the regulatory jurisdictions where the Partnership operates, (ii) surety, and (iii) counterparty support. Obligations under these surety bonds are not normally called, as the Partnership typically complies with the underlying performance requirement.

Contractual Obligations

In addition to disclosures related to debt and lease obligations, contained in Notes 10 and 16 of the "Consolidated Financial Statements" beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report, the following is a summary of certain contractual obligations over the next several years:

		Less Than			More Than
Contractual Obligations	Total	1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	5 Years
Non-Partnership Obligations:		(In millions,	except volumetri	c information)	
Debt obligations (1)	\$ 600.0	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 440.0	\$ 160.0
Interest on debt obligations (2)	107.0	19.3	38.5	38.5	10.7
Operating leases (3)	8.5	3.6	3.8	1.1	—
Partnership Obligations:					
Debt obligations (1)	5,180.4		1,380.0	1,155.0	2,645.4
Interest on debt obligations (2)	1,554.4	280.3	548.2	385.0	340.9
Operating leases (3)	45.2	16.0	19.6	6.5	3.1
Land site lease and right-of-way (4)	11.0	2.4	4.5	4.1	—
Partnership Purchase Obligations: (5)					
Pipeline capacity and throughput agreements (6)	474.7	88.9	131.8	101.9	152.1
Commodities (7)	61.2	61.2			_
Purchase commitments and service contract (8)	202.9	191.4	8.6	2.9	
	\$8,245.3	\$ 663.1	\$2,135.0	\$2,135.0	\$ 3,312.2
Commodity Volumetric Commitments:					
Natural Gas (MMBtu)	24.8	24.8	—		

NGL and petroleum products (millions of gallons)

(1) Represents scheduled future maturities of consolidated debt obligations for the periods indicated.

(2) Represents interest expense on debt obligations based on both fixed debt interest rates and prevailing December 31, 2015 rates for floating debt.

16.6

16.6

(3) Includes minimum payments on lease obligations for office space, railcars and tractors.

(4) Land site lease and right-of-way provides for surface and underground access for gathering, processing and distribution assets that are located on property not owned by us. These agreements expire at various dates with varying terms, some of which are perpetual.

(5) A purchase obligation represents an agreement to purchase goods or services that is enforceable, legally binding and specifies all significant terms, including: fixed minimum or variable prices provisions; and the approximate timing of the transaction.

(6) Consists of pipeline capacity payments for firm transportation and throughput and deficiency agreements.

(7) Includes natural gas and NGL purchase commitments. Contracts that will be settled at future spot prices are valued using prices as of December 31, 2015.

(8) Includes commitments for capital expenditures, operating expenses and service contracts.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires our management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. The policies and estimates discussed below are considered by management to be critical to an understanding of our financial statements because their application requires the most significant judgments from management in estimating matters for financial reporting that are inherently uncertain. See the description of our accounting policies in the notes to the financial statements for additional information about our critical accounting policies and estimates.

Property, Plant and Equipment and Intangibles

In general, depreciation and amortization is the systematic and rational allocation of an asset's cost, less its residual value (if any), to the period it benefits. Our and the Partnership's property, plant and equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. The estimate of depreciation incorporates assumptions regarding the useful economic lives and residual values of our assets. Amortization expense attributable to intangible assets is recorded on a straight-line basis or, where more appropriate, in a manner that closely resembles the expected pattern in which the Partnership benefits from services provided to its customers. At the time assets are placed in service, we believe such assumptions are reasonable; however, circumstances may develop that would cause us to change these assumptions, which would change our depreciation/amortization amounts prospectively. Examples of such circumstances include:

- changes in energy prices;
- changes in competition;
- changes in laws and regulations that limit the estimated economic life of an asset;
- changes in technology that render an asset obsolete;
- changes in expected salvage values; and
- changes in the forecast life of applicable resources basins.

We evaluate long-lived assets, including related intangibles, of identifiable business activities for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate, in management's judgment, that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable. As a result of this evaluation, the carrying value of certain Louisiana gas processing facilities and associated gathering systems in the Gathering and Processing segment was reduced by \$32.6 million and \$3.2 million during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 as a result of reduced forecasted gas processing volumes due to market conditions and processing spreads. These carrying value adjustments are included in depreciation and amortization expenses on our consolidated statements of operations. There have been no other significant changes impacting long-lived assets.

Goodwill

Goodwill results when the cost of an acquisition exceeds the fair value of the net identifiable assets of the acquired business. We and the Partnership evaluate goodwill for impairment at least annually, as of November 30th, as well as whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate it is more likely than not the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount.

Our evaluation as of November 30, 2015 utilized the income approach (a discounted cash flow analysis ("DCF")) to estimate the fair values of our reporting units. The future cash flows for our reporting units were based on our estimates, at that time, of future revenues, income from operations and other factors, such as working capital and capital expenditures. We took into account current and expected industry and market conditions, commodity pricing and volumetric forecasts in the basins in which the reporting units operate. The discount rates used in our DCF analysis were based on a weighted average cost of capital determined from relevant market comparisons.

Based on the results of our evaluation, we have recorded a provisional goodwill impairment of \$290.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2015 and reduced the carrying value of goodwill to \$417.0 million as of December 31, 2015.

Revenue Recognition

The Partnership's operating revenues are primarily derived from the following activities:

sales of natural gas, NGLs, condensate and petroleum products;

- services related to compressing, gathering, treating, and processing of natural gas;
- services related to gathering, storing and terminaling of crude oil; and
- services related to NGL fractionation, terminaling and storage, transportation and treating.

We recognize revenues when all of the following criteria are met: (1) persuasive evidence of an exchange arrangement exists, if applicable; (2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; (3) the price is fixed or determinable and (4) collectability is reasonably assured.

Price Risk Management (Hedging)

The Partnership's net income and cash flows are subject to volatility stemming from changes in commodity prices and interest rates. To reduce the volatility of our cash flows, the Partnership has entered into derivative financial instruments related to a portion of its equity volumes to manage the purchase and sales prices of commodities. We are exposed to the credit risk of certain of the Partnership's counterparties in these derivative financial instruments. The Partnership's futures contracts have limited credit risk since they are cleared through an exchange and are settled daily. We also monitor NGL inventory levels with a view to mitigating losses related to downward price exposure.

The Partnership's cash flow is affected by the derivative financial instruments it enters into to the extent these instruments are settled by (i) making or receiving a payment to/from the counterparty or (ii) making or receiving a payment for entering into a contract that exactly offsets the original derivative financial instrument. Typically a derivative financial instrument is settled when the physical transaction that underlies the derivative financial instrument occurs.

One of the primary factors that can affect the Partnership's operating results each period is the price assumptions used to value the Partnership's derivative financial instruments, which are reflected at their fair values in the balance sheet. The relationship between the derivative financial instruments and the hedged item must be highly effective in achieving the offset of changes in cash flows attributable to the hedged risk both at the inception of the derivative financial instrument and on an ongoing basis. Hedge accounting is discontinued prospectively when a derivative financial instrument becomes ineffective. Gains and losses deferred in other comprehensive income related to cash flow hedges for which hedge accounting has been discontinued remain deferred until the forecasted transaction occurs. If it is probable that a hedged forecasted transaction will not occur, deferred gains or losses on the derivative financial instrument are reclassified to earnings immediately.

The estimated fair value of the Partnership's derivative financial instruments was a net asset of \$119.5 million as of December 31, 2015, net of an adjustment for credit risk. The credit risk adjustment is based on the default probabilities by year as indicated by the counterparties' credit default swap transactions. These default probabilities have been applied to the unadjusted fair values of the derivative financial instruments to arrive at the credit risk adjustment, which is immaterial for all periods covered by this Annual Report. The Partnership has an active credit management process which is focused on controlling loss exposure to bankruptcies or other liquidity issues of counterparties.

Use of Estimates

When preparing financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management must make estimates and assumptions based on information available at the time. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, as well as the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements. Estimates and judgments are based on information available at the time such estimates and judgments are made. Adjustments made with respect to the use of these estimates and judgments often relate to information not previously available. Uncertainties with respect to such estimates and judgments are inherent in the preparation of financial statements. Estimates and judgments are used in, among other things, (1) estimating unbilled revenues, product purchases and operating and general and administrative costs, (2) developing fair value assumptions, including estimates of future cash flows and discount rates, (3) analyzing long-lived assets for possible impairment, (4) estimating the useful lives of assets, (5) determining amounts to accrue for contingencies, guarantees and indemnifications and (6) valuing mandatorily redeemable preferred interests. Actual results, therefore, could differ materially from estimated amounts.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

For a discussion of recent accounting pronouncements that will affect us, see "Recent Accounting Pronouncements" included under Note 3 – Significant Accounting Policies of our "Consolidated Financial Statements."

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. AUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	F-2
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-3
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013	F-7
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Owners' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013	F-9
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013	F-10
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-11
Note 1 — Organization	F-11
Note 2 — Basis of Presentation	F-11
Note 3 — Significant Accounting Policies	F-15
Note 4 — Business Acquisitions	F-22
Note 5 — Inventories	F-32
Note 6 — Property, Plant and Equipment and Intangible Assets	F-32
Note 7 — Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates	F-33
Note 8 — Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities	F-34
Note 9 — Debt Obligations	F-35
Note 10 — Other Long-term Liabilities	F-43
Note 11 — Partnership Units and Related Matters	F-44
Note 12 — Common Stock and Related Matters	F-47
Note 13 — Earnings Per Common Share	F-48
Note 14 — Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities	F-48
Note 15 — Fair Value Measurements	F-51
Note 16 — Related Party Transactions	F-54
<u>Note 17 — Commitments (Leases)</u>	F-55
Note 18 — Contingencies	F-56
Note 19 — Significant Risks and Uncertainties	F-59
<u>Note 20 — Other Operating (Income) Expense</u>	F-61
Note 21 — Income Taxes	F - 61
Note 22 — Supplemental Cash Flow Information	F-63
Note 23 — Stock and Other Compensation Plans	F-64
Note 24 — Segment Information	F-70
<u>Note 25 — Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)</u>	F-74
<u>Note 26 — Condensed Parent Only Financial Statements</u>	F-74

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives because of its inherent limitations. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

Management has used the framework set forth in the report entitled "Internal Control—Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") in 2013 to evaluate the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting. Based on that evaluation, management has concluded that the internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2015, as discussed in Item 9A.

The businesses of Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P. which the Partnership purchased on February 27, 2015 and Atlas Energy, L.P. which Targa purchased on February 27, 2015 were excluded from the scope of our management's assessment of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015. These businesses constituted 21.6% and 18.0% of total reportable segment revenue and operating margin for the year ended December 31, 2015 and 51.1% of total assets at December 31, 2015.

The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, as stated in their report which appears on page F-3.

/s/ Joe Bob Perkins Joe Bob Perkins Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ Matthew J. Meloy Matthew J. Meloy Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Targa Resources Corp.

In our opinion, the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and the related consolidated statements of operations, of comprehensive income (loss), of changes in owners' equity and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Targa Resources Corp. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Company did not maintain, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) because a material weakness in internal control over financial reporting related to the development and application of inputs, assumptions and calculations used in certain cash flow-based fair value measurements such as those associated with business combinations and impairments existed as of that date. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. The material weakness referred to above is described in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. We considered this material weakness in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2015 consolidated financial statements, and our opinion regarding the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting does not affect our opinion on those consolidated financial statements. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in management's report referred to above. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

As described in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, management has excluded the businesses of Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P. and Atlas Energy, L.P. (collectively, "Atlas") from its assessment of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 because they were acquired by the

Company in a purchase business combination during 2015. We also have excluded the Atlas businesses from our audit of internal control over financial reporting. The Atlas businesses are consolidated by the Company and their revenue and operating margin represent approximately 21.6% and 18.0%, respectively, of reportable segment revenue and operating margin, and 51.1% of consolidated total assets of the Company as of and for the year ended December 31, 2015.

As discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted a new accounting standard on January 1, 2016 that resulted in a change in the classification of debt issuance costs.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Houston, Texas

February 29, 2016, except with respect to our opinion on the consolidated financial statements insofar as it relates to the change in the composition of reportable segments discussed in Note 24, and the change in the classification of debt issuance costs discussed in Note 3, as to which the date is May 23, 2016

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

		Decem 2015	2014
ASSETS		(In mi	llions)
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents		\$ 140.2	\$ 81.0
Trade receivables, net of allowances of \$0.1 and \$0.0 million at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 20	14	515.8	567.3
Inventories		141.0	168.9
Assets from risk management activities		92.2	44.4
Other current assets		30.8	20.9
Total current assets		920.0	882.5
Property, plant and equipment		11,935.1	6,521.1
Accumulated depreciation		(2,232.4)	(1,696.5)
Property, plant and equipment, net		9,702.7	4,824.6
Intangible assets, net		1,810.1	591.9
Goodwill		417.0	
Long-term assets from risk management activities		34.9	15.8
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates		258.9	50.2
Other long-term assets		67.4	58.5
Total assets		\$13,211.0	\$ 6,423.5
		φ13,211.0	φ 0, 4 20.0
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		\$ 657.1	\$ 638.5
Deferred income taxes		\$ 057.1	\$ 030.3
Liabilities from risk management activities		5.2	5.2
Accounts receivable securitization facility		219.3	182.8
Total current liabilities		881.6	826.5
Long-term debt		5,718.8	2,855.5
Long-term liabilities from risk management activities		2.4	2,000.0
Deferred income taxes, net		177.8	138.7
Other long-term liabilities		177.0	63.3
		100.2	00.0
Contingencies (see Note 18)			
Owners' equity:			
Targa Resources Corp. stockholders' equity:			
Common stock (\$0.001 par value, 300,000,000 shares authorized)		0.1	—
Issued	Outstanding		
December 31, 2015 56,446,573	56,020,266		
December 31, 2014 42,532,353	42,143,463		
Preferred stock (\$0.001 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding)			—
Additional paid-in capital		1,457.4	164.9
Retained earnings		26.9	25.5
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		5.7	4.8
Treasury stock, at cost (426,307 shares as of December 31, 2015 and 388,890 as of December 31, 2014)		(28.7)	(25.4)
Total Targa Resources Corp. stockholders' equity		1,461.4	169.8
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries		4,788.8	2,369.7
Total owners' equity		6,250.2	2,539.5
Total liabilities and owners' equity		\$13,211.0	\$ 6,423.5

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

Fees from midstream services1,193.21,021.3Total revenues6,658.68,616.5Costs and expenses:Product purchases4,837.66,992.7Operating expenses540.0487.3Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1351.0General and administrative expenses161.7148.0	2013 e amounts) \$ 5,728.0 586.7 6,314.7 5,098.7 414.8 271.9 151.5
Revenues:Sales of commodities\$ 5,465.4\$ 7,595.2\$Fees from midstream services1,193.21,021.3Total revenues6,658.68,616.5Costs and expenses:4,837.66,992.7Product purchases4,837.66,992.7Operating expenses540.0487.3Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1351.0General and administrative expenses161.7148.0	\$ 5,728.0 586.7 6,314.7 5,098.7 414.8 271.9
Fees from midstream services1,193.21,021.3Total revenues6,658.68,616.5Costs and expenses:Product purchases4,837.66,992.7Operating expenses540.0487.3Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1351.0General and administrative expenses161.7148.0	586.7 6,314.7 5,098.7 414.8 271.9
Total revenues6,658.68,616.5Costs and expenses:Product purchases4,837.66,992.7Operating expenses540.0487.3Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1351.0General and administrative expenses161.7148.0	6,314.7 5,098.7 414.8 271.9
Costs and expenses:Product purchases4,837.66,992.7Operating expenses540.0487.3Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1351.0General and administrative expenses161.7148.0	5,098.7 414.8 271.9
Costs and expenses:Product purchases4,837.66,992.7Operating expenses540.0487.3Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1351.0General and administrative expenses161.7148.0	5,098.7 414.8 271.9
Operating expenses540.0487.3Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1351.0General and administrative expenses161.7148.0	414.8 271.9
Depreciation and amortization expenses677.1351.0General and administrative expenses161.7148.0	271.9
General and administrative expenses 161.7 148.0	
	151.5
Provisional goodwill impairment 290.0 —	
Other operating (income) expense (7.1) (3.0)	9.6
Income from operations 159.3 640.5	368.2
Other income (expense):	
Interest expense, net (231.9) (147.1)	(134.1)
Equity earnings (loss) (2.5) 18.0	14.8
Loss from financing activities(10.1)(12.4)	(14.7)
Other (26.6) (8.0)	15.3
Income (loss) before income taxes (111.8) 491.0	249.5
Income tax (expense) benefit:	
Current (15.0) (72.4)	(42.8)
Deferred (24.6) 4.4	(5.4)
(39.6) (68.0)	(48.2)
Net income (loss) (151.4) 423.0	201.3
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests (209.7) 320.7	136.2
Net income available to common shareholders \$ 58.3 \$ 102.3	\$ 65.1
Net income available per common share - basic \$\$1.09\$	\$ 1.56
Net income available per common share - diluted \$1.09 \$2.43	\$ 1.55
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic 53.5 42.0	41.6
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted53.642.1	42.1

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

				Year Er	ded Decen	ıber 31,			
		2015			2014			2013	
	Pre-	Related Income	After	Pre-	Related Income	After	Pre-	Related Income	After
	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax	Tax
Teurs Decourses Com				(In millions)			
Targa Resources Corp.			¢ =0.0			¢102.2			ድርር 1
Net income attributable to Targa Resources Corp.			\$ 58.3			\$102.3			\$ 65.1
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources									
Corp.									
Commodity hedging contracts:	<i>*</i> · · · ·	<i></i>		* - -	* (* *)		* (2.0)	* • • •	
Change in fair value	\$ 11.0	\$ (4.2)	6.8	\$ 7.5	\$ (2.9)	4.6	\$ (0.8)	\$ 0.3	(0.5)
Settlements reclassified to revenues	(9.5)	3.6	(5.9)	0.6	(0.1)	0.5	(2.8)	1.1	(1.7)
Interest rate swaps:									
Change in fair value		—	—		—	—	—	—	—
Settlements reclassified to interest expense, net				0.3	(0.1)	0.2	0.8	(0.3)	0.5
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources									
Corp.	\$ 1.5	\$ (0.6)	0.9	\$ 8.4	\$ (3.1)	5.3	\$ (2.8)	\$ 1.1	(1.7)
Comprehensive income attributable to Targa Resources Corp.			\$ 59.2			\$107.6			\$ 63.4
Noncontrolling interests									
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			\$(209.7)			\$320.7			\$136.2
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling									
interests									
Commodity hedging contracts:									
Change in fair value	\$101.7	\$ —	101.7	\$52.2	\$ —	52.2	\$ (5.0)	\$ —	(5.0)
Settlements reclassified to revenues	(76.8)	—	(76.8)	3.6		3.6	(18.2)	—	(18.2)
Interest rate swaps:									
Change in fair value									_
Settlements reclassified to interest expense, net	_	_		2.1	_	2.1	5.3	_	5.3
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling									
interests	\$ 24.9	\$ —	24.9	\$57.9	\$ —	57.9	\$(17.9)	\$ —	(17.9)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	\$(184.8)	\$07.0	<u> </u>	\$378.6	<u> (17.5</u>)	Ŷ	\$118.3
comprehensive meane (1955) durbulable to noncontrolling interests			φ(10 4 .0)			\$570.0			φ110.0

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

	Year Ended December 31,									
	2015			2014				2013		
		Related			Related			Related		
	Pre- Tax	Income Tax	After Tax	Pre- Tax	Income Tax	After Tax	Pre- Tax	Income Tax	After Tax	
	10.5	Iux	Iux	-	In millions	-	101	Iux	Iux	
Total				,		,				
Net income			\$(151.4)			\$423.0			\$201.3	
Other comprehensive income (loss)										
Commodity hedging contracts:										
Change in fair value	\$112.7	\$ (4.2)	108.5	\$59.7	\$ (2.9)	56.8	\$ (5.8)	\$ 0.3	(5.5)	
Settlements reclassified to revenues	(86.3)	3.6	(82.7)	4.2	(0.1)	4.1	(21.0)	1.1	(19.9)	
Interest rate swap:										
Change in fair value	—	_		_						
Settlements reclassified to interest expense, net				2.4	(0.1)	2.3	6.1	(0.3)	5.8	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 26.4	\$ (0.6)	25.8	\$66.3	\$ (3.1)	\$ 63.2	\$(20.7)	\$ 1.1	\$(19.6)	
Total comprehensive income			\$(125.6)			\$486.2			\$181.7	

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-8

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN OWNERS' EQUITY

				R	etained	Acc	cumulated					
	Commo	n Stock	Additional Paid in	Ea	rnings		Other	Trancur	y Shares	Non	controlling	
	Shares	Amount	Capital	•	umulated eficit)		prehensive ome (Loss)	Shares	Amount		nterests	Total
					(In million		iaudited) pt shares in tl	youcande)				
Balance, December 31, 2012	42.295	\$ —	\$ 184.4	\$	(32.0)		1.2	198 198	\$ (9.5)	\$	1,609.3	\$1,753.4
Compensation on equity grants		÷	8.8	Ŷ		÷			(010) —	Ŷ	6.0	14.8
Accrual of distribution equivalent rights											(1.7)	(1.7)
Shares issued under compensation program	36		_				_	_	_		_	_
Common stock and Partnership units tendered for												
tax withholding obligations	(169)	_	_		_		_	169.0	(13.3)		_	(13.3)
Sale of Partnership limited partner interests		_			_		_	_	`´		517.7	517.7
Impact of Partnership equity transactions			32.7				_		—		(32.7)	
Dividends	_				(12.6)		—	_	—		`— ́	(12.6)
Dividends in excess of retained earnings	_	_	(74.3)				_	_	_		_	(74.3)
Contributions to non-controlling interests	_	_	<u> </u>		_		_	_	_		4.3	4.3
Distributions to non-controlling interests	_	_			_		_	_	_		(278.7)	(278.7)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	_	_			_		(1.7)	_	_		(17.9)	(19.6)
Net income					65.1						136.2	201.3
Balance, December 31, 2013	42,162	<u>\$ </u>	\$ 151.6	\$	20.5	\$	(0.5)	367	\$ (22.8)	\$	1,942.5	\$2,091.3
Compensation on equity grants		÷	6.1	Ŷ		÷	(0.5)		ф (<u></u>	Ŷ	9.2	15.3
Distribution equivalent rights							_				(1.4)	(1.4)
Shares issued under compensation program	3						_				(=) —	()
Repurchase of common stock	_		_				_					_
-												
Common stock and Partnership units tendered for									(2.5)		(1.0)	
tax withholding obligations	(22)						—	22	(2.6)		(4.8)	(7.4)
Sale of Partnership limited partner interests	—	—	_		—		—	_	—		408.4	408.4
Impact of Partnership equity transactions	—		23.0				—		—		(23.0)	
Dividends	—	—			(97.3)		—	_	—		—	(97.3)
Dividends in excess of retained earnings			(15.8)				—		_		<u> </u>	(15.8)
Distributions	_	_	_		_		_	_	—		(339.8)	(339.8)
Other comprehensive income (loss)					_		5.3		—		57.9	63.2
Net income					102.3						320.7	423.0
Balance, December 31, 2014	42,143	\$ —	\$ 164.9	\$	25.5	\$	4.8	389	\$ (25.4)	\$	2,369.7	\$2,539.5
Compensation on equity grants	-	—	9.5		-		-	-	—		16.6	26.1
Distribution equivalent rights	—	—	(0.8)		—		—	—	—		(1.6)	(2.4)
Shares issued under compensation program	50		—				—	—			—	—
Common stock and Partnership units tendered for												
tax withholding obligations	(37)						_	37	(3.3)		(5.5)	(8.8)
Sale of Partnership limited partner interests	(c.)		_				_				436.0	436.0
Proceeds from equity issuances	3,738		335.5				_		_			335.5
Impact of Partnership equity transactions			56.8				_	_	_		(56.8)	_
Dividends			_		(56.9)		_				_	(56.9)
Dividends in excess of retained earnings			(122.1)				_	_				(122.1)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests			()				_				(514.8)	(514.8)
Distributions payable to preferred unit holders			_				_	_			(0.9)	(0.9)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests							_				78.4	78.4
Noncontrolling interest in acquired subsidiaries			_				_				216.8	216.8
Common stock issued in ATLS merger	10,126	0.1	1,013.6				_					1,013.7
Issuance of Partnership units in APL merger							_	_	_		2,435.7	2,435.7
Other comprehensive income (loss)							0.9				24.9	25.8
Net income			_		58.3			_			(209.7)	(151.4)
Balance, December 31, 2015	56,020	\$ 0.1	\$1,457.4	\$	26.9	\$	5.7	426	\$ (28.7)	\$	4,788.8	\$6,250.2
		~ 0.1	÷ 1,107.1	-	_0.0	*			<u>+ (-017</u>)	*	.,. 5010	<i>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</i>

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

		Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014 (In millions)	2013		
Cash flows from operating activities					
Net income (loss)	\$ (151.4)	\$ 423.0	\$ 201.3		
Adjustments to reconcile net income(loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:					
Amortization in interest expense	15.3	11.8	15.9		
Compensation on equity grants	25.0	14.3	13.2		
Depreciation and amortization expense	677.1	351.0	271.9		
Provisional goodwill impairment	290.0	—	—		
Accretion of asset retirement obligations	5.3	4.5	4.0		
Change in redemption value of other long-term liabilities	(30.6)	—	—		
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	24.6	(4.4)	5.4		
Equity (earnings) loss of unconsolidated affiliates	2.5	(18.0)	(14.8		
Distributions received from unconsolidated affiliates	13.8	18.0	12.0		
Risk management activities	71.1	4.7	(0.3		
(Gain) loss on sale or disposition of assets	(8.0)	(4.8)	3.9		
Loss from financing activities	10.1	12.4	14.7		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of business acquisitions:					
Receivables and other assets	235.9	90.2	(143.6		
Inventory	41.4	(36.2)	(84.5		
Accounts payable and other liabilities	(187.4)	(104.7)	83.6		
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,034.7	761.8	382.7		
Cash flows from investing activities					
Outlays for property, plant and equipment	(817.2)	(762.2)	(1,013.6		
Outlays for business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(1,574.4)	(702.2)	(1,015.0		
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates	(1,374.4)				
Return of capital from unconsolidated affiliates	1.2	5.7			
Other, net	2.5	5.1	(12.7		
	(2,399.6)				
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,399.0)	(751.4)	(1,026.3		
Cash flows from financing activities					
Partnership debt obligations:	4 000 0	D 100 0			
Proceeds from borrowings under credit facilities	1,996.0	2,400.0	2,238.0		
Repayments of credit facilities	(1,716.0)	(2,254.8)	(2,021.2		
Proceeds from accounts receivable securitization facility	391.6	381.9	373.3		
Repayments of accounts receivable securitization facility	(355.1)	(478.8)	(93.6		
Proceeds from issuance of senior notes	1,700.0	_			
Redemption of senior notes	(14.3)	—	_		
Redemption of APL senior notes	(1,168.8)	_			
Non-Partnership debt obligations:					
Proceeds from borrowings under credit facility	492.0	92.0	65.0		
Repayments of credit facility	(154.0)	(74.0)	(63.0		
Proceeds from issuance of senior term loan	422.5				
Repayments on senior term loan	(270.0)	—	—		
Costs incurred in connection with financing arrangements	(54.3)	(14.3)	(15.3		
Proceeds from sale of common and preferred units of the Partnership	443.6	412.7	524.7		
Repurchase of common units under Partnership compensation plans	(5.5)	(4.8)	_		
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	78.4	—	4.3		
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(514.8)	(339.8)	(278.7		
Payments of distribution equivalent rights	(2.8)	(1.6)	—		
Proceeds from TRC equity offerings	336.8	—			
Repurchase of common stock under TRC compensation plans	(3.3)	(2.6)	(13.)		
Dividends to common shareholders	(179.0)	(113.0)	(87.		
Excess tax benefit from stock-based awards	1.1	1.0	1.		
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,424.1	3.9	634.		
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	59.2	14.3	(9.		
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	81.0	66.7	(9. 76.		
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 140.2	\$ 81.0	\$ 66.		

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Except as noted within the context of each footnote disclosure, the dollar amounts presented in the tabular data within these footnote disclosures are stated in millions of dollars.

Note 1 — Organization

Targa Resources Corp. ("TRC") is a Delaware corporation formed in October 2005. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "TRGP." In this Annual Report, unless the context requires otherwise, references to "we," "us," "our," "the Company" or "Targa" are intended to mean our consolidated business and operations.

Note 2 — Basis of Presentation

These accompanying financial statements and related notes present our consolidated financial position as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of operations, comprehensive income, cash flows, and changes in owners' equity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013.

We have prepared our consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Certain amounts in prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

One of our indirect subsidiaries is the sole general partner of Targa Resources Partners LP ("the Partnership" or "TRP"). Because we control the general partner of the Partnership, under GAAP, we must reflect our ownership interests in the Partnership on a consolidated basis. Accordingly, the Partnership's financial results are included in our consolidated financial statements even though the distribution or transfer of Partnership assets is limited by the terms of the Partnership's partnership agreement, as well as restrictive covenants in the Partnership's lending agreements. The limited partner interests in the Partnership not owned by us are reflected in our consolidated results of operations as net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests and in our Consolidated Balance Sheet equity section as noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries. Throughout these footnotes, we make a distinction where relevant between financial results of the Partnership versus those of a standalone parent and its non-partnership subsidiaries.

As of December 31, 2015, our interests in the Partnership consist of the following:

- a 2% general partner interest, which we hold through our 100% ownership interest in the general partner of the Partnership;
- all Incentive Distribution Rights ("IDRs");
- 16,309,594 common units of the Partnership, representing an 8.8% limited partnership interest; and
- a Special GP Interest representing retained tax benefits related to the contribution to the Partnership from us of the APL general partner interest acquired in the ATLS merger (see Note 4 Business Acquisitions).

The Partnership is engaged in the business of gathering, compressing, treating, processing and selling natural gas; storing, fractionating, treating, transporting and selling NGLs and NGL products; gathering, storing and terminaling crude oil; and storing, terminaling and selling refined petroleum products. See Note 24 – Segment Information for an analysis of our and the Partnership's operations by business segment.

The Partnership does not have any employees. We provide operational, general and administrative and other services to the Partnership, associated with the Partnership's existing assets and assets acquired from third parties. We perform centralized corporate functions for the Partnership, such as legal, accounting, treasury, insurance, risk management, health, safety and environmental, information technology, human resources, credit, payroll, internal audit, taxes, engineering and marketing.

The Partnership Agreement governs our relationship with the Partnership regarding the reimbursement of costs incurred on behalf of the Partnership. We charge the Partnership for all the direct costs of the employees

assigned to its operations, as well as all general and administrative support costs other than (1) costs attributable to our status as a separate reporting company and (2) our costs of providing management and support services to certain unaffiliated spun-off entities. The Partnership generally reimburses us monthly for cost allocations to the extent that we have made a cash outlay.

TRC Acquisition of TRP

On February 17, 2016, we completed the previously announced transactions contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "TRC/TRP Merger Agreement"), dated November 2, 2015, by and among us, the general partner of TRP, TRC and Spartan Merger Sub LLC, a subsidiary of us ("Merger Sub") pursuant to which we acquired indirectly all of the outstanding TRP common units that we and our subsidiaries did not already own. Upon the terms and conditions set forth in the TRC/TRP Merger Agreement, Merger Sub merged with and into TRP (the "TRC/TRP Merger"), with TRP continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of TRC.

At the effective time of the TRC/TRP Merger, each outstanding TRP common unit not owned by us or our subsidiaries was converted into the right to receive 0.62 shares of our common stock. We issued 104,525,775 of our common shares to third-party unitholders of the common units of the Partnership in exchange for all of the 168,590,008 outstanding common units of the Partnership that we previously did not own. No fractional shares were issued in the TRC/TRP Merger, and TRP common unitholders instead received cash in lieu of fractional shares.

As we control the Partnership and will continue to control it after the TRC/TRP Merger, the changes in our ownership interest in the Partnership will be accounted for as an equity transaction and no gain or loss will be recognized in our consolidated statements of income resulting from the TRC/TRP Merger. In addition, the tax effects of the TRC/TRP Merger will be reported as adjustments to our additional paid-in capital (See Note 4 - Business Acquisitions).

Impact of Errors

On February 27, 2015, Targa completed the Atlas mergers. (See Note 4 – Business Acquisitions) During the fourth quarter of 2015, we concluded that our review procedures over the development and application of inputs, assumptions, and calculations used in cash flow-based fair value measurements associated with business combinations did not operate as designed. This resulted in errors in the preliminary fair values of our purchase accounting previously reported in our interim quarterly filings during 2015. The correction of these items in the fourth quarter of 2015 resulted in an increase to intangible assets, goodwill and noncontrolling interests, and a decrease to property, plant and equipment balances in each period.

We concluded that these errors were not material to any of the periods affected. The following table presents for each period the impact of these errors on previously reported balances, as well as the effect of ordinary measurement period adjustments.

		Three-Month Period							
	As Reporte	Impact of <u>As Reported</u> <u>Errors</u>		Other leasurement Period justments (1)	As If Adjusted				
March 31, 2015									
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 9,832.	9 \$ (77.0)) \$	(248.8)	\$9,507.1				
Intangible assets, net	1,602.	4 114.5		204.1	1,921.0				
Goodwill	628.	5 48.5		30.0	707.0				
Noncontrolling interests	5,080.	3 86.2		(173.2)	4,993.3				
Depreciation and amortization expenses	119.	6 0.2		(0.2)	119.6				
June 30, 2015									
Property, plant, and equipment, net	\$ 9,684.	3 \$ (76.0)) \$	1.0	\$9,609.3				
Intangible assets, net	1,735.	6 113.1		35.4	1,884.1				
Goodwill	557.	9 48.5		100.6	707.0				
Noncontrolling interests	4,976.	1 86.2		17.2	5,079.5				
Depreciation and amortization expenses	163.	9 0.5		0.5	164.9				
September 30, 2015									
Property, plant, and equipment, net	\$ 9,750.	2 \$ (75.0)) \$	(8.6)	\$9,666.6				
Intangible assets, net	1,695.	7 111.6		39.8	1,847.1				
Goodwill	551.	4 48.5		107.1	707.0				
Noncontrolling interests	4,898.	1 86.2		17.3	5,001.6				
Depreciation and amortization expenses	165.	8 0.5		0.4	166.7				

(1) Other Measurement Period Adjustments for goodwill include the impact of all balance sheet adjustments not presented in this table.

F-13

Revision of Previously Reported Revenues and Product Purchases

During the third quarter of 2014, the Partnership concluded that certain prior period buy-sell transactions related to the marketing of NGL products were incorrectly reported on a gross basis as Revenues and Product Purchases in previous consolidated statements of operations. GAAP requires that such transactions that involve purchases and sales of inventory with the same counterparty that are legally contingent or in contemplation of one another be reported as a single transaction on a combined net basis.

The Partnership concluded that these misclassifications were not material to any of the periods affected. However, the Partnership has revised previously reported revenues and product purchases to correctly report NGL buy-sell transactions on a net basis. Accordingly, Revenues and Product Purchases reported in its Form 10-K filed on February 14, 2014 have been reduced by equal amounts as presented in the following tables. There is no impact on previously reported net income, cash flows, financial position or other profitability measures.

	<u>d December 31,</u> 2013
As Reported:	
Revenues	\$ 6,556.0
Product Purchases	5,378.5
Effect of Revisions:	
Revenues	(241.3)
Product Purchases	(241.3)
As Revised:	
Revenues	6,314.7
Product Purchases	5,137.2

Revisions of Previously Reported Activity in our Statement of Comprehensive Income

During the first quarter of 2016 we concluded that activity related to our commodity hedge contracts was not reported properly in our Statement of Comprehensive Income during 2015. The errors resulted in misstatements of the statement caption "Change in fair value" and equal offsetting misstatements of the caption "Settlements reclassified to revenues." Related income tax effects were also misstated.

We concluded that these misstatements were not material to any of the periods affected, as reported "Total Other Comprehensive Income" is unchanged. However, we have revised previous Statements of Comprehensive Income reported during 2015 to properly reflect changes in fair value and settlements reclassified to revenues. There is no impact on previously reported net income, total comprehensive income, cash flows, financial position or other profitability measures.

The following table displays the impact of these revisions to activity reported in our Statement of Comprehensive Income during 2015:

	2015 As Reported Related <u>Pre-Tax Income Tax After Tax</u>			Pre-Tax	2015 As Corrected Related Income Tax	d <u>After Tax</u>	
Targa Resources Corp.							
Commodity hedging contracts:							
Change in fair value	\$ 7.4	\$	(2.8)	\$ 4.6	\$ 11.0	\$ (4.2)	\$ 6.8
Settlements reclassified to revenues	(5.9)		2.2	(3.7)	(9.5)	3.6	(5.9)
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to Targa Resources							
Corp.	1.5		(0.6)	0.9	1.5	(0.6)	0.9
Noncontrolling interests							
Commodity hedging contracts:							
Change in fair value	73.8		—	73.8	101.7	—	101.7
Settlements reclassified to revenues	(48.9)			(48.9)	(76.8)		(76.8)
Other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling							
interests	24.9			24.9	24.9		24.9
Total							
Commodity hedging contracts:							
Change in fair value	81.2		(2.8)	78.4	112.7	(4.2)	108.5
Settlements reclassified to revenues	(54.8)		2.2	(52.6)	(86.3)	3.6	(82.7)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 26.4	\$	(0.6)	25.8	26.4	(0.6)	25.8

Change in Reportable Segments

Concurrent with the TRC/TRP Merger in February 2016, management reevaluated our reportable segments. See "Segment Information" included in Note 24 for a presentation of financial results by reportable segment, which have been recast to reflect our change in reporting segments.

Note 3 — Significant Accounting Policies

Consolidation Policy

Our consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our subsidiaries in which we have a controlling interest. We hold varying undivided interests in various gas processing facilities in which we are responsible for our proportionate share of the costs and expenses of the facilities. Our consolidated financial statements reflect our proportionate share of the revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities of these undivided interests.

We follow the equity method of accounting when we can not exercise control over the investee, but we can exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee. Under this method, our equity investments are carried originally at our acquisition cost, increased by our proportionate share of the investee's net income and by contributions made, and decreased by our proportionate share of the investee's net losses and by distributions received.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include all cash on hand, demand deposits, and investments with original maturities of three months or less. We consider cash equivalents to include short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and which are subject to an insignificant risk of changes in value. Checks outstanding at the end of a period are reclassified to accounts payable, as we extinguish liabilities when the creditor receives our payment and we are relieved of our obligation (which for a check generally occurs when our bank honors that check).

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes net income and other comprehensive income ("OCI"), which includes changes in the fair value of derivative instruments that are designated as hedges.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Estimated losses on accounts receivable are provided through an allowance for doubtful accounts. In evaluating the adequacy of the allowance, we make judgments regarding each party's ability to make required payments, economic events and other factors. As the financial condition of any party changes, circumstances develop or additional information becomes available, adjustments to an allowance for doubtful accounts may be required.

Inventories

The Partnership's inventories consist primarily of NGL product inventories. Most NGL product inventories turn over monthly, but some inventory, primarily propane, is acquired and held during the year to meet anticipated heating season requirements of the Partnership's customers. NGL product inventories are valued at the lower of cost or net realizable value using the average cost method. Commodity inventories that are not physically or contractually available for sale under normal operations ("deadstock") are classified as Property, Plant and Equipment. Inventories also include materials and supplies required for our Badlands expansion activities in North Dakota, which are valued using the specific identification method.

Product Exchanges

Exchanges of NGL products are executed to satisfy timing and logistical needs of the exchange parties. Volumes received and delivered under exchange agreements are recorded as inventory. If the locations of receipt and delivery are in different markets, an exchange differential may be billed or owed. The exchange differential is recorded as either accounts receivable or accrued liabilities.

Gas Processing Imbalances

Quantities of natural gas and/or NGLs over-delivered or under-delivered related to certain gas plant operational balancing agreements are recorded monthly as inventory or as a payable using the weighted average price at the time the imbalance was created. Inventory imbalances receivable are valued at the lower of cost or market using the average cost method; inventory imbalances payable are valued at replacement cost. These imbalances are settled either by current cash-out settlements or by adjusting future receipts or deliveries of natural gas or NGLs.

Derivative Instruments

The Partnership employs derivative instruments to manage the volatility of cash flows due to fluctuating energy prices and interest rates. All derivative instruments not qualifying for the normal purchase and normal sale exception are recorded on the balance sheets at fair value. The treatment of the periodic changes in fair value will depend on whether the derivative is designated and effective as a hedge for accounting purposes. The Partnership has designated certain liquids marketing contracts that meet the definition of a derivative as normal purchases and normal sales, which under GAAP, are not accounted for as derivatives. As a result, the revenues and expenses associated with such contracts are recognized during the period when volumes are physically delivered or received.

If a derivative qualifies for hedge accounting and is designated as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the change in fair value of the derivative is deferred in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("AOCI"), a component of owners' equity, and reclassified to earnings when the forecasted transaction occurs. Cash flows from a derivative instrument designated as a hedge are classified in the same category as the cash flows from the item being hedged. As such, we include the cash flows from commodity derivative instruments in revenues and from interest rate derivative instruments in interest expense.

If a derivative does not qualify as a hedge or is not designated as a hedge, the gain or loss resulting from the change in fair value on the derivative is recognized currently in earnings as a component of revenues.

The Partnership formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk management objectives and strategy for undertaking the hedge. This documentation includes the specific identification of the hedging instrument and the hedged item, the nature of the risk being hedged and the manner in which the hedging instrument's effectiveness will be assessed. At the inception of the hedge, and on an ongoing basis, the Partnership assesses whether the derivatives used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of hedged items.

The relationship between the hedging instrument and the hedged item must be highly effective in achieving the offset of changes in cash flows attributable to the hedged risk both at the inception of the contract and on an ongoing basis. The Partnership measures hedge ineffectiveness on a quarterly basis and reclassify any ineffective portion of the gain or loss related to the change in fair value to earnings in the current period.

The Partnership will discontinue hedge accounting on a prospective basis when a hedge instrument is terminated or ceases to be highly effective. Gains and losses deferred in AOCI related to cash flow hedges for which hedge accounting has been discontinued remain deferred until the forecasted transaction occurs. If it is no longer probable that a hedged forecasted transaction will occur, deferred gains or losses on the hedging instrument are reclassified to earnings immediately.

For balance sheet classification purposes, the Partnership analyzes the fair values of the derivative contracts on a deal by deal basis and reports the related fair values on a gross basis.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at acquisition value less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. Expenditures to refurbish assets that extend the useful lives or prevent environmental contamination are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset or major asset component. We also capitalize certain costs directly related to the construction of assets, including internal labor costs, interest and engineering costs.

The determination of the useful lives of property, plant and equipment requires us to make various assumptions, including the supply of and demand for hydrocarbons in the markets served by our assets, normal wear and tear of the facilities, and the extent and frequency of maintenance programs.

We evaluate the recoverability of our property, plant and equipment when events or circumstances such as economic obsolescence, the business climate, legal and other factors indicate we may not recover the carrying amount of the assets. Asset recoverability is measured by comparing the carrying value of the asset with the asset's expected future undiscounted cash flows. These cash flow estimates require us to make projections and assumptions for many years into the future for pricing, demand, competition, operating cost and other factors. If the carrying amount exceeds the expected future undiscounted cash flows we recognize increased depreciation expense equal to the excess of net book value over fair value as determined by quoted market prices in active markets or present value techniques if quotes are unavailable. The determination of the fair value using present value techniques requires us to make projections and assumptions regarding the probability of a range of outcomes and the rates of interest used in the present value calculations. Any changes we make to these projections and assumptions could result in significant revisions to our evaluation of recoverability of our property, plant and equipment and the recognition of additional depreciation expense due to impairment. Upon disposition or retirement of property, plant and equipment, any gain or loss is recorded to operations.

Goodwill

Goodwill is a residual intangible asset that results when the cost of an acquisition exceeds the fair value of the net identifiable assets of the acquired business. Goodwill is not amortized, but is assessed annually to determine whether its carrying value has been impaired.

Goodwill must be assigned to reporting units for the purpose of impairment testing. A reporting unit is an operating segment or one level below an operating segment (also known as a component). Goodwill resulting from the Atlas merger has been attributed to our WestTX, SouthOK and SouthTX reporting units.

Our annual goodwill impairment testing is performed as of November 30, as well as whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate it is more likely than not the fair value of these reporting units is less than their carrying amounts. This typically entails performing a two-step goodwill impairment test. However, we are permitted to first assess qualitative factors to determine if the two-step goodwill impairment test is necessary. If we choose to bypass this qualitative assessment or otherwise determine that a two-step process goodwill impairment test is required, the first step involves comparing the fair value of the reporting unit to which goodwill has been attributed with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit. The implied fair value, the second step is required and involves comparing the implied fair value to the carrying value of the goodwill for that reporting unit. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by assigning the reporting unit's fair value to its individual assets and liabilities. If the carrying value of the goodwill of a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of the carrying value of the goodwill of a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of so of the carrying value of the goodwill of a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, the excess of the carrying value over the implied fair value is recognized as a reduction of goodwill on our Consolidated Balance Sheets and a goodwill impairment loss on our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets arose from producer dedications under long-term contracts and customer relationships associated with businesses acquisitions. The fair value of these acquired intangible assets was determined at the date of acquisition based on the present value of estimated future cash flows. Amortization expense attributable to these assets is recorded in a manner that closely resembles the expected pattern in which we benefit from services provided to customers.

Asset Retirement Obligations ("AROs")

AROs are legal obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets that result from an asset's acquisition, construction, development and/or normal operation. An ARO is initially measured at its estimated fair value. Upon initial recognition of an ARO, we record an increase to the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset and an offsetting ARO liability. The consolidated cost of the asset and the capitalized asset retirement obligation is depreciated using the straight-line method over the period during which the long-lived asset is expected to provide benefits. After the initial period of ARO recognition, the ARO will change as a result of either the passage of time or revisions to the original estimates of either the amounts of estimated cash flows or their timing.

Changes due to the passage of time increase the carrying amount of the liability because there are fewer periods remaining from the initial measurement date until the settlement date; therefore, the present values of the discounted future settlement amount increases. These changes are recorded as a period cost called accretion expense. Changes resulting from revisions to the timing or the amount of the original estimate of undiscounted cash flows shall be recognized as an increase or a decrease in the carrying amount of the liability for an asset retirement obligation and the related asset retirement cost capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. Upon settlement, AROs will be extinguished by us at either the recorded amount or we will recognize a gain or loss on the difference between the recorded amount and the actual settlement cost.

Debt Issuance Costs

Costs incurred in connection with the issuance of long-term debt are deferred and charged to interest expense over the term of the related debt. Gains or losses on debt repurchases, redemptions and debt extinguishments include any associated unamortized debt issuance costs.

Accounts Receivable Securitization Facility

Proceeds from the sale or contribution of certain receivables under the Partnership's Accounts Receivable Securitization Facility (the "Securitization Facility") are treated as collateralized borrowings in our financial statements. Such borrowings are reflected as long-term debt on our balance sheets to the extent that the Partnership has the ability and intent to fund the Securitization Facility's borrowings on a long-term basis. Proceeds and repayments under the Securitization Facility are reflected as cash flows from financing activities on our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Environmental Liabilities and Other Loss Contingencies

Liabilities for loss contingencies, including environmental remediation costs arising from claims, assessments, litigation, fines, penalties and other sources are charged to expense when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method of accounting for deferred income taxes and provide deferred income taxes for all significant temporary differences.

As part of the process of preparing our consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves estimating our actual current tax payable and related tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from differing treatment of certain items, such as depreciation, for tax and accounting purposes. These differences can result in deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are included within our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We must then assess the likelihood that our deferred tax assets will be recovered from future taxable income and, to the extent we believe that it is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50%) that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, we establish a valuation allowance. Any change in the valuation allowance would impact our income tax provision and net income in the period in which such a determination is made. We consider all available evidence, both positive and negative, to determine whether, based on the weight of the evidence, a valuation allowance is needed. Evidence used includes information about our current financial position and our results of operations for the current and preceding years, as well as all currently available information about future years, including our anticipated future performance, the reversal of deferred tax liabilities and tax planning strategies.

We believe future sources of taxable income, reversing temporary differences and other tax planning strategies will be sufficient to realize assets for which no valuation allowance has been established.

Noncontrolling Interests

Third-party ownership in the net assets of our consolidated subsidiaries is shown as noncontrolling interests within the equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. In the consolidated statements of operations and consolidated statements of comprehensive income, noncontrolling interests reflects the attribution of results to third-party investors, which for the Partnership gives effect to the IDRs declared for each period. If the Partnership issues common units at a price different than our carrying value per unit, we account for the excess or deficiency as an adjustment to paid-in capital.

Mandatorily Redeemable Preferred Interests

Mandatorily redeemable preferred interests are included in other long term liabilities (or assets) on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Mandatorily redeemable preferred interests with multiple or indeterminate redemption dates are reported at their estimated redemption value as of the reporting date. This point-in-time value does not represent the amount that ultimately would occur in the future when the interests are redeemed. Changes in the redemption value are recorded in interest expense, net on our consolidated statements of operations.

Revenue Recognition

Our operating revenues are primarily derived from the following activities:

- sales of natural gas, NGLs, condensate, crude oil and petroleum products;
- services related to compressing, gathering, treating, and processing of natural gas; and
- services related to NGL fractionation, terminaling and storage, transportation and treating.

We recognize revenues when all of the following criteria are met: (1) persuasive evidence of an exchange arrangement exists, if applicable, (2) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, (3) the price is fixed or determinable and (4) collectability is reasonably assured.

For natural gas processing activities, we receive either fees or a percentage of commodities as payment for these services, depending on the type of contract. Under fee-based contracts, we receive a fee based on throughput volumes. Under percent-of-proceeds contracts, we receive either an agreed upon percentage of the actual proceeds that we receive from our sales of the residue natural gas and NGLs or an agreed upon percentage based on index related prices for the natural gas and NGLs. Percent-of-value and percent-of-liquids contracts are variations on this arrangement. Under keep-whole contracts, we retain the NGLs extracted and return the processed natural gas or value of the natural gas to the producer. A significant portion of our Straddle plant processing contracts are hybrid contracts under which settlements are made on a percent-of-liquids basis or a fee basis, depending on market conditions. Natural gas or NGLs that we receive for services or purchase for resale are in turn sold and recognized in accordance with the criteria outlined above.

We generally report sales revenues gross in our consolidated statements of operations, as we typically act as the principal in the transactions where we receive commodities, take title to the natural gas and NGLs, and incur the risks and rewards of ownership. However, buy-sell transactions that involve purchases and sales of inventory with the same counterparty that are legally contingent or in contemplation of one another are reported as a single transaction on a combined net basis.

Share-Based Compensation

We award share-based compensation to employees, directors and non-management directors in the form of restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock options and performance units. Compensation expense on restricted common units and performance unit awards that qualify as equity arrangements are measured by the fair value of the award as determined at the date of grant. Compensation expense on performance unit awards that qualify as liability arrangements is initially measured by the fair value of the award at the date of grant, and re-measured subsequently at each reporting date through the settlement period. Compensation expense is recognized in general and administrative expense over the requisite service period of each award.

Earnings per Share

We account for earnings per share ("EPS") in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 260 – Earnings per Share. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock so long as it does not have an anti-dilutive effect on EPS. The dilutive effect is determined through the application of the treasury method. Securities that meet the definition of a participating security are required to be considered for inclusion in the computation of basic EPS.

Use of Estimates

When preparing financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management must make estimates and assumptions based on information available at the time. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, as well as the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements. Estimates and judgments are based on information available at the time such estimates and judgments are made. Adjustments made with respect to the use of these estimates and judgments often relate to information not previously available. Uncertainties with respect to such estimates and judgments are inherent in the preparation of financial statements. Estimates and judgments are used in,

among other things, (1) estimating unbilled revenues, product purchases and operating and general and administrative costs, (2) developing fair value assumptions, including estimates of future cash flows and discount rates, (3) analyzing long-lived assets for possible impairment, (4) estimating the useful lives of assets, (5) determining amounts to accrue for contingencies, guarantees and indemnifications and (6) estimating redemption value of mandatorily redeemable preferred interests. Actual results, therefore, could differ materially from estimated amounts.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standard Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*, which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605, *Revenue Recognition*, and most industry-specific guidance. The update also creates a new Subtopic 340-40, *Other Assets and Deferred Costs – Contracts with Customers*, which provides guidance for the incremental costs of obtaining a contract with a customer and those costs incurred in fulfilling a contract with a customer that are not in the scope of another topic. The new revenue standard requires that entities should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entities expect to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, the standard requires a five step process of identifying the contracts with customers, identifying the performance obligations in the contracts, determining the transaction price, allocating the transaction price to the performance obligations, and recognizing revenue when, or as, the performance obligations are satisfied. The amendment also requires enhanced disclosures regarding the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers.

The revenue recognition standard is effective for the annual period beginning December 15, 2017, and for annual and interim periods thereafter. Earlier adoption is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. We must retroactively apply the new revenue recognition standard to transactions in all prior periods presented, but will have a choice between either (1) restating each prior period presented or (2) presenting a cumulative effect adjustment in the period the amendment is adopted. We expect to adopt this guidance on January 1, 2018 and are continuing to evaluate the impact on our revenue recognition practices.

In November 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-16, *Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815): Determining Whether the Host Contract in a Hybrid Financial Instrument Issued in the Form of a Share Is More Akin to Debt or to Equity (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force).* The amendments in this update clarify how current GAAP should be interpreted in evaluating the economic characteristics and risks of a host contract in a hybrid financial instrument that is issued in the form of a share. These amendments have been adopted, with no material impact on our consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, *Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis.* The amendments in this update are intended to simplify the consolidation evaluation for reporting organizations that are required to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities and modify the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are variable interest entities or voting interest entities. Our analysis of the amendments indicates that we will continue to consolidate the Partnership upon the adoption of this guidance in the first quarter of 2016. We are currently evaluating the effect of the amendments by revisiting our consolidation model for each of our less-than-wholly owned subsidiaries and do not expect the amendments to have a material impact on our consolidate financial statements or related disclosures.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, *Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs.* The amendments in this update require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability (other than revolving credit facilities) be presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. This update deals solely with financial statement display matters; recognition and measurement of debt issuance costs are unaffected. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15, *Interest - Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements.* The amendment clarifies ASU 2015-03 and provides that an entity may defer and present debt issuance costs for a line-of-credit or other revolving credit facility arrangement as an asset and subsequently amortize the deferred debt issuance costs of \$14.4 million and \$8.4 million for revolving credit facilities were included in Other long-term assets on the Consolidated Balance

Sheets as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014. We will continue to include debt issuance costs for our line-of-credit and revolving credit facility arrangements in Other long-term assets upon adoption of ASU 2015-03. We adopted the amendments on January 1, 2016 and have reclassified unamortized debt issuance costs of \$42.7 million and \$29.9 million for term loans on the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 from Other long-term assets to long-term debt.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, *Inventory (Topic 303): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory.* Topic 303 currently requires inventory to be measured at the lower of cost or market, where market could be replacement cost, net realizable value or net realizable value less a normal profit margin. The amendments in this update require that all inventory, excluding inventory that is measured using the last-in, first-out method or the retail inventory method, be measured at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Net realizable value is defined as the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation. These amendments have been adopted, with no impact on our consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16, *Business Combinations (Topic 805): Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments*. Topic 805 currently requires that adjustments to provisional amounts recorded in a business combination be recognized retrospectively as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. The amendments in this update require that an acquirer recognize these measurement-period adjustments in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined, with the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization or other income effects, if any, as a result of the change to the provisional amounts, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. The amendments require disclosure of the amount recorded in current-period earnings that would have been recorded in previous reporting periods if the adjustment to the provisional amounts had been recognized as of the acquisition date. The amendments are effective for us in 2016, with early adoption permitted. We adopted the amendments on September 30, 2015 and have recognized the measurement-period adjustments for the Atlas mergers determined in the six months ended December 31, 2015 in current period earnings. See Note 4 –Business Acquisitions for additional information regarding the nature and amount of the measurement-period adjustments.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, *Income Taxes (Topic 740): Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes.* The amendments in this update require that deferred tax asset and liabilities be classified as noncurrent on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. We adopted these amendments retrospectively on December 31, 2015. As a result, we have revised our December 31, 2014 Consolidated Balance Sheet to reclassify \$0.1 million of current deferred tax assets to noncurrent and \$0.6 million of current deferred tax liabilities to noncurrent.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, *Leases (Topic 842)*. The amendments in this update require, among other things, that lessees recognize the following for all leases (with the exception of short-term leases) at the commencement date: (1) a lease liability, which is a lessee's obligation to make lease payments arising from a lease, measured on a discounted basis; and (2) a right-of-use asset, which is an asset that represents the lessee's right to use, or control the use of, a specified asset for the lease term. Lessees and lessors must apply a modified retrospective transition approach for leases existing at, or entered into after, the beginning of the earliest comparative period presented in the financial statements. We expect to adopt the amendments in the first quarter of 2019 and are currently evaluating the impacts of the amendments to our financial statements and accounting practices for leases.

Note 4 – Business Acquisitions

2015 Acquisition

Atlas Mergers

On February 27, 2015, Targa completed the transactions contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of October 13, 2014 (the "ATLS Merger Agreement"), by and among (i) Targa, Targa GP Merger Sub LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and a wholly owned subsidiary of Targa ("GP Merger Sub"), ATLS and Atlas Energy GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and the general partner of ATLS ("ATLS GP"), and (ii) Targa and the Partnership completed the transactions contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "APL Merger Agreement" and, together with the ATLS Merger Agreement, the "Atlas Merger Agreements") by and among Targa, the Partnership, the Partnership's general partner, Trident MLP Merger Sub

LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and a wholly owned subsidiary of the Partnership ("MLP Merger Sub"), ATLS, APL and Atlas Pipeline Partners GP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and the general partner of APL ("APL GP"). Pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the ATLS Merger Agreement, GP Merger Sub merged (the "ATLS merger") with and into ATLS, with ATLS continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of Targa. Pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in the APL Merger Agreement, MLP Merger Sub merged (the "APL merger" and, together with the ATLS merger, the "Atlas mergers") with and into APL, with APL continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of the Partnership. While the Atlas mergers were two separate legal transactions, for GAAP reporting purposes, they are viewed as a single integrated transaction.

In connection with the Atlas mergers, APL changed its name to "Targa Pipeline Partners LP," which we refer to as TPL, and ATLS changed its name to "Targa Energy LP."

In addition, prior to the completion of the Atlas mergers, ATLS, pursuant to a separation and distribution agreement entered into by and among ATLS, ATLS GP and Atlas Energy Group, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("AEG"), on February 27, 2015, (i) transferred its assets and liabilities other than those related to its "Atlas Pipeline Partners" segment, to AEG and (ii) effected a pro rata distribution to the ATLS unitholders of AEG common units representing a 100% interest in AEG (collectively, the "Spin-Off" and, together with the Atlas mergers, the "Atlas Transactions").

On February 27, 2015, the Partnership Agreement was amended to provide for the issuance of a special general partner interest in the Partnership (the "Special GP Interest") representing the contribution to the Partnership of the APL GP interest acquired in the ATLS merger totaling \$1.6 billion. The Special GP Interest is not entitled to current distributions or allocations of net income or loss, and has no voting rights or other rights except for the limited right to receive deductions attributable to the contribution of APL GP and the right to distributions in liquidation.

The Partnership acquired all of the outstanding units of APL for a total purchase price of approximately \$5.3 billion (including \$1.8 billion of acquired debt and all other assumed liabilities). Of the \$1.8 billion of debt acquired and other liabilities assumed, approximately \$1.2 billion of the acquired debt was tendered and settled upon the closing of the Atlas mergers via the Partnership's January 2015 cash tender offers. These tender offers were in connection with, and conditioned upon, the consummation of the merger with APL. The merger with APL, however, was not conditioned on the consummation of the tender offers. On that same date, we acquired ATLS for a total purchase price of approximately \$1.6 billion (including all assumed liabilities).

Pursuant to the APL Merger Agreement, Targa agreed to cause the general partner of the Partnership to amend the Partnership's Partnership Agreement, which we refer to as the IDR Giveback Amendment, in order to reduce aggregate distributions to us, as the holder of the Partnership's IDRs, by (a) \$9,375,000 per quarter during the first four quarters following the APL merger, (b) \$6,250,000 per quarter for the next four quarters, (c) \$2,500,000 per quarter for the next four quarters and (d) \$1,250,000 per quarter for the next four quarters, with the amount of such reductions to be distributed pro rata to the holders of the Partnership's outstanding common units.

TPL is a provider of natural gas gathering, processing and treating services primarily in the Anadarko, Arkoma and Permian Basins located in the southwestern and mid-continent regions of the United States and in the Eagle Ford Shale play in south Texas. The Atlas mergers add TPL's Woodford/SCOOP, Mississippi Lime, Eagle Ford and additional Permian assets to the Partnership's existing operations. In total, TPL adds 2,053 MMcf/d of processing capacity and 12,220 miles of additional pipeline. The operating results of TPL are reported in our Gathering and Processing segment.

The APL merger was a unit-for-unit transaction with an exchange ratio of 0.5846 of the Partnership's common units (the "APL Unit Consideration") and \$1.26 in cash for each APL common unit (the "APL Cash Consideration" and, with the APL Unit Consideration, the "APL Merger Consideration"), a \$128.0 million total cash payment, of which \$0.6 million was expensed at the acquisition date as the cash payment representing accelerated vesting of a portion of retained employees' APL phantom awards. The Partnership issued 58,614,157 of its common units and awarded 629,231 replacement phantom unit awards with a combined value of approximately \$2.6 billion as consideration for the APL merger (based on the \$43.82 closing market price of a common unit on the NYSE on February 27, 2015). The cash component of the APL merger also included \$701.4 million for the mandatory repayment and extinguishment at closing of the APL Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility that was to mature in May 2017 (the "APL Revolver"), \$28.8 million of payments

related to change of control and \$6.4 million of cash paid in lieu of unit issuances in connection with settlement of APL equity awards for AEG employees. In March 2015, we contributed \$52.4 million to the Partnership to maintain our 2% general partner interest.

In addition, pursuant to the APL Merger Agreement, APL exercised its right under the certificate of designations of the APL 8.25% Class E cumulative redeemable perpetual preferred units ("Class E Preferred Units") to redeem the APL Class E Preferred Units immediately prior to the effective time of the APL merger.

The ATLS merger was a stock-for-unit transaction with an exchange ratio of 0.1809 of Targa common stock, par value \$0.001 per share (the "ATLS Stock Consideration"), and \$9.12 in cash for each ATLS common unit (the ATLS Cash Consideration" and, with the ATLS Stock Consideration, the "ATLS Merger Consideration"), (a \$514.7 million total cash payment). We issued 10,126,532 of our common shares and awarded 81,740 replacement restricted stock units with a combined value of approximately \$1.0 billion for the ATLS merger (based on the \$99.58 closing market price of a TRC common share on the NYSE on February 27, 2015). The cash component of the ATLS merger also included approximately \$149.2 million of payments related to change of control and cash settlements of equity awards, \$88.0 million for repayment of a portion of ATLS outstanding indebtedness and \$11.0 million for reimbursement of certain transaction expenses. Approximately \$4.5 million of the one-time cash payments and cash settlements of equity awards, which represent accelerated vesting of a portion of retained employees' ATLS phantom units, were expensed at the acquisition date.

ATLS owned, directly and indirectly, 5,754,253 APL common units immediately prior to closing. Our acquisition of ATLS resulted in our acquiring these common units (converted to 3,363,935 Partnership common units) valued at approximately \$147.4 million (based on the \$43.82 closing market price of a Partnership common unit on the NYSE on February 27, 2015) and the right to receive the units' one-time cash payment of approximately \$7.3 million, which reduced the consolidated purchase price by approximately \$154.7 million.

All outstanding ATLS equity awards, whether vested or unvested, were adjusted in connection with the Spin-Off on the terms and conditions set forth in an Employee Matters Agreement entered into by ATLS, ATLS GP and AEG on February 27, 2015. Following the Spin-Off-related adjustment and at the effective time of the ATLS merger, each outstanding ATLS option and ATLS phantom unit award, whether vested or unvested, held by a person who became an employee of AEG became fully vested (to the extent not vested) and was cancelled and converted into the right to receive the ATLS Merger Consideration in respect of each ATLS common unit underlying the ATLS option or phantom unit award (in the case of options, net of the applicable exercise price). Each outstanding vested ATLS option, net of the applicable exercise price. Each outstanding unvested ATLS option and each outstanding ATLS phantom unit award held by a Midstream Employee was cancelled and converted into the right to receive (1) the ATLS option and each outstanding ATLS phantom unit award held by a Midstream Employee was cancelled and converted into the right to receive (1) the ATLS cash Consideration in respect of each ATLS common unit underlying the vested and converted into the right to receive (1) the ATLS Cash Consideration in respect of each ATLS option or phantom unit award and (2) a TRC restricted stock unit award with respect to a number of shares of TRC Common Stock equal to the product of the ATLS Stock Consideration multiplied by the number of ATLS common units underlying such ATLS option or phantom unit award (in the case of options, net of the applicable exercise price).

In connection with the APL merger, each outstanding APL phantom unit award held by an employee of AEG became fully vested and was cancelled and converted into the right to receive the APL Merger Consideration in respect of each APL common unit underlying the APL phantom unit award. Each outstanding APL phantom unit award held by a Midstream Employee was cancelled and converted into the right to receive (1) the APL Cash Consideration in respect of each APL common unit award with respect to a number of the Partnership's common units equal to the product of the APL Unit Consideration multiplied by the number of APL common units underlying such APL phantom unit award.

The acquired business contributed revenues of \$1,459.3 million and a net loss of \$30.1 million to the Company for the period from February 27, 2015 to December 31, 2015, and is reported in our Gathering and Processing segment. In 2015, we incurred \$27.3 million of acquisition-related costs. These expenses are included in other expense in our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Pro Forma Impact of Atlas Mergers on Consolidated Statements of Operations

The following summarized unaudited pro forma Consolidated Statement of Operations information for the year ended December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 assumes that the Partnership's acquisition of APL and our acquisition of ATLS had occurred as of January 1, 2014. We prepared the following summarized unaudited pro forma financial results for comparative purposes only. The summarized unaudited pro forma financial results may not be indicative of the results that would have occurred if we had completed these acquisitions as of January 1, 2014, or that the results that will be attained in the future.

	Pro Forma Re	sults for the Year Ended
	December 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Revenues	\$ 6,947.3	\$ 11,449.3
Net income (loss)	(169.6)	532.8

The pro forma consolidated results of operations amounts have been calculated after applying our accounting policies, and making adjustments to:

- Reflect the change in amortization expense resulting from the difference between the historical balances of APL's intangible assets, net, and the fair value of intangible assets acquired.
- Reflect the change in depreciation expense resulting from the difference between the historical balances of APL's property, plant and equipment, net, and the fair value of property, plant and equipment acquired.
- Reflect the change in interest expense resulting from our financing activities directly related to the Atlas mergers as compared with APL's historical interest expense.
- Reflect the changes in stock-based compensation expense related to the fair value of the unvested portion of replacement Partnership Long Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") awards which were issued in connection with the acquisition to APL phantom unitholders who continue to provide service as Targa employees following the completion of the APL merger.
- Remove the results of operations attributable to APL businesses sold during the periods: (1) the May 2014 sale of APL's 20% interest in West Texas LPG Pipeline Limited Partnership and (2) the February 2015 transfer to Atlas Resource Partners, L.P of 100% of APL's interest in gas gathering assets located in the Appalachian Basin of Tennessee.
- Exclude \$27.3 million of acquisition-related costs incurred in 2015 from pro forma net income for the year ended December 31, 2015. Pro forma net income for the year ended December 31, 2014 was adjusted to include these charges.
- Conform to our accounting policy, we also adjusted APL's revenues to report plant sales of Y-grade at contractual net values rather than grossed up for transportation and fractionation deduction factors.

The following table summarizes the consideration transferred to acquire ATLS and APL:

Fair Value of Consideration Transferred:	
Cash paid, net of cash acquired (1):	
TRC	\$ 745.7
TRP	828.7
Common shares of TRC	1,008.5
Replacement restricted stock units awarded (2)	5.2
Common units of TRP	2,421.1
Replacement phantom units awarded (2)	15.0
Total	\$5,024.2

- (1) Net of cash acquired of \$40.8 million.
- (2) The fair value of consideration transferred in the form of replacement restricted stock unit awards and replacement phantom unit awards represent the allocation of the fair value of the awards to the pre-combination service period. The fair value of the awards associated with the post-combination service period will be recognized over the remaining service period of the award.

As of February 27, 2015, our fair value determination related to the Atlas mergers was as follows.

Fair value determination:	February 27, 2015
Trade and other current receivables, net	\$ 181.1
Other current assets	24.4
Assets from risk management activities	102.1
Property, plant and equipment	4,616.9
Investments in unconsolidated affiliates	214.5
Intangible assets	1,354.9
Other long-term assets	5.5
Current liabilities	(259.3)
Long-term debt	(1,573.3)
Deferred income tax liabilities, net	(13.6)
Other long-term liabilities	(119.1)
Total identifiable net assets	4,534.1
Noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries	(216.9)
Goodwill	707.0
Total fair value consideration transferred	\$ 5,024.2

During the three months ended June 30, 2015, we recorded measurement-period adjustments to our acquisition date fair values due to the refinement of our valuation models, assumptions and inputs. As a result, the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was retrospectively adjusted for the impact of measurement-period adjustments to property, plant and equipment, intangible assets, and investment in unconsolidated affiliates. These adjustments resulted in a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense of \$1.0 million, and an increase in equity earnings of \$0.3 million from the amounts previously reported in our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2015.

During the three months ended September 30, 2015, we recorded additional measurement-period adjustments to our acquisition date fair values due to the refinement of our valuation models, assumptions and inputs. In accordance with ASU 2015-16, we have recognized these measurement-period adjustments in the current reporting period, with the effect on the Consolidated Statements of Operations resulting from the change to the provisional amounts calculated as if the acquisition had been completed at February 27, 2015. During the three months ended September 30, 2015, the acquisition date fair value of property, plant and equipment increased by \$9.9 million, investments in unconsolidated affiliates increased by \$5.5 million, intangible assets decreased by

\$5.0 million, current liabilities increased by \$2.4 million, other assets decreased by \$1.0 million, and other current assets decreased by \$0.6 million, which resulted in a decrease in goodwill of \$6.4 million. These adjustments resulted in increased revenues of \$0.6 million, a reduction of operating expenses of \$1.9 million, depreciation and amortization expense of \$0.1 million and equity losses of \$0.1 million recorded in the three months ended September 30, 2015, which under the prior accounting standard would have been reflected in previous reporting periods.

During the three months ended December 31, 2015, we recorded additional measurement-period adjustments to our acquisition date fair values due to the refinement of our valuation models, assumptions and inputs, as well as adjustments to previously reported preliminary fair values as a result of our review procedures over the development and application of inputs, assumptions and calculations used in cash-flow based fair value measurements associated with business combinations not operating as designed (see Note 2 – Basis of Presentation). We have recognized these adjustments in the current reporting period, with the effect on the Consolidated Statements of Operations resulting from the change to the provisional amounts calculated as if the acquisition had been completed at February 27, 2015. During the three months ended December 31, 2015, the acquisition date fair value of intangible assets increased \$15.9 million, noncontrolling interest in subsidiaries increased \$103.5 million, other long-term liabilities increased \$110.1 million, property, plant and equipment decreased by \$86.2 million, investments in unconsolidated affiliates decreased by \$5.2 million, deferred tax liabilities increased by \$5.0 million, current liabilities increased by \$1.3 million. These adjustments resulted in depreciation and amortization expenses of \$2.0 million, a net decrease to interest expense of \$26.2 million, equity earnings of \$0.2 million, and a reduction of general and administrative expenses of \$0.4 million, recorded in the three months ended December 31, 2015, which under the prior accounting standard would have been reflected in previous reporting periods.

The valuation of the acquired assets and liabilities was prepared using fair value methods and assumptions including projections of future production volumes and cash flows, benchmark analysis of comparable public companies, expectations regarding customer contracts and relationships, and other management estimates. The fair value measurements of assets acquired and liabilities assumed are based on inputs that are not observable in the market and therefore represent Level 3 inputs, as defined in Note 15 – Fair Value Measurements. These inputs require significant judgments and estimates at the time of valuation.

The excess of the purchase price over the value of net assets acquired was approximately \$707.0 million, which was recorded as goodwill. The determination of goodwill is attributable to the workforce of the acquired business and the expected synergies with us. The goodwill is expected to be amortizable for tax purposes.

The fair value of assets acquired includes trade receivables of \$178.1 million. The gross amount due under contracts is \$178.1 million, all of which is expected to be collectible. The fair value of assets acquired includes receivables of \$3.0 million reported in current receivables and \$4.5 million reported in other long-term assets related to a contractual settlement with a counterparty.

See Note 9 - Debt Obligations for additional disclosures regarding related financing activities associated with the Atlas mergers.

Mandatorily Redeemable Preferred Interests

Acquired other long-term liabilities include \$109.3 million related to mandatorily redeemable preferred interests held by our partner in two joint ventures (see Note 10 – Other Long-Term Liabilities).

Contingent Consideration

A liability arising from the contingent consideration for APL's previous acquisition of a gas gathering system and related assets has been recognized at fair value. APL agreed to pay up to an additional \$6.0 million if certain volumes are achieved on the acquired gathering system within a specified time period. The fair value of the remaining contingent payment is recorded within other long term liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The range of the undiscounted amount that we could pay related to the remaining contingent payment is between \$0.0 and \$6.0 million. We finalized our acquisition analysis and modeling of this contingent liability during the three months ended June 30, 2015, which resulted in an acquisition date fair value of \$4.2 million. Any future change in the fair value of this liability will be included in earnings.

Replacement Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs")

In connection with the ATLS merger, we awarded RSUs in accordance with and as required by the Atlas Merger Agreements to those APL employees that who became Targa employees after the acquisition. The vesting dates and terms remained unchanged from the existing ATLS awards, and will vest over the remaining terms of the awards, which are either 25% per year over the original four year term or 25% after the third year of the original term and 75% after the fourth year of the original term.

Each RSU will entitle the grantee to one common share on the vesting date and is an equity-settled award. The RSUs include dividend equivalents. When we declare and pay cash dividends, the holders of RSUs will be entitled within 60 days to receive cash payment of dividend equivalents in an amount equal to the cash dividends the holders would have received if they were the holders of record on the record date of the number of our common shares related to the RSUs.

The fair value of the RSUs was based on the closing price of our common shares at the close of trading on February 27, 2015. The fair value was allocated between the pre-acquisition and post-acquisition periods to determine the amount to be treated as purchase consideration and future compensation expense, respectively. Compensation cost will be recognized in general and administrative expense over the remaining service period of each award.

Replacement Phantom Units

In connection with the APL merger, the Partnership awarded replacement phantom units in accordance with and as required by the Atlas Merger Agreements to those APL employees who became Targa employees after the acquisition. The vesting dates and terms remained unchanged from the existing APL awards, and will vest over the remaining terms of the awards, which are either 25% per year over the original four year term or 33% per year over the original three year term.

Each replacement phantom unit will entitle the grantee to one common unit on the vesting date and is an equity-settled award. The replacement phantom units include distribution equivalent rights ("DERs"). When the Partnership declares and pays cash distributions, the holders of replacement phantom units will be entitled within 60 days to receive cash payment of DERs in an amount equal to the cash distributions the holders would have received if they were the holders of record on the record date of the number of the Partnership's common units related to the replacement phantom units.

The fair value of the replacement phantom units was based on the closing price of the Partnership's units at the close of trading on February 27, 2015. The fair value was allocated between the pre-acquisition and post-acquisition periods to determine the amount to be treated as purchase consideration and compensation expense, respectively. Compensation cost will be recognized in general and administrative expense over the remaining service period of each award.

Goodwill

We recognized goodwill at a fair value of approximately \$707.0 million associated with the Atlas mergers as of the acquisition date on February 27, 2015. Goodwill has been attributed to the WestTX, SouthTX and SouthOK reporting units in our Gathering and Processing segment. As a result, any level of decrease in the forecasted cash flows from the date of acquisition would likely result in the fair value of the reporting unit to fall below the carrying value of the reporting unit, and could result in an assessment of whether that reporting unit's goodwill could be impaired.

As described in Note 3 – Significant Accounting Policies, we evaluate goodwill for impairment at least annually on November 30, or more frequently if we believe necessary based on events or changes in circumstances. As of February 29, 2016, the date these financial statements were issued, we had not completed our November 30, 2015 impairment assessment. Based on the results of our preliminary evaluation, we recorded a provisional goodwill impairment of \$290.0 million during the fourth quarter of 2015. The provisional goodwill impairment is included as an impairment in our Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2015, and reduces the carrying value of goodwill to \$417.0 million as of December 31, 2015. The provisional goodwill impairment amount can be reasonably estimated and recognizes the provisional amount in these financial statements as the best

estimate of the impairment at the filing date of these financial statements. The impairment of goodwill is primarily due to the effects of lower commodity prices, and a higher cost of capital for companies in our industry compared to conditions in February 2015 when we acquired Atlas.

Our evaluation as of November 30, 2015 utilizes the income approach (a discounted cash flow analysis ("DCF")) to estimate the fair values of our reporting units. The future cash flows for our reporting units is based on our estimates, at that time, of future revenues, income from operations and other factors, such as working capital and capital expenditures. We take into account current and expected industry and market conditions, commodity pricing and volumetric forecasts in the basins in which the reporting units operate. The discount rates used in our DCF analysis are based on a weighted average cost of capital determined from relevant market comparisons.

The provisional goodwill impairment recognized is based on our progress in completing the goodwill impairment analysis. As of the filing date of these financial statements, we have (a) completed the calculations of estimated future cash flows based on commodity pricing, volumetric and capital spending forecasts; (b) determined that other long-lived assets in our reporting units that contain goodwill are not impaired; (c) determined an appropriate weighted average cost of capital based on relevant market comparisons, which is the basis of the discount rate used in our DCF analysis; (d) substantially completed the valuations of intangible assets; and (e) have made initial estimates of the fair values of tangible assets. We are in the process of finalizing the review of certain tangible assets and the mandatorily redeemable preferred interests' valuations, and the final outcome of these valuations could impact the implied fair value of goodwill in our reporting units and consequently the ultimate amount of impairment. Any material difference between the provisional amount of goodwill impairment and the final impairment will be recognized in our first quarter 2016 financial statements once final valuations are complete.

Changes in the gross amounts of our goodwill and impairment loss for the year ended December 31, 2015 are as follows:

		1	December 31, 2015	i
	WestTX	SouthTX	SouthOK	Total
Beginning of period	\$	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Acquisition	364.5	160.3	182.2	707.0
Impairment	(37.6)	(70.2)	(182.2)	(290.0)
Goodwill	\$326.9	\$ 90.1	\$ —	\$ 417.0

The sustained decrease and uncertain outlook in commodity prices have adversely impacted our customers and their future capital and operating plans. A continued or prolonged period of lower commodity prices could result in further deterioration of reporting unit fair values and potential further impairment charges.

Subsequent Event - TRC Acquisition of TRP

On February 17, 2016, we completed the previously announced transactions contemplated by the TRC/TRP Merger Agreement pursuant to which we acquired indirectly all of the outstanding TRP common units that we and our subsidiaries did not already own. Upon the terms and conditions set forth in the TRC/TRP Merger Agreement, Merger Sub merged with and into TRP, with TRP continuing as the surviving entity and as a subsidiary of TRC.

At the effective time of the TRC/TRP Merger, each outstanding TRP common unit not owned by us or our subsidiaries was converted into the right to receive 0.62 shares of our common stock. No fractional shares were issued in the TRC/TRP Merger, and TRP common unitholders instead received cash in lieu of fractional shares. We issued 104,525,775 of our common shares to third-party unitholders of the common units of the Partnership in exchange for all of the 168,590,008 outstanding common units of the Partnership that we previously did not own

As we control the Partnership and will continue to control it after the TRC/TRP Merger, the changes in our ownership interest in the Partnership will be accounted for as an equity transaction and no gain or loss will be recognized in our consolidated statements of income resulting from the TRC/TRP Merger. In addition, the tax effects of the TRC/TRP Merger will be reported as adjustments to our additional paid-in capital.

Pro Forma Impact of TRC Acquisition of TRP on Consolidated Balance Sheet

Following is certain pro forma financial position information that gives effect to the TRC/TRP merger by applying pro forma adjustments to the historical audited consolidated financial statements of TRC. The unaudited pro forma condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet of TRC as of December 31, 2015 has been prepared to give effect to the TRC/TRP Merger as if it had occurred on December 31, 2015.

Under SEC regulations, pro forma adjustments to TRC's Consolidated Balance Sheet are limited to those that give effect to events that are directly attributable to the TRC/TRP Merger and the Atlas mergers and are factually supportable regardless of whether they have a continuing impact or are nonrecurring. The pro forma adjustments are based on the account balances as of the pro forma balance sheet date, which will change between the pro forma balance sheet date and the closing date of the TRC/TRP Merger.

The unaudited pro forma adjustments are based on available preliminary information and certain assumptions that TRC believes are reasonable under the circumstances. The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated balance sheet is presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the results that might have occurred had the TRC/TRP Merger taken place on December 31, 2015 for balance sheet purposes and is not intended to be a projection of future results. Actual results may vary significantly from the results reflected because of various factors.

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED BALANCE SHEET AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2015 (In millions)

	TRC Historical	Pro Forma Adjustments	TRC Pro Forma
ASSETS			
Current assets	\$ 920.0	\$	\$ 920.0
Property, plant and equipment, net	9,702.7	—	9,702.7
Goodwill	417.0	—	417.0
Intangible assets, net	1,810.1	—	1,810.1
Other long-term assets	361.2		361.2
Total assets	\$13,211.0	\$ —	\$13,211.0
LIABILITIES AND OWNERS' EQUITY			
Current liabilities	\$ 881.6	\$ 16.0(a) 1.8(c)	\$ 899.4
Long-term debt	5,718.8	—	5,718.8
Deferred income taxes, net	177.8	952.0(b)	1,123.9
		(5.9)(a)	
Other long-term liabilities	182.6	1.3(c)	183.9
Owners' equity:			
Targa Resources Corp. stockholders' equity:			
Common stock	0.1	0.1	0.2
Additional paid-in capital	1,457.4	3,358.4(d)	4,815.8
Retained earnings	26.9	(3.1)(c)	23.8
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	5.7	48.1(d)	53.8
Treasury stock, at cost	(28.7)		(28.7)
Total Targa Resources Corp. stockholders' equity	1,461.4	3,403.5	4,864.9
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	4,788.8	(4,368.7)(d)	420.1
Total owners' equity	6,250.2	(965.2)	5,285.0
Total liabilities and owners' equity	\$13,211.0	\$	\$13,211.0

The unaudited pro forma consolidated balance sheet amounts have been calculated after applying our accounting policies, and making the following adjustments:

- (a) Reflects estimated transaction costs of \$16.0 million of advisory and legal services, and other professional fees expected to be paid in 2015 and 2016, as well as \$5.9 million of related deferred tax. As the TRC/TRP Merger involves the acquisition of noncontrolling interests accounted for as an equity transaction, these costs will be recognized as an adjustment to additional paid-in capital, net of the estimated tax benefit, upon exchange of securities at closing.
- (b) Reflects the estimated impact on deferred income taxes resulting from the TRC/TRP Merger using TRC's statutory federal and state tax rate of 37.11%. The amount reflects a net adjustment of \$952.0 million to deferred income taxes, which relates to the effects of the change in ownership as a result of the TRC/TRP Merger, resulting in a deferred tax liability. The deferred income tax impact is an estimate based on preliminary information and assumptions, including variability in share and unit market prices of TRC and TRP.
- (c) Reflects the revaluation of each outstanding cash-settled performance unit award granted pursuant to the Targa Resources Corp. Long-Term Incentive Plan, which were based generally on the TRP common unit price performance relative to its peer group (a market condition), and will be converted and restated into a cash-settled award, pursuant to the same time-based vesting schedule but without application of any performance factor relating to TRP common units, based on the common share price of TRC determined by

multiplying the number of performance units denominated in each TRP Performance Unit Award immediately prior to the effective time of the TRC/TRP Merger by the Exchange Ratio, rounding down to the nearest whole share. This modification of the liability-classified awards resulted in revaluation as of the pro forma balance sheet date as the removal of the market condition is reflected in the fair value of the award.

(d) The TRC/TRP Merger, which involves a change in TRC's ownership interests in its subsidiary TRP, has been accounted for as an equity transaction in accordance with ASC 810. As described in Note (b), the TRC/TRP Merger resulted in the recognition of a deferred tax liability totaling \$952.0 million. This tax impact is presented as a decrease to additional paid-in capital consistent with the accounting for tax effects of transactions with noncontrolling interests:

	Comr Shai		Additional paid-in capital	Retaine earning	d cor	ccumulated other nprehensive come (loss)	sto	TRC's ockholders' equity	ncontrolling iterests (1)	Total owners' equity
TRC shares issued for the Merger	\$	0.1	\$1,803.0	\$ —	\$		\$	1,803.1	\$ (4,368.7)	\$(2,565.6)
Impact of NCI acquisition on TRC owners' equity	-		2,488.0			77.6		2,565.6	—	2,565.6
Deferred tax adjustments	-		(922.5)			(29.5)		(952.0)	—	(952.0)
Transaction costs, net of tax (see Note (a))	-		(10.1)			—		(10.1)	 	(10.1)
Total pro forma adjustments	\$	0.1	\$3,358.4	\$ —	\$	48.1	\$	3,406.6	\$ (4,368.7)	\$ (962.1)

(1) Reflects the December 31, 2015 book value of the publicly held interests in TRP.

Note 5 — Inventories

	Dece	ember 31, 2015	De	cember 31, 2014
Partnership:				
Commodities	\$	128.3	\$	157.4
Materials and supplies		12.7		11.5
	\$	141.0	\$	168.9

Note 6 — Property, Plant and Equipment and Intangible Assets

Property, Plant and Equipment

	Decer	mber 31, 2015	Decen	nber 31, 2014	Estimated Useful Lives (In Years)
Gathering systems	\$	6,304.5	\$	2,588.6	5 to 20
Processing and fractionation facilities		2,995.2		1,890.7	5 to 25
Terminaling and storage facilities		1,115.0		1,038.9	5 to 25
Transportation assets		454.0		359.0	10 to 25
Other property, plant and equipment		221.1		149.3	3 to 25
Land		108.8		95.6	—
Construction in progress		736.5		399.0	—
Property, plant and equipment		11,935.1		6,521.1	
Accumulated depreciation		(2,232.4)		(1,696.5)	
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$	9,702.7	\$	4,824.6	
Intangible assets	\$	2,036.6	\$	681.8	20
Accumulated amortization		(226.5)		(89.9)	
Intangible assets, net	\$	1,810.1	\$	591.9	

For each of the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 depreciation expense for property, plant and equipment was \$540.4 million, \$289.5 million and \$244.5 million.

We recorded non-cash pre-tax impairment charges of \$32.6 million in 2015 and \$3.2 million in 2014 due to the impairment of certain gas processing facilities and gathering systems associated with our Coastal Straddle and Big Lake operations. The impairments are a result of reduced forecasted gas processing volumes due to market

conditions and processing spreads in Louisiana in the fourth quarter of 2015 and 2014. We measured the impairment of property, plant and equipment using discounted estimated future cash flows representative of a Level 3 fair value measurement. These carrying value adjustments are included in depreciation and amortization expenses on our consolidated statements of operations.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of customer contracts and customer relationships acquired in the Atlas mergers in 2015 and our Badlands business acquisition in 2012. The fair values of these acquired intangible assets were determined at the date of acquisition based on the present values of estimated future cash flows. Key valuation assumptions include probability of contracts under negotiation, renewals of existing contracts, economic incentives to retain customers, past and future volumes, current and future capacity of the gathering system, pricing volatility and the discount rate.

The fair values of intangible assets acquired in the Atlas mergers have been recorded at a fair value of \$1,354.9 million, which is being amortized over a 20 year life using the straight-line method. Amortization expense attributable to our intangible assets related to the Badlands acquisition is recorded using a method that closely reflects the cash flow pattern underlying their intangible asset valuation.

	Decembe	er 31,
	2015	2014
Beginning of period	\$ 591.9	\$653.4
Additions from acquisition	1,354.9	—
Amortization	(136.7)	(61.5)
Intangible assets, net	\$1,810.1	\$591.9

For each of the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 amortization expense for our intangible assets was \$136.7 million, \$61.5 million and \$27.4 million. The estimated annual amortization expense for intangible assets is approximately \$156.2 million, \$149.4 million, \$135.7 million, \$124.7 million and \$112.5 million for each of the years 2016 through 2020. As of December 31, 2015 the weighted average amortization period for our intangible assets was approximately 18.5 years.

Note 7 – Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

The Partnership's unconsolidated investments consist of a 38.8% non-operated ownership interest in Gulf Coast Fractionators LP ("GCF") and three nonoperated joint ventures in South Texas: 75% interest in T2 LaSalle; 50% interest in T2 Eagle Ford; and 50% interest in T2 EF Co-Gen (together the "T2 Joint Ventures"). The T2 Joint Ventures were formed to provide services for the benefit of the joint interest owners. The T2 Joint Ventures have capacity lease agreements with the joint interest owners, which cover the costs of operations of the T2 Joint Ventures. The terms of these joint venture agreements do not afford the Partnership the degree of control required for consolidating them in its consolidated financial statements, but do afford it the significant influence required to employ the equity method of accounting.

The following table shows the activity related to the Partnership's investments in unconsolidated affiliates:

		T2	T2 Eagle	T2	
	GCF	LaSalle	Ford	Cogen	Total
December 31, 2012	\$ 53.1	\$ —	\$ —	\$—	\$ 53.1
Equity earnings	14.8	—	_	—	14.8
Cash distributions (1)	(12.0)	_	_	_	(12.0)
December 31, 2013	\$ 55.9	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 55.9
Equity earnings	18.0				18.0
Cash distributions (1)	(23.7)				(23.7)
December 31, 2014	\$ 50.2	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 50.2
Fair value of T2 Joint Ventures acquired		67.5	126.7	20.3	214.5
Equity earnings (loss)	13.8	(3.9)	(9.4)	(3.0)	(2.5)
Cash distributions (1)	(14.5)	_	_	(0.5)	(15.0)
Cash calls for expansion projects		—	6.5	5.2	11.7
December 31, 2015	\$ 49.5	\$ 63.6	\$123.8	\$22.0	\$258.9

(1) Includes \$1.2 million in distributions from GCF and T2 Joint Ventures received in excess of the Partnership's share of cumulative earnings for the year ended December 31, 2015. Includes \$5.7 million in distributions from GCF in excess of the Partnership's share of cumulative earnings for the year ended December 31, 2014. Such excess distributions are considered a return of capital and are disclosed in cash flows from investing activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

The recorded value of the T2 Joint Ventures is based on fair values at the date of acquisition which results in an excess fair value of \$39.9 million over the book value of our partner capital accounts. This basis difference is attributable to depreciable tangible assets and is being amortized over the estimated useful lives of the underlying assets of 20 years on a straight-line basis and is included as a component of equity earnings. See Note 4 - Business Acquisitions for further information regarding the fair value determinations related to the Atlas mergers.

Note 8 — Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

		December 31, 202	15		December 31, 201	4
	Targa Resources Partners LP	TRC Non- Partnership	Targa Resources Corp. Consolidated	Targa Resources Partners LP	TRC Non- Partnership	Targa Resources Corp. Consolidated
Commodities	\$ 385.3	\$ (0.1)	\$ 385.2	\$ 416.7	\$ —	\$ 416.7
Other goods and services	141.3	1.6	142.9	108.9	2.2	111.1
Interest	80.3	0.7	81.0	37.3		37.3
Compensation and benefits	0.4	15.6	16.0	1.3	44.8	46.1
Income and other taxes	10.4	3.0	13.4	13.6	(1.9)	11.7
Other	18.1	0.5	18.6	14.9	0.7	15.6
	\$ 635.8	\$ 21.3	\$ 657.1	\$ 592.7	\$ 45.8	\$ 638.5

As of December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, liabilities to creditors to whom we have issued checks that remain outstanding of \$34.2 million and \$13.6 million are included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

Note 9 — Debt Obligations

	December 31, 2015		Dec	December 31 2014		
Current:		2015		2014		
Obligations of the Partnership						
Accounts receivable securitization facility, due December 2016 (1)	\$	219.3	\$	182.		
Long-term:						
Non-Partnership obligations:						
TRC Senior secured revolving credit facility, variable rate, due October						
2017				102.		
TRC Senior secured revolving credit facility, variable rate, due February 2020 (2)		440.0				
TRC Senior secured term loan, variable rate, due February 2022		160.0				
Unamortized discount		(2.5)				
Dbligations of the Partnership: (1)		(=)				
Senior secured revolving credit facility, variable rate, due October 2017						
(3)		280.0				
Senior unsecured notes, 5% fixed rate, due January 2018		1,100.0				
Senior unsecured notes, 4 1/8% fixed rate, due November 2019		800.0		800		
Senior unsecured notes, 6 5/8% fixed rate, due October 2020 (4)		342.1		_		
Unamortized premium		5.0		_		
Senior unsecured notes, 6 7/8% fixed rate, due February 2021		483.6		483		
Unamortized discount		(22.1)		(25		
Senior unsecured notes, 6 3/8% fixed rate, due August 2022		300.0		300		
Senior unsecured notes, 5 1⁄4% fixed rate, due May 2023		583.7		600		
Senior unsecured notes, 4 1⁄4% fixed rate, due November 2023		623.5		625		
Senior unsecured notes, 6 3⁄4% fixed rate, due March 2024		600.0		_		
Senior unsecured APL notes, 6 5/8% fixed rate, due October 2020 (4) (5)		12.9		-		
Unamortized premium		0.2				
Senior unsecured APL notes, $4\frac{3}{4}\%$ fixed rate, due November 2021 (5)		6.5		-		
Senior unsecured APL notes, 5 7/8% fixed rate, due August 2023 (5)		48.1		_		
Unamortized premium		0.5				
		5,761.5		2,885		
Debt issuance costs		(42.7)		(29		
Total long-term debt		5,718.8		2,855		
Total debt	\$	5,938.1	\$	3,038		
rrevocable standby letters of credit:						
Letters of credit outstanding under the TRC Senior secured credit facility (2)	\$	_	\$	_		
Letters of credit outstanding under the Partnership senior secured	Ψ		Ψ			
revolving credit facility (3)		12.9		44		
	\$	12.9	\$	44		

(1) While we consolidate the debt of the Partnership in our financial statements, we do not have the obligation to make interest payments or debt payments with respect to the debt of the Partnership.

(2) As of December 31, 2015, availability under TRC's \$670.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility was \$230.0 million.

(3) As of December 31, 2015, availability under the Partnership's \$1.6 billion senior secured revolving credit facility ("TRP Revolver") was \$1,307.1 million.

(4) In May 2015, the Partnership exchanged TRP 6 5/8% Senior Notes with the same economic terms to holders of the 6 5/8% APL Notes that validly tendered such notes for exchange to us.

(5) While the Partnership consolidates the debt acquired in the Atlas mergers, APL debt is not guaranteed by us nor the Partnership.

The following table shows the contractually scheduled maturities of our debt obligations outstanding at December 31, 2015, for the next five years, and in total thereafter:

		Scheduled Maturities of Debt					
	Total	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	After 2020
TRC Senior secured revolving credit facility	\$ 440.0	\$ —	\$ —	\$	\$ —	\$440.0	\$ —
TRC Senior secured loans	160.0	—	—		—	—	160.0
TRP Revolver	280.0	—	280.0		—	—	
Partnership's Senior unsecured notes	4,900.4			1,100.0	800.0	355.0	2,645.4
Partnership's accounts receivable securitization Facility	219.3	219.3		—			—
Total	\$5,999.7	\$219.3	\$280.0	\$1,100.0	\$800.0	\$795.0	\$2,805.4

The following table shows the range of interest rates and weighted average interest rate incurred on variable-rate debt obligations during the year ended December 31, 2015:

	Range of Interest Rates Incurred	Weighted Average Interest Rate Incurred
TRC senior secured revolving credit facility	2.2% - 2.9%	2.6%
TRC senior secured term loan	5.75%	5.75%
Partnership's senior secured revolving credit facility	1.9% - 4.8%	2.2%
Partnership's accounts receivable securitization facility	0.9% - 1.2%	0.9%

Compliance with Debt Covenants

As of December 31, 2015, both we and the Partnership were in compliance with the covenants contained in our various debt agreements.

TRC Credit Agreement

ATLS Merger Financing Activities

In connection with the closing of the Atlas mergers, we entered into a Credit Agreement (the "TRC Credit Agreement"), dated as of February 27, 2015, among us, each lender from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent, collateral agent, swing line lender and letter of credit issuer. The TRC Credit Agreement includes a new five year revolving credit facility ("TRC Revolving Credit Facility") that replaced the previous credit facility due October 3, 2017.

The TRC Credit Agreement provides for a new five year revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount up to \$670 million and a seven year variable rate term loan facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$430 million. This facility was issued at a 1.75% discount. The outstanding term loans are Eurodollar rate loans with an interest rate of LIBOR (with a LIBOR floor of 1%) plus an applicable rate of 4.75%. We used the net proceeds from the term loan issuance and the revolving credit facility to fund cash components of the ATLS merger, including cash merger consideration and approximately \$160.2 million related to change of control payments made by ATLS, cash settlements of equity awards and transaction fees and expenses. In March 2015, we repaid \$188.0 million of the term loan and wrote off \$3.3 million of the discount and \$5.8 million of debt issuance costs. In June 2015, we repaid \$82.0 million of the term loan and wrote off \$1.4 million of the discount and \$2.4 million of debt issuance costs. The write-off of the discount and debt issuance costs are reflected as Loss from financing activities on the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2015.

We are required to pay a commitment fee ranging from 0.375% to 0.5% (dependent upon the Company's consolidated leverage ratio) on the daily average unused portion of the TRC Revolving Credit Facility. Additionally, issued and undrawn letters of credit bear interest at an applicable ranging from 2.75% to 3.5% (dependent upon the Company's consolidated leverage ratio).

The TRC Credit Agreement is secured by substantially all of the Company's assets. The TRC Credit Agreement requires us to maintain a consolidated leverage ratio (the ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated adjusted EBITDA) of no more than (i) 4.50 to 1.00 for the fiscal quarter ending March 31, 2016 through the fiscal quarter ending December 31, 2016 and (ii) 4.00 to 1.00 for each fiscal quarter ending thereafter;. The TRC Credit Agreement restricts our ability to make dividends to shareholders if, on a pro forma basis after giving effect to such dividend, (a) any default or event of default has occurred and is continuing or (b) we are not in compliance with our consolidated leverage ratio as of the last day of the most recent test period. In addition, the TRC Credit Agreement includes various covenants that may limit, among other things, our ability to incur indebtedness, grant liens, make investments, repay or amend the terms of certain other indebtedness, merge or consolidate, sell assets, and engage in transactions with affiliates.

The Partnership's Revolving Credit Agreement

In October 2012, the Partnership entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement that amended and replaced its variable rate Senior Secured Credit Facility due July 2015 to provide the TRP Revolver due October 3, 2017 (the "Original Agreement'). The Original Agreement had an available commitment of \$1.2 billion and allowed the Partnership to request up to an additional \$300.0 million in commitment increases.

In February 2015, the Partnership entered into the First Amendment, Waiver and Incremental Commitment Agreement (the "First Amendment") that amended the Original Agreement. The First Amendment increased available commitments to \$1.6 billion from \$1.2 billion while retaining the Partnership's ability to request up to an additional \$300.0 million in commitment increases. In addition, the First Amendment amended certain provisions of the existing TRP Revolver and designated each of TPL and its subsidiaries as an "Unrestricted Subsidiary." The Partnership used proceeds from borrowings under the credit facility to fund some of the cash components of the APL merger, including \$701.4 million for the repayments of the APL Revolver and \$28.8 million related to change of control payments.

The TRP Revolver bears interest, at the Partnership's option, either at the base rate or the Eurodollar rate. The base rate is equal to the highest of: (i) Bank of America's prime rate; (ii) the federal funds rate plus 0.5%; or (iii) the one-month LIBOR rate plus 1.0%, plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.75% to 1.75% (dependent on the Partnership's ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated adjusted EBITDA). The Eurodollar rate is equal to LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin ranging from 1.75% to 2.75% (dependent on the Partnership's ratio of consolidated adjusted EBITDA).

The Partnership is required to pay a commitment fee equal to an applicable rate ranging from 0.3% to 0.5% (dependent on the Partnership's ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated adjusted EBITDA) times the actual daily average unused portion of the TRP Revolver. Additionally, issued and undrawn letters of credit bear interest at an applicable rate ranging from 1.75% to 2.75% (dependent on the Partnership's ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated EBITDA).

The TRP Revolver is collateralized by a majority of the Partnership's assets. Borrowings are guaranteed by the Partnership's restricted subsidiaries.

The TRP Revolver restricts the Partnership's ability to make distributions of available cash to unitholders if a default or an event of default (as defined in the TRP Revolver) exists or would result from such distribution. The TRP Revolver requires the Partnership to maintain a ratio of consolidated funded indebtedness to consolidated adjusted EBITDA of no more than 5.50 to 1.00. The TRP Revolver also requires the Partnership to maintain a ratio of consolidated interest expense of no less than 2.25 to 1.00. In addition, the TRP Revolver contains various covenants that may limit, among other things, the Partnership's ability to incur indebtedness, grant liens, make investments, repay or amend the terms of certain other indebtedness, merge or consolidate, sell assets, and engage in transactions with affiliates (in each case, subject to the Partnership's right to incur indebtedness or grant liens in connection with, and convey accounts receivable as part of, a permitted receivables financing).

The Partnership's Senior Unsecured Notes

In May 2013, the Partnership privately placed \$625.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 4 1/4% Notes. The 4 1/4% Notes resulted in approximately \$618.1 million of net proceeds, which were used to reduce borrowings under the TRP Revolver and for general partnership purposes.

In June 2013, the Partnership paid \$106.4 million plus accrued interest, which included a premium of \$6.4 million, to redeem \$100.0 million of the outstanding $6\frac{3}{8}\%$ Notes. The redemption resulted in a \$7.4 million loss on debt redemption, including the write-off of \$1.0 million of unamortized debt issuance costs.

In July 2013, the Partnership paid \$76.8 million plus accrued interest, which included a premium of \$4.1 million, per the terms of the note agreement to redeem the outstanding balance of the 11 1/4% Notes. The redemption resulted in a \$7.4 million loss on debt redemption in the third quarter 2013, including the write-off of \$1.0 million of unamortized debt issuance costs.

In October 2014, the Partnership privately placed \$800.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 4 1/8% Senior Notes due 2019 (the "4 1/8% Notes"). The 4 1/8% Notes resulted in approximately \$790.8 million of net proceeds, which were used to reduce borrowings under the TRP Revolver and Securitization Facility and for general partnership purposes.

In November 2014, the Partnership redeemed the outstanding 7 ½% Notes at a price of 103.938% plus accrued interest through the redemption date. The redemption resulted in a \$12.4 million loss on redemption for the year ended 2014, consisting of premiums paid of \$9.9 million and a non-cash loss to write-off \$2.5 million of unamortized debt issuance costs.

In January 2015, the Partnership and Targa Resources Partners Finance Corporation (collectively, the "Partnership Issuers") issued \$1.1 billion in aggregate principal amount of 5% Senior Notes due 2018 (the "5% Notes"). The 5% Notes resulted in approximately \$1,089.8 million of net proceeds after costs, which were used with borrowings under the Partnership's senior secured credit facility to fund the APL Notes Tender Offers and the Change of Control Offer (each as defined below). The 5% Notes are unsecured senior obligations that have substantially the same terms and covenants as the Partnership's other senior notes.

In September 2015, the Partnership Issuers issued \$600 million in aggregate principal amount of $6\frac{3}{4}\%$ Senior Notes due 2024 (the " $6\frac{3}{4}\%$ Notes"). The $6\frac{3}{4}\%$ Notes resulted in approximately \$595.0 million of net proceeds after costs, which were used to reduce borrowings under the Partnership's senior secured credit facility and for general partnership purposes. The $6\frac{3}{4}\%$ Notes are unsecured senior obligations that have substantially the same terms and covenants as the Partnership's other senior notes.

Debt Repurchases

In December 2015, the Partnership repurchased on the open market a portion of its outstanding Senior Notes as follows:

- 5 1/4% Notes due 2023 (the "5 1/4% Notes") paying \$13.0 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$16.3 million of the outstanding balance of the 5 1/4% Notes.
- 4 1/4% Notes due 2023 (the "4 1/4% Notes") paying \$1.2 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$1.5 million of the outstanding balance of the 4 1/4% Notes.
- 6 5/8% APL Notes due 2020 (the "6 5/8% Notes") paying \$0.1 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$0.1 million of the outstanding balance of the 6 5/8% Notes.

The December 2015 Senior Note repurchases resulted in a \$3.6 million gain on debt repurchases and a write-off of \$0.1 million in related deferred debt issuance costs.

APL Merger Financing Activities

APL Senior Notes Tender Offers

In January 2015, the Partnership commenced cash tender offers for any and all of the outstanding fixed rate senior secured notes to be acquired in the APL merger, referred to as the APL Notes Tender Offers, which totaled \$1.55 billion.

The results of the APL Notes Tender Offers were:

s	Senior Notes	utstanding ote Balance (\$ amounts i	Amount Tendered n millions)	mium 'aid	Int	crued terest Paid	al Tender Offer syments	% Tendered	afte	e Balance r Tender Offers
	6 5⁄8% due 2020	\$ 500.0	\$ 140.1	\$ 2.1	\$	3.7	\$ 145.9	28.02%	\$	359.9
	4 3⁄4% due 2021	400.0	393.5	5.9		5.3	404.7	98.38%		6.5
	5 7⁄8% due 2023	650.0	601.9	8.7		2.6	613.2	92.60%		48.1
Т	Fotal	\$ 1,550.0	\$1,135.5	\$ 16.7	\$	11.6	\$ 1,163.8		\$	414.5

In connection with the APL Notes Tender Offers, on February 27, 2015, the supplemental indentures governing the 4 3/4% Senior Notes due 2021 (the "2021 APL Notes") and the 5 7/8% Senior Notes due 2023 (the "2023 APL Notes") of TPL and Targa Pipeline Finance Corporation (formerly known as Atlas Pipeline Finance Corporation) (together, the "APL Issuers"), became operative. These supplemental indentures eliminated substantially all of the restrictive covenants and certain events of default applicable to the 2021 APL Notes and the 2023 APL Notes that were not accepted for payment.

Not having achieved the minimum tender condition on the 6 5/8% Senior Notes due 2020 of the APL Issuers (the "2020 APL Notes"), the Partnership made a change of control offer, referred to as the Change of Control Offer, for any and all of the 2020 APL Notes in advance of, and conditioned upon, the consummation of the APL merger. In March 2015, holders representing \$4.8 million of the outstanding 2020 APL Notes tendered their notes requiring a payment of \$5.0 million, which included the change of control premium and accrued interest.

Payments made under the APL Notes Tender Offers and Change of Control Offer totaling \$1,168.8 million are presented as financing activities for the Partnership in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Exchange Offer and Consent Solicitation

On April 13, 2015, the Partnership Issuers commenced an offer to exchange (the "Exchange Offer") any and all of the outstanding 2020 APL Notes, for an equal amount of new unsecured 6 5/8% Senior Notes due 2020 issued by the Partnership Issuers (the "6 5/8% Notes" or the "TRP 6 5/8% Notes"). On April 27, 2015, the Partnership had received tenders and consents from holders of approximately 96.3% of the total outstanding 2020 APL Notes. As a result, the minimum tender condition to the Exchange Offer and related consent solicitation was satisfied, and the APL Issuers entered into a supplemental indenture which eliminated substantially all of the restrictive covenants and certain events of default applicable to the 2020 APL Notes.

In May 2015, upon the closing of the Exchange Offer, the Partnership Issuers issued \$342.1 million aggregate principal amount of the TRP 6 5/8% Notes to holders of the 2020 APL Notes which were validly tendered for exchange. The related \$5.6 million premium, resulting from acquisition date fair value accounting, will be amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over the remaining term of the TRP 6 5/8% Notes. The Partnership recognized \$0.7 million of costs associated with the Exchange Offer, included as a Loss from financing activities on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Debt Repurchases Summary

The following table summarizes the debt repurchases that are included in our Consolidated Statements of Operations:

	2015	2014	2013
Premium over face value paid upon redemption:			
Partnership 6 3/8 Notes	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 6.4
Partnership 7 ⁷ / ₈ Notes	—	9.9	
Partnership 11 1/4 Notes		—	4.1
Recognition of unamortized discount:			
TRC Term Loan, variable rate	4.7	—	—
Partnership 11 ¼ Notes			2.2
Gain on repurchase of debt:			
Partnership 5 ¼ Notes	(3.3)	—	
Partnership 4 ¼ Notes	(0.3)	—	—
Loss from financing with Exchange Offer:			
Partnership 6 5⁄8 Notes	0.7	—	_
Write-off of deferred debt issuance costs:			
TRC Term Loan, variable rate	8.2	—	_
Partnership 5 $\frac{1}{4}$ Notes	0.1		
Partnership 6 3/8 Notes	—		1.0
Partnership 7 ⁷ / ₈ Notes		2.5	
Partnership 11 1/4 Notes		—	1.0
Loss from financing activities	\$10.1	\$12.4	\$14.7

Select terms of the senior unsecured notes outstanding as of December 31, 2015 were as follows:

Note Issue	Issue Date	Per Annum Interest Rate	Due Date	Dates Interest Paid
"6 7⁄8% Notes"	February 2011	6 7⁄8%	February 1, 2021	February & August 1 st
"6 3⁄8% Notes"	January 2012	6 3⁄8%	August 1, 2022	February & August 1 st
"5 ¼% Notes"	Oct / Dec 2012	5 ¼%	May 1, 2023	May & November 1 st
"4 ¼% Notes"	May 2013	4 1⁄4%	November 15, 2023	May & November 15 th
"4 ¼8% Notes"	October 2014	4 1⁄8%	November 15, 2019	May & November 15 th
"5% Notes"	January 2015	5%	January 15, 2018	January & July 15 th
"6 5⁄8% Notes"	May 2015	6 5⁄8%	October 1, 2020	February & October 1 st
"6 ¾% Notes"	September 2015	6 3⁄4%	March 15, 2024	March & September 15 th
"APL 6 5⁄8% Notes"	Sept 2012 (1)	6 5⁄8%	October 1, 2020	April & October 1 st
"APL 4 3⁄4% Notes"	May 2013 (1)	4 3⁄4%	November 15, 2021	May & November 15 th
"APL 5 7⁄8% Notes"	February 2013 (1)	5 7⁄8%	August 1, 2023	February & August 1 st

(1) Issue dates for APL Notes are original dates of issuance. These notes were acquired in the APL Merger. See Note 4 – Business Acquisitions.

All issues of unsecured senior notes are obligations that rank pari passu in right of payment with existing and future senior indebtedness, including indebtedness under the TRP Revolver. They are senior in right of payment to any of our future subordinated indebtedness and are unconditionally guaranteed by the Partnership and the Partnership's restricted subsidiaries. These notes are effectively subordinated to all secured indebtedness under the TRP Revolver, which is secured by substantially all of the Partnership's assets and the Partnership's Securitization Facility, which is secured by accounts receivable pledged under the facility, to the extent of the value of the collateral securing that indebtedness. Interest on all issues of senior unsecured notes is payable semi-annually in arrears.

The Partnership's senior unsecured notes and associated indenture agreements restrict the Partnership's ability to make distributions to unitholders in the event of default (as defined in the indentures). The indentures also restrict the Partnership's ability and the ability of certain of its subsidiaries to: (i) incur additional debt or enter into sale and leaseback transactions; (ii) pay certain distributions on or repurchase equity interests (only if such distributions do not meet specified conditions); (iii) make certain investments; (iv) incur liens; (v) enter into transactions with affiliates; (vi) merge or consolidate with another company; and (vii) transfer and sell assets. These covenants are subject to a number of important exceptions and qualifications. If at any time when the notes are rated investment grade by either Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Standard & Poor's Corporation ("S&P') (or rated investment grade by both Moody's and S&P for the 6 7/8% Notes) and no Default or Event of Default (each as defined in the indentures) has occurred and is continuing, many of such covenants will terminate and the Partnership and its subsidiaries will cease to be subject to such covenants.

The Partnership may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of Notes (other than with respect to the 5% Notes) at the redemption dates and prices set forth below (expressed as percentages of principal amounts) plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidation damages, if any, with the net cash proceeds of one or more equity offerings, provided that: (i) at least 65% of the aggregate principal amount of each of the notes (excluding notes held by us) remains outstanding immediately after the occurrence of such redemption; and (ii) the redemption occurs within 180 days for the 6 ³/₄% Notes, 6 ³/₈% Notes, 5 ¹/₄% Notes of the date of the closing of such equity offering.

<u>Note Issue</u>	Any Date Prior To	Price
4 1⁄4% Notes	May 15, 2016	104.250%
6 ¾% Notes	September 15, 2018	106.750%
4 ⅛% Notes	November 15, 2017	104.125%

The Partnership may also redeem all or part of each of the series of notes on or after the redemption dates set forth below at the price for each respective year (expressed as percentages of principal amount) plus accrued and unpaid interest and liquidation damages, if any, on the notes redeemed.

6 7/8	6 7/8% Notes		6 7/8% Notes 6 3/8% Notes		5 1/4	1% Notes	4 1/4% Notes		
	nption Date: bruary 1	Redemption Date: February 1		Redemption Date: November 1		Redemption Date: May 15			
Year	Price	Year	Price	Year	Price	Year	Price		
2016	103.438%	2017	103.188%	2017	102.625%	2018	102.125%		
2017	102.292%	2018	102.125%	2018	101.750%	2019	101.417%		
2018	101.146%	2019	101.063%	2019	100.875%	2020	100.708%		
2019 and		2020 and		2020 and		2021 and			
thereafter	100%	thereafter	100%	thereafter	100%	thereafter	100%		
6 5⁄8	6 5/8% Notes 6 3/4% Notes		4 1⁄8% Notes		APL 6 5/8% Notes				
Redemption Date: October 1			Redemption Date: September 15		Redemption Date: November 15		Redemption Date: October 1		
Year	Price	Year	Price	Year	Price	Year	Price		

2016	103.313%	2019	103.375%	2016	102.063%	2016	103.313%
2017	101.656%	2020	101.688%	2017	101.031%	2017	101.656%
2018 and		2021 and		2018 and		2018 and	
thereafter	100.000%	thereafter	100.000%	thereafter	100%	thereafter	100%

Redem	34% Notes ption Date: Jay 15	APL 5 78% Notes Redemption Date: February 1				
Year	Price	Year	Price			
2016	103.563%	2018	102.938%			
2017	102.375%	2019	101.958%			
2018	101.188%	2020	100.979%			
2019 and thereafter	100%	2021 and thereafter	100%			

The Partnership's Accounts Receivable Securitization Facility

The Securitization Facility provides up to \$225.0 million of borrowing capacity at LIBOR market index rates plus a margin through December 9, 2016. Under the Securitization Facility, Partnership subsidiaries sell or contribute qualifying receivables, without recourse, to another of its consolidated subsidiaries (Targa Receivables LLC or "TRLLC"), a special purpose consolidated subsidiary created for the sole purpose of the Securitization Facility. TRLLC, in turn, sells an undivided percentage ownership in the eligible receivables to a third-party financial institution. Sold receivables up to the amount of the outstanding debt under the Securitization Facility are not available to satisfy the claims of the creditors of the selling subsidiaries or the Partnership. Any excess receivables are eligible to satisfy the claims. As of December 31, 2015, total funding under the Securitization Facility was \$219.3 million.

The Partnership's April 2013 Shelf

In April 2013, the Partnership filed with the SEC a universal shelf registration statement (the "April 2013 Shelf"), which provides the Partnership with the ability to offer and sell an unlimited amount of debt and equity securities, subject to market conditions and the Partnership's capital needs. The April 2013 Shelf expires in April 2016. There was no activity under the April 2013 Shelf during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

The Partnership's July 2013 Shelf

In July 2013, the Partnership filed with the SEC a universal shelf registration statement that allows it to issue up to an aggregate of \$800.0 million of debt or equity securities (the "July 2013 Shelf"). The July 2013 Shelf expires in August 2016. See Note 11 – Partnership Units and Related Matters for equity issuances under the July 2013 Shelf.

The Partnership's April 2015 Shelf

In April 2015, the Partnership filed with the SEC a universal shelf registration statement that allows it to issue up to an aggregate of \$1.0 billion of debt or equity securities (the "April 2015 Shelf"). The April 2015 Shelf expires in April 2018.

Subsequent Events

As of February 18, 2016, the Partnership repurchased on the open market a portion of its outstanding Senior Notes as follows:

- 5 1/4% Senior Notes due 2023 (the "5 1/4% Notes") paying 16.7 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$20.5 million of the outstanding balance of the 5 1/4% Notes.
- 4 ¼4% Senior Notes due 2023 (the "4 ¼4% Notes") paying \$17.0 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$22.9 million of the outstanding balance of the 4 ¼4% Notes.
- 6 7/8% Senior Notes due 2021 (the "6 7/8% Notes") paying \$4.3 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$5.0 million of the outstanding balance of the 6 7/8% Notes.
- 6 5/8% Senior Notes due 2020 (the "6 5/8% Notes") paying \$15.3 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$17.4 million of the outstanding balance of the 6 5/8% Notes.
- 6 3/8% Senior Notes due 2022 (the "6 3/8% Notes") paying \$7.6 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$9.5 million of the outstanding balance of the 6 3/8% Notes.
- 6 3/4% Senior Notes due 2024 (the "6 3/4% Notes") paying \$2.4 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$3.0 million of the outstanding balance of the 6 3/4% Notes.
- 5% Senior Notes due 2018 (the "5% Notes") paying \$1.5 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$1.9 million of the outstanding balance of the 5% Notes.

4 1/8% Senior Notes due 2019 (the "4 1/8% Notes") paying \$11.9 million plus accrued interest to repurchase \$16.4 million of the outstanding balance of the 4 1/8% Notes.

The Partnership paid a total of \$0.2 million in fees and \$1.4 million in accrued interest for the repurchase of these Senior Notes.

Note 10 — Other Long-term Liabilities

Other long-term liabilities are comprised of the following obligations.

	Decem	der 31,
	2015	2014
Asset retirement obligations	\$ 70.4	<u>2014</u> \$57.3
Mandatorily redeemable preferred interests	82.9	—
Deferred revenue and other	26.9	6.0
Total long-term liabilities	\$180.2	\$63.3

Asset Retirement Obligations

The Partnership's asset retirement obligations ("ARO") primarily relate to certain gas gathering pipelines and processing facilities, and are included in the consolidated balance sheets as a component of other long-term liabilities. The changes in ARO are as follows:

	2015	2014
Beginning of period	\$57.3	\$50.9
Fair value of ARO acquired with APL merger	4.0	—
Change in cash flow estimate	3.8	2.1
Accretion expense	5.3	4.5
Retirement of ARO	—	(0.2)
End of period	\$70.4	\$57.3

Mandatorily Redeemable Preferred Interests (See Note 4 – Business Acquisitions)

Our consolidated financial statements include our interest in two joint ventures that, separately, own a 100% interest in the WestOK natural gas gathering and processing system and a 72.8% undivided interest in the WestTX natural gas gathering and processing system. Our partner in the joint ventures holds preferred interests in each joint venture that are redeemable: (i) at our or our partner's election, on or after July 27, 2022; and (ii) mandatorily, in July 2037.

The joint ventures, collectively, hold \$1.9 billion face value in notes receivable from our partner, which are due July 2042. The interest rate payable under the notes receivable is a variable LIBOR-based rate. For the period ending on December 31, 2015, interest earned on the notes receivable of \$8.9 million, exclusive of the priority return payable to our partner, is reflected within Interest expense, net on our Consolidated Statements of Operations. We have accounted for the notes receivable at fair value. Upon redemption: (i) the distributable value of our partner's interest in each joint venture is required to be adjusted by mutual agreement or under a valuation procedure outlined in each joint venture agreement based, among other things, on changes in the market value of the joint venture's assets allocable to our partner (including the value of the notes receivable); and (ii) the parties are obligated to set off the value of the notes receivable from our partner against the value of our partner's interest in each joint venture is required to be recorded as if the redemption had occurred on the reporting date. Our estimate was not derived using the explicit valuation procedures required under the joint venture agreements which, at the earliest, would be required in 2022 and, as such, the actual value of our partner's allocable share of each joint venture's assets may differ from our estimate.

The aggregate fair values of the notes receivable and the estimated redemption values of our partner's interest in the joint ventures as of the reporting date are presented on the Consolidated Balance Sheets on a net basis as Other long-term liabilities of \$82.9 million as of December 31, 2015. Aggregate changes in the fair values of the notes receivable and the estimated redemption value of the mandatorily redeemable preferred interests in the WestTX and WestOK joint ventures resulted in income of \$30.6 million within interest expense, net on the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2015.

The following table shows the changes in long-term liabilities attributable to mandatorily redeemable preferred interests:

	Liability attributable to mandatorily redeemable preferred interests	
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$	_
Acquired mandatorily redeemable preferred interests		109.3
Income attributable to mandatorily redeemable preferred interests		2.8
Other activity, net		1.4
Change in estimated redemption value		(30.6)
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$	82.9

Deferred Revenue and Other

Deferred revenue and other includes consideration received in a 2015 amendment to a gas gathering and processing agreement which requires future performance by Targa. The consideration paid for the contract amendment will require future performance by Targa which has resulted in the deferred revenue. The deferred revenue will be recognized on a straight-line basis through the end of the agreement's term in 2030. As of December 31, 2015, the balance of deferred revenue is \$21.1 million. For the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized approximately \$1.4 million of revenue for this transaction. See Note 22 – Supplemental Cash Flow Information.

Note 11 — Partnership Units and Related Matters

Public Offerings of Common Units

In July 2012, the Partnership filed with the SEC a universal shelf registration statement that, subject to effectiveness at the time of use, allows the Partnership to issue up to an aggregate of \$300.0 million of debt or equity securities (the "2012 Shelf"). The 2012 Shelf expired in August 2015.

In August 2012, the Partnership entered into an Equity Distribution Agreement (the "2012 EDA") with Citigroup Global Markets Inc. ("Citigroup") pursuant to which the Partnership may sell, at its option, up to an aggregate of \$100.0 million of its common units through Citigroup, as sales agent, under the 2012 Shelf. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Partnership issued 2,420,046 common units under the 2012 EDA, receiving net proceeds of \$94.8 million. We contributed \$2.0 million to maintain our 2% general partner interest.

In March 2013, the Partnership entered into a second Equity Distribution Agreement under the 2012 Shelf (the "March 2013 EDA") with Citigroup, Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. ("Deutsche Bank"), Raymond James & Associates, Inc. ("Raymond James") and UBS Securities LLC ("UBS"), as sales agents, pursuant to which the Partnership may sell, at its option, up to an aggregate of \$200.0 million of the Partnership common units. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Partnership issued 4,204,751 common units, receiving net proceeds of \$197.5 million. We contributed \$4.1 million to maintain our 2% general partner interest.

In August 2013, the Partnership entered into an Equity Distribution Agreement under the July 2013 Shelf (the "August 2013 EDA") with Citigroup, Deutsche Bank, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC ("Morgan Stanley"), Raymond James, RBC Capital Markets, LLC ("RBC"), UBS and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC ("Wells Fargo"), as its sales agents, pursuant to which the Partnership may sell, at its option, up to an aggregate of \$400.0 million of the Partnership's common units. During the year ended 2013, the Partnership issued 4,259,641 common units under the August 2013 EDA, receiving net proceeds of \$225.6 million. We contributed \$4.7 million to the Partnership to maintain our 2% general partner interest.

In May 2014, the Partnership entered into an additional equity distribution agreement under the July 2013 Shelf (the "May 2014 EDA"), with Barclays Capital Inc., Citigroup, Deutsche Bank, Jefferies LLC, Morgan Stanley, Raymond James, RBC, UBS and Wells Fargo, as its sales agents, pursuant to which the Partnership may sell, at its option, up to an aggregate of \$400 million of the Partnership's common units.

During the year ended 2014 pursuant to the August 2013 EDA and the May 2014 EDA, the Partnership issued a total of 7,175,096 common units representing total net proceeds of \$408.4 million, (net of commissions up to 1% of gross proceeds to its sales agent), which were used to reduce borrowings under the TRP Revolver and for general partnership purposes. We contributed \$8.4 million to maintain our 2% general partner interest.

In May 2015, we entered into an additional Equity Distribution Agreement under the April 2015 Shelf (the "May 2015 EDA"), pursuant to which the Partnership may sell through our sales agents, at its option, up to an aggregate of \$1.0 billion of its common units. As of December 31, 2015, the Partnership issued 7,377,380 common units under its EDAs, receiving net proceeds of \$316.1 million. As of December 31, 2015, approximately \$4.2 million of capacity and \$835.6 million of capacity remain under the May 2014 and May 2015 EDAs. As of December 31, 2015, we contributed \$6.5 million to the Partnership to maintain our 2% general partner interest.

Pursuant to the TRC/TRP Merger Agreement, TRC has agreed to cause the TRP common units to be delisted from the NYSE and deregistered under the Exchange Act. As a result of the completion of the TRC/TRP Merger, the TRP common units are no longer publicly traded.

Issuances of Common Units

As part of the Atlas merger, the Partnership issued 58,614,157 common units to former APL unitholders as consideration for the APL merger, of which 3,363,935 common units represented ATLS's common unit ownership in APL and were issued to us. We contributed \$52.4 million to the Partnership to maintain our 2% general partner interest.

Issuance of Preferred Units

In October 2015, under the Partnership's automatic shelf registration statement filed in April 2013 and amended by a post-effective amendment filed in October 2015 (the "April 2013 Shelf"), the Partnership completed an offering of 4,400,000 Preferred Units at a price of \$25.00 per unit. Pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option, the Partnership sold an additional 600,000 Preferred Units at a price of \$25.00 per unit. The Partnership received net proceeds after costs of approximately \$121.1 million. The Partnership used the net proceeds from this offering to reduce borrowings under its senior secured credit facility and for general partnership purposes. The Preferred Units are listed on the NYSE under the symbol "NGLS PRA."

Distributions on the Preferred Units are cumulative from the date of original issue and are payable monthly in arrears on the 15th day of each month of each year, when, as and if declared by the board of directors of the general partner. Distributions on the Preferred Units will be payable out of amounts legally available therefor from at a rate equal to 9.0% per annum. On and after November 1, 2020, distributions on the Preferred Units will accumulate at an annual floating rate equal to the one-month LIBOR plus a spread of 7.71%.

The Preferred Units will, with respect to anticipated monthly distributions, rank:

- senior to the Partnership's common units and to each other class or series of Partnership interests or other equity securities established after the
 original issue date of the Preferred Units that is not expressly made senior to or pari passu with the Preferred Units as to the payment of
 distributions;
- pari passu with any class or series of Partnership interests or other equity securities established after the original issue date of the Preferred Units that is not expressly made senior or subordinated to the Preferred Units as to the payment of distributions;

- junior to all of the Partnership's existing and future indebtedness (including (i) indebtedness outstanding under the TRP Revolver, (ii) the Partnership's 5% Notes, the Partnership's 4 ½% Notes, the Partnership's 6 ½% Notes, the Partnership's 6 ½% Senior Notes due 2021, the Partnership's 6 ¾% Senior Notes due 2022, the Partnership's 5 ¼% Senior Notes due 2023, the Partnership's 4 ¼% Senior Notes due 2023 and the Partnership's 6 ¾% Notes and (iii) indebtedness outstanding under the Partnership's Securitization Facility and other liabilities with respect to assets available to satisfy claims against us); and
- junior to each other class or series of Partnership interests or other equity securities established after the original issue date of the Preferred Units that is expressly made senior to the Preferred Units as to the payment of distributions.

At any time on or after November 1, 2020, the Partnership may redeem the Preferred Units, in whole or in part, from any source of funds legally available for such purpose, by paying \$25.00 per unit plus an amount equal to all accumulated and unpaid distributions thereon to the date of redemption, whether or not declared. In addition, the Partnership (or a third party with its prior written consent) may redeem the Preferred Units following certain changes of control, as described in the Partnership Agreement. If the Partnership (or a third party with its prior written consent) does not exercise this option, then the holders of the Preferred Units have the option to convert the Preferred Units into a number of common units per unit as set forth in the Partnership Agreement. If the Partnership (or a third party with respect to the Preferred Units relating to any Preferred Units, the holders of those Preferred Units will not have the conversion right described above with respect to the Preferred Units called for redemption. Holders of Preferred Units will have no voting rights except for certain exceptions set forth in the Partnership Agreement.

As of December 31, 2015, the Partnership has paid \$1.5 million in distributions to its preferred unitholders.

Distributions

In accordance with the Partnership Agreement, the Partnership must distribute all of its available cash, as determined by the general partner, to common unitholders of record within 45 days after the end of each quarter. The following table details the distributions declared and/or paid by the Partnership for the years presented. As a result of the TRC/TRP Merger, which was completed on February 17, 2016, Targa owns all of the outstanding TRP common units.

			Distributio	ns			
Three Months Ended	Date Paid (In millions,	Limited <u>Partners</u> <u>Common</u> , except per unit	General Par Incentive amounts)	tner 2%	Total	Distributions to Targa Resources Corp.	Distributions per limited partner unit
<u>2015</u>	•	•••	,				
December 31, 2015	February 9, 2016	\$ 152.5	\$ 43.9(1)	\$4.0	\$200.4	\$ 61.4	\$ 0.8250
September 30, 2015	November 13, 2015	152.5	43.9(1)	4.0	200.4	61.4	0.8250
June 30, 2015	August 14, 2015	152.5	43.9(1)	4.0	200.4	61.4	0.8250
March 31, 2015	May 15, 2015	148.3	41.7(1)	3.9	193.9	59.0	0.8200
<u>2014</u>							
December 31, 2014	February 13, 2015	96.3	38.4	2.7	137.4	51.6	0.8100
September 30, 2014	November 14, 2014	92.3	36.0	2.6	130.9	48.9	0.7975
June 30, 2014	August 14, 2014	89.5	33.7	2.5	125.7	46.3	0.7800
March 31, 2014	May 15, 2014	87.2	31.7	2.4	121.3	44.0	0.7625
<u>2013</u>							
December 31, 2013	February 14, 2014	84.0	29.5	2.3	115.8	41.5	0.7475
September 30, 2013	November 14, 2013	79.4	26.9	2.2	108.5	38.6	0.7325
June 30, 2013	August 14, 2013	75.8	24.6	2.0	102.4	35.9	0.7150
March 31, 2013	May 15, 2013	71.7	22.1	1.9	95.7	33.0	0.6975

(1) Pursuant to the IDR Giveback Amendment in conjunction with the Atlas mergers, IDR's of \$9.375 million were allocated to common unitholders in each of the quarters for 2015. The IDR Giveback Amendment covers sixteen quarterly distribution declarations following the completion of the Atlas mergers on February 27, 2015 and resulted in reallocation of IDR payments to common unitholders in the following amounts: \$9.375 million per quarter for 2015. The IDR Giveback will result in reallocation of IDR payments to common unitholders of \$6.25 million in the first quarter for 2016.

Note 12 — Common Stock and Related Matters

The following table details the dividends declared and/or paid by us for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013:

Three Months Ended	Date Paid (In millions, except per share amounts)	Total Dividend <u>Declared</u>	Amount of Dividend Paid	Accrued Dividends (1)	Dividend Declared per Share of <u>Common Stock</u>
<u>2015</u>					
December 31, 2015	February 9, 2016	\$ 51.7	\$ 51.0	\$ 0.7	\$ 0.91000
September 30, 2015	November 16, 2015	51.3	51.0	0.3	0.91000
June 30, 2015	August 17, 2015	49.2	49.0	0.2	0.87500
March 31, 2015	May 18, 2015	46.6	46.4	0.2	0.83000
<u>2014</u>					
December 31, 2014	February 17, 2015	32.8	32.6	0.2	0.77500
September 30, 2014	November 17, 2014	31.0	30.8	0.2	0.73250
June 30, 2014	August 15, 2014	29.2	29.0	0.2	0.69000
March 31, 2014	May 16, 2014	27.4	27.2	0.2	0.64750
<u>2013</u>					
December 31, 2013	February 18, 2014	25.6	25.5	0.1	0.60750
September 30, 2013	November 15, 2013	24.1	23.7	0.4	0.57000
June 30, 2013	August 15, 2013	22.5	22.1	0.4	0.53250
March 31, 2013	May 16, 2013	21.0	20.6	0.4	0.49500

D' 'I

(1) Represents accrued dividends on restricted stock and restricted stock units that are payable upon vesting.

Dividends declared are recorded as a reduction of retained earnings to the extent that retained earnings was available at the close of the prior quarter, with any excess recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital.

Subsequent Event

On February 18, 2016, we announced that we had entered into an agreement for the issuance and sale of \$500 million of our 9.5% Series A Preferred Stock (the "Preferred Stock"). The Preferred Stock can be redeemed in whole or in part at our option after five years. The Preferred Stock is also convertible into our common stock beginning in 2028. In association with the issuance of the Preferred Stock, we also agreed to issue approximately 7,020,000 warrants with a strike price of \$18.88 per common share and 3,385,000 warrants with a strike price of \$25.11 per common share. The warrants have a seven year term and can be exercised commencing six months after closing. We expect to use the net proceeds from the sale of the Preferred Stock to repay indebtedness and for general corporate purposes. We expect this transaction to close in March 2016.

Note 13 — Earnings per Common Share

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of net income and weighted average shares outstanding used in computing basic and diluted net income per common share:

	2015	2014	2013
Net income (loss)	\$(151.4)	\$423.0	\$201.3
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(209.7)	320.7	136.2
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$ 58.3	\$102.3	\$ 65.1
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	53.5	42.0	41.6
Net income available per common share - basic	\$ 1.09	\$ 2.44	\$ 1.56
Weighted average shares outstanding	53.5	42.0	41.6
Dilutive effect of unvested stock awards	0.1	0.1	0.5
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted (1)	53.6	42.1	42.1
Net income available per common share - diluted	\$ 1.09	\$ 2.43	\$ 1.55

(1) For the year ended December 31, 2015 approximately 55,907 shares were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings attributable to common shares because the inclusion of such shares would have been anti-dilutive.

Note 14 — Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Partnership's Commodity Hedges

The primary purpose of the Partnership's commodity risk management activities is to manage its exposure to commodity price risk and reduce volatility in its operating cash flow due to fluctuations in commodity prices. The Partnership has hedged the commodity prices associated with a portion of its expected (i) natural gas equity volumes in our Gathering and Processing segment and (ii) NGL and condensate equity volumes predominately in our Gathering and Processing segment that result from percent-of-proceeds processing arrangements. These hedge positions will move favorably in periods of falling commodity prices and unfavorably in periods of rising commodity prices. The Partnership has designated these derivative contracts as cash flow hedges for accounting purposes.

The hedges generally match the NGL product composition and the NGL delivery points of the Partnership's physical equity volumes. The Partnership's natural gas hedges are a mixture of specific gas delivery points and Henry Hub. The NGL hedges may be transacted as specific NGL hedges or as baskets of ethane, propane, normal butane, isobutane and natural gasoline based upon the Partnership's expected equity NGL composition. We believe this approach avoids uncorrelated risks resulting from employing hedges on crude oil or other petroleum products as "proxy" hedges of NGL prices. The Partnership's natural gas and NGL hedges are settled using published index prices for delivery at various locations.

The Partnership hedges a portion of its condensate equity volumes using crude oil hedges that are based on the NYMEX futures contracts for West Texas Intermediate light, sweet crude, which approximates the prices received for condensate. This necessarily exposes the Partnership to a market differential risk if the NYMEX futures do not move in exact parity with the sales price of its underlying condensate equity volumes.

As part of the Atlas mergers, outstanding APL derivative contracts with a fair value of \$102.1 million as of the acquisition date were novated to the Partnership and included in the acquisition date fair value of assets acquired. Derivative settlements of \$67.9 million related to these novated contracts were received during the year ended December 31, 2015 and were reflected as a reduction of the acquisition date fair value of the APL derivative assets acquired with no effect on results of operations.

The "off-market" nature of these acquired derivatives can introduce a degree of ineffectiveness for accounting purposes due to an embedded financing element representing the amount that would be paid or received as of the acquisition date to settle the derivative contract. The resulting ineffectiveness can either potentially disqualify the derivative contract in its entirety for hedge accounting or alternatively affect the amount of unrealized gains or losses on qualifying derivatives that can be deferred from inclusion in periodic net income.

Certain novated APL crude options with a fair value of \$7.7 million as of the acquisition date did not fall within the "highly effective" correlation range required to qualify as a hedging instrument for accounting purposes. These non-qualifying hedges were settled in December 2015, which resulted in a \$2.2 million gain on cash settlement for the year ended December 31, 2015. Additionally, for the year ended December 31, 2015, the Partnership recorded \$0.9 million of ineffectiveness gains related to otherwise qualifying APL derivatives, primarily natural gas swaps.

At December 31, 2015, the notional volumes of the Partnership's commodity derivative contracts were:

Commodity	Instrument	Unit	2016	2017	2018
Natural Gas	Swaps	MMBtu/d	83,264	23,082	
Natural Gas	Basis Swaps	MMBtu/d	48,962	18,082	_
Natural Gas	Collars	MMBtu/d	22,900	22,900	9,486
NGL	Swaps	Bbl/d	4,473	1,078	208
NGL	Futures	Bbl/d	1,956	—	
NGL	Options/Collars	Bbl/d	920	920	32
Condensate	Swaps	Bbl/d	1,502	500	
Condensate	Options/Collars	Bbl/d	790	790	101

The Partnership also enters into derivative instruments to help manage other short-term commodity-related business risks. The Partnership has not designated these derivatives as hedges and records changes in fair value and cash settlements to revenues.

The Partnership's derivative contracts are subject to netting arrangements that permit its contracting subsidiaries to net cash settle offsetting asset and liability positions with the same counterparty within the same Targa entity. We record derivative assets and liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheets on a gross basis, without considering the effect of master netting arrangements. The following schedules reflect the fair values of our derivative instruments and their location in our Consolidated Balance Sheets as well as pro forma reporting assuming that we reported derivatives subject to master netting agreements on a net basis:

	Balance Sheet		Fair Value as of December 31, 2015 Derivative Derivative			Fair Value as of Decer Derivative			cember 31, 2014 Derivative	
	Location	A	Assets	Lia	bilities	A	ssets	Lial	bilities	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments										
Commodity contracts	Current	\$	92.1	\$	2.1	\$	44.4	\$	—	
	Long-term		34.9		2.4		15.8			
Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments		\$	127.0	\$	4.5	\$	60.2	\$		
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments				_						
Commodity contracts	Current	\$	0.1	\$	3.1	\$		\$	5.2	
Total derivatives not designated as hedging										
instruments		\$	0.1	\$	3.1	\$		\$	5.2	
Total current position		\$	92.2	\$	5.2	\$	44.4	\$	5.2	
Total long-term position			34.9		2.4		15.8			
Total derivatives		\$	127.1	\$	7.6	\$	60.2	\$	5.2	

The pro forma impact of reporting derivatives in the Consolidated Balance Sheets on a net basis is as follows:

		esentation		Net Presentation	
	Asset Position	Liability Position	Asset Position	Liability Position	
<u>December 31, 2015</u>		1 001001			
urrent position					
Counterparties with offsetting position	\$ 86.9	\$ 5.2	\$ 81.7	\$ -	
Counterparties without offsetting position - assets	5.3	—	5.3	-	
Counterparties without offsetting position - liabilities	—	—		-	
	92.2	5.2	87.0		
ong-term position					
Counterparties with offsetting position	34.2	2.4	31.8	-	
Counterparties without offsetting position - assets	0.7	_	0.7	-	
Counterparties without offsetting position - liabilities	_		_	-	
	34.9	2.4	32.5	-	
otal derivatives					
Counterparties with offsetting position	121.1	7.6	113.5	-	
Counterparties without offsetting position - assets	6.0		6.0		
Counterparties without offsetting position - liabilities	—	_		-	
	\$127.1	\$ 7.6	\$ 119.5	\$ -	
<u>December 31, 2014</u>					
urrent position					
Counterparties with offsetting position	\$ 35.5	\$ 4.4	\$ 31.1	\$ ·	
Counterparties without offsetting position - assets	8.9	—	8.9		
Counterparties without offsetting position - liabilities	—	0.8			
	44.4	5.2	40.0		
ong-term position					
Counterparties with offsetting position	_		_	-	
Counterparties without offsetting position - assets	15.8		15.8	-	
Counterparties without offsetting position - liabilities	—	—		-	
	15.8		15.8		
otal derivatives					
Counterparties with offsetting position	35.5	4.4	31.1		
Counterparties without offsetting position - assets	24.7		24.7		
Counterparties without offsetting position - liabilities		0.8			
	\$ 60.2	\$ 5.2	\$ 55.8	\$	

The Partnership's payment obligations in connection with substantially all of these hedging transactions are secured by a first priority lien in the collateral securing its senior secured indebtedness that ranks equal in right of payment with liens granted in favor of its senior secured lenders. Some of the Partnership's hedges are futures contracts executed through a counterparty that clears the hedges through an exchange. The payment obligations on these futures are settled daily.

The fair value of the Partnership's derivative instruments, depending on the type of instrument, was determined by the use of present value methods or standard option valuation models with assumptions about commodity prices based on those observed in underlying markets. The estimated fair value of the Partnership's derivative instruments was a net asset of \$119.5 million as of December 31, 2015. The estimated fair value is net of an adjustment for credit risk based on the default probabilities by year as indicated by market quotes for the counterparties' credit default swap rates. The credit risk adjustment was immaterial for all periods presented. The Partnership's futures contracts that are cleared through an exchange are settled daily and do not require any credit adjustment.

The following tables reflect amounts recorded in Other Comprehensive Income ("OCI") and amounts reclassified from OCI to revenue and expense for the periods indicated:

Derivatives in Cash Flow	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives (Effective Portion)							
Hedging Relationships	:	2015	2	014	2	013		
Commodity contracts	\$	81.2	\$	59.7	\$	(5.8)		
	\$	81.2	\$	59.7	\$	(5.8)		
		Gain (Loss) R	eclassified from	OCI into Income (Effective Portion)			
Location of Gain (Loss)		2015	2	014	2	013		
Interest expense, net	\$		\$	(2.4)	\$	(6.1)		
Revenues		54.8		(4.2)		21.0		
	\$	54.8	\$	(6.6)	\$	14.9		
Interest expense, net	\$	2015 — 54.8		014 (2.4) (4.2)		(6.1) 21.0		

Our consolidated earnings are also affected by the Partnership's use of the mark-to-market method of accounting for derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting or that have not been designated as hedges. The changes in fair value of these instruments are recorded on the balance sheet and through earnings rather than being deferred until the anticipated transaction settles. The use of mark-to-market accounting for financial instruments can cause noncash earnings volatility due to changes in the underlying commodity price indices.

Derivatives Not Designated as	Location of Gain Recognized in	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on	Derivatives
Hedging Instruments	Income on Derivatives	2015	2014	2013
Commodity contracts	Revenue	\$ (5.7)	\$ (5.5)	\$ (0.1)

The following table shows the deferred gains (losses) included in accumulated OCI, which will be reclassified into earnings before income taxes through the end of 2018 based on valuations as of the balance sheet date:

	Decemb	er 31, 2015	Decemb	er 31, 2014
Commodity hedges, before tax (1)	\$	86.7	\$	60.3

(1) Includes deferred net gains of \$52.1 million as of December 31, 2015 related to contracts that will be settled and reclassified to revenue over the next 12 months.

See Note 15 – Fair Value Measurements for additional disclosures related to derivative instruments and hedging activities.

Note 15 — Fair Value Measurements

Under GAAP, our Consolidated Balance Sheets reflect a mixture of measurement methods for financial assets and liabilities ("financial instruments"). Derivative financial instruments and contingent consideration related to business acquisitions are reported at fair value in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Other financial instruments are reported at historical cost or amortized cost in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following are additional qualitative and quantitative disclosures regarding fair value measurements of financial instruments.

Fair Value of Derivative Financial Instruments

The Partnership's derivative instruments consist of financially settled commodity swaps, futures, option contracts and fixed-price forward commodity contracts with certain counterparties. The Partnership determines the fair value of its derivative contracts using present value methods or standard option valuation models with assumptions about commodity prices based on those observed in underlying markets. The Partnership has consistently applied these valuation techniques in all periods presented and we believe the Partnership has obtained the most accurate information available for the types of derivative contracts the Partnership holds.

The fair values of the Partnership's derivative instruments are sensitive to changes in forward pricing on natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. This financial position of these derivatives at December 31, 2015, a net asset position of \$119.5 million, reflects the present value, adjusted for counterparty credit risk, of the amount the Partnership



expects to receive or pay in the future on its derivative contracts. If forward pricing on natural gas, NGLs and crude oil were to increase by 10%, the result would be a fair value reflecting a net asset of \$99.8 million, ignoring an adjustment for counterparty credit risk. If forward pricing on natural gas, NGLs and crude oil were to decrease by 10%, the result would be a fair value reflecting a net asset of \$138.1 million, ignoring an adjustment for counterparty credit risk.

Fair Value of Other Financial Instruments

Due to their cash or near-cash nature, the carrying value of other financial instruments included in working capital (i.e., cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable) approximates their fair value. Long-term debt is primarily the other financial instrument for which carrying value could vary significantly from fair value. We determined the supplemental fair value disclosures for our long-term debt as follows:

- Our and the Partnership's senior secured revolving credit facilities and the Partnership's Securitization Facility are based on carrying value, which approximates fair value as their interest rates are based on prevailing market rates; and
- Senior unsecured notes are based on quoted market prices derived from trades of the debt.

The Partnership has a contingent consideration liability for APL's previous acquisition of a gas gathering system and related assets, which is carried at fair value (see Note 4 – Business Acquisitions).

Fair Value Hierarchy

We categorize the inputs to the fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities using a three-tier fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the significant inputs used in measuring fair value:

- Level 1 observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;
- Level 2 inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that we can directly or indirectly observe to the extent that the markets are liquid for the relevant settlement periods; and
- Level 3 unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore we must develop our own assumptions.

The following table shows a breakdown by fair value hierarchy category for (1) financial instruments measurements included in our Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value and (2) supplemental fair value disclosures for other financial instruments:

	December 31, 2015					
		Fair Value				
	Carrying Value	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Financial Instruments Recorded on Our Consolidated Balance Sheets at Fair Value						
Assets from commodity derivative contracts (1)	\$ 127.1	\$ 127.1	\$ —	\$ 123.1	\$ 4.0	
Liabilities from commodity derivative contracts (1)	7.6	7.6	0.3	7.0	0.3	
TPL contingent consideration (2)	3.0	3.0		_	3.0	
Financial Instruments Recorded on Our Consolidated Balance Sheets at Carrying						
Value:						
Cash and cash equivalents	140.2	140.2			_	
TRC Senior secured revolving credit facility	440.0	440.0		440.0		
TRC Term Loan	157.5	158.3		158.3	_	
Partnership's Senior secured revolving credit facility	280.0	280.0	—	280.0	—	
Partnership's Senior unsecured notes	4,884.0	4,192.0		4,192.0	_	
Partnership's accounts receivable securitization facility	219.3	219.3		219.3		

	December 31, 2014						
		Fair Value					
	Carrying Value	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
Financial Instruments Recorded on Our Consolidated Balance Sheet at Fair Value:							
Assets from commodity derivative contracts	\$ 60.2	\$ 60.2	\$ —	\$ 58.4	\$ 1.8		
Liabilities from commodity derivative contracts	5.2	5.2	—	5.1	0.1		
Financial Instruments Recorded on Our Consolidated Balance Sheet at Carrying Value:							
Cash and cash equivalents	81.0	81.0	—	—			
TRC Senior secured revolving credit facility	102.0	102.0	—	102.0	—		
Partnership's Senior secured revolving credit facility	—	—	—	—			
Partnership's Senior unsecured notes	2,783.4	2,731.5	—	2,731.5	—		
Partnership's accounts receivable securitization facility	182.8	182.8	—	182.8	—		

(1) The fair value of the derivative contracts in this table is presented on a different basis than the Consolidated Balance Sheets presentation as disclosed in Note 14 – Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. The above fair values reflect the total value of each derivative contract taken as a whole, whereas the Consolidated Balance Sheets presentation is based on the individual maturity dates of estimated future settlements. As such, an individual contract could have both an asset and liability position when segregated into its current and long-term portions for Consolidated Balance Sheets classification purposes.

(2) See Note 4 – Business Acquisitions.

Additional Information Regarding Level 3 Fair Value Measurements Included in Our Consolidated Balance Sheets

We reported certain of the Partnership's swaps and option contracts at fair value using Level 3 inputs due to such derivatives not having observable market prices for substantially the full term of the derivative asset or liability. For valuations that include both observable and unobservable inputs, if the unobservable input is determined to be significant to the overall inputs, the entire valuation is categorized in Level 3. This includes derivatives valued using indicative price quotations whose contract length extends into unobservable periods.

The fair value of these natural gas swaps is determined using a discounted cash flow valuation technique based on a forward commodity basis curve. For these derivatives, the primary input to the valuation model is the forward commodity basis curve, which is based on observable or public data sources and extrapolated when observable prices are not available.

As of December 31, 2015, the Partnership had 14 commodity swap and option contracts categorized as Level 3. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurements of the Partnership's Level 3 derivatives are the forward natural gas curves, for which a significant portion of the derivative's term is beyond available forward pricing. The change in the fair value of Level 3 derivatives associated with a 10% change in the forward basis curve where prices are not observable is immaterial.

The fair value of the contingent consideration was determined using a probability-based model measuring the likelihood of meeting certain volumetric measures. These probability-based inputs are not observable; the entire valuation of the contingent consideration is categorized in Level 3. Changes in the fair value of this liability are included in Other Income on the consolidated statements of operations.

The following table summarizes the changes in fair value of our financial instruments classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy:

	Commodity Derivative Contracts Liability/ (Asset)	Contingent Liability
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$ 0.6	\$ 15.3
Settlements included in Revenue	(1.3)	—
Change in valuation of contingent liability included in Other Income	—	(15.3)
Balance, December 31, 2013	(0.7)	\$ —
Settlements included in Revenue	(0.2)	
Unrealized losses included in OCI	(1.1)	_
Transfers out of Level 3	0.3	—
Balance, December 31, 2014	(1.7)	
TPL contingent consideration fair value at acquisition date (see Note 4 - Business Acquisitions)	_	4.2
Change in fair value of TPL contingent consideration included in Other Income	_	(1.2)
New Level 3 instruments	(3.7)	
Transfers out of Level 3	1.7	_
Balance, December 31, 2015	\$ (3.7)	\$ 3.0

For the year ended December 31, 2015, the Partnership transferred \$1.7 million in derivative liabilities out of Level 3 and into Level 2. These transfers relate to long-term over-the-counter swaps for natural gas and NGL products with deliveries for which observable market prices were available.

Note 16 — Related Party Transactions

Transactions with Unconsolidated Affiliates

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, transactions with GCF included in revenues were \$0.5 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.4 million. For the same periods, transactions with GCF included in costs and expenses were \$5.8 million, \$7.6 million and \$6.3 million. The Partnership is subject to paying a deficiency fee in instances where the Partnership does not deliver its minimum volume requirements as outlined in the Partnership and fractionation agreements with GCF.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, capacity lease fees paid to T2 Eagle Ford and T2 LaSalle included in operating expenses were \$2.8 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. These fees are billed to the Partnership based on its portion of the cost to operate each respective joint venture. As of December 31, 2015, the Partnership had a \$1.8 million payable to T2 Eagle Ford for capital project cash calls and accrued lease capacity fees.

Relationship with Targa Resources Partners LP

We provide general and administrative and other services to the Partnership, associated with the Partnership's existing assets and assets acquired from third parties. The Partnership Agreement between the Partnership and us, as general partner of the Partnership, governs the reimbursement of costs incurred on the behalf of the Partnership.

The employees supporting the Partnership's operations are employees of us. The Partnership reimburses us for the payment of certain operating expenses, including compensation and benefits of operating personnel assigned to the Partnership's assets, and for the provision of various general and administrative services for the benefit of the Partnership. We perform centralized corporate functions for the Partnership, such as legal, accounting, treasury, insurance, risk management, health, safety and environmental, information technology, human resources, credit, payroll, internal audit, taxes, engineering and marketing. Since October 1, 2010, after the final conveyance of assets by us to the Partnership, substantially all of our general and administrative costs have been and will continue to be allocated to the Partnership, other than (1) costs attributable to our status as a separate reporting company and (2) our costs of providing management and support services to certain unaffiliated spun-off entities.

Relationship with Sajet Resources LLC

Former holders of our pre-IPO common equity, including certain of our executive managers and directors, own a controlling interest in Sajet Resources LLC ("Sajet"), which was spun-off in December 2010 prior to the IPO. Sajet owns certain technology rights, real property and ownership interests in Allied CNG Ventures LLC. We provide general and administrative services to Sajet and are reimbursed for these amounts at our actual cost. Services provided to Sajet totaled \$1.1 million in 2015.

Relationship with Tesla Resources LLC

In September 2012, Tesla Resources LLC ("Tesla") was spun-off from Sajet. Tesla has ownership interests in Floridian Natural Gas Storage Company LLC ("Floridian"). We provide general and administrative services to Tesla and Floridian and are reimbursed for these amounts at our actual cost. Services provided to Tesla and Floridian totaled \$0.2 million in 2015.

Note 17 — Commitments (Leases)

Future lease obligations are presented below in aggregate and for each of the next five fiscal years.

	Ag	In gregate	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Non-Partnership obligations:							
Operating leases (1)	\$	8.5	\$ 3.6	\$ 3.1	\$ 0.7	\$0.7	\$0.4
Partnership obligations:							
Operating leases (2)		42.1	16.0	10.8	8.8	3.7	2.8
Land site lease and right-of-way (3)		11.0	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.0
	\$	61.6	\$22.0	\$16.2	\$11.7	\$6.5	\$5.2

⁽¹⁾ Includes minimum payments on lease obligation for corporate office space.

⁽²⁾ Includes minimum payments on lease obligations for office space, railcars and tractors.

⁽³⁾ Land site lease and right-of-way provides for surface and underground access for gathering, processing and distribution assets that are located on property not owned by the Partnership. These agreements expire at various dates, with varying terms, some of which are perpetual.

Total expenses incurred under the above lease obligations were:

	_2015	2014	2013
Non-Partnership:			
Operating leases	\$ 3.6	\$ 3.3	\$ 2.8
Partnership:			
Operating leases (1)	40.4	24.4	23.3
Land site lease and right-of-way	4.2	4.1	3.6

(1) Includes short-term leases for items such as compressors and equipment.

Note 18 – Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

Litigation related to TRC/TRP Merger

On December 16, 2015, two purported unitholders of TRP (the "State Court Plaintiffs") filed a putative class action and derivative lawsuit challenging the TRC/TRP Merger against TRC, TRP (as a nominal defendant), TRP GP, the members of the board of the general partner (the "TRP GP Board") and Merger Sub (collectively, the "State Court Defendants"). This lawsuit is styled *Leslie Blumberg et al.* v. *TRC Resources Corp.*, et al., Cause No. 2015-75481, in the District Court of Harris County, Texas, 234th Judicial District (the "State Court Lawsuit").

The State Court Plaintiffs allege several causes of action challenging the TRC/TRP Merger. Generally, the State Court Plaintiffs allege that (i) the members of the TRP GP Board breached express and/or implied duties under the TRP partnership agreement and (ii) TRC, our general partner, and Merger Sub aided and abetted in these alleged breaches of duties. The State Court Plaintiffs further allege, in general, that (a) the premium offered to TRP's unitholders was inadequate, (b) the TRC/TRP Merger did not include a collar to protect TRP unitholders from decreases in TRC's stock price, (c) the TRP GP Board agreed to contractual terms that allegedly may have dissuaded other potential acquirers from seeking to acquire TRP (including the "no-solicitation," "matching rights," and "termination fee" provisions), (d) the process leading up to the TRC/TRP Merger was unfair and (e) the TRP GP Board has conflicts of interest due to TRC's control of our general partner.

Based on these allegations, the State Court Plaintiffs sought to enjoin the State Court Defendants from proceeding with or consummating the TRC/TRP Merger unless and until the TRP GP Board adopted and implemented processes to obtain the best possible terms for TRP common unitholders. The State Court Plaintiffs now seek to have the TRC/TRP Merger rescinded and seek attorneys' fees. The date to answer or otherwise respond to the State Court Lawsuit is currently set for February 29, 2016.

On January 6 and 19, 2016, two additional purported unitholders of TRP (the "Federal Court Plaintiffs") filed two putative class action lawsuits challenging the disclosures made in connection with the TRC/TRP Merger against TRP and the members of the TRP GP Board (the "Federal Court Defendants"). These lawsuits have been consolidated as *In re Targa Resources Partners*, *L.P. Securities Litigation*, Consolidated C.A. No. 4:16-cv-00041, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Houston Division (the "Federal Court Lawsuits").

The Federal Court Plaintiffs allege that (i) the Federal Court Defendants have violated Section 14(a) of the Exchange Act and Rule 14a-9 promulgated thereunder and (ii) the members of the TRP GP Board have violated Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. The Federal Court Plaintiffs allege, in general, that the preliminary and definitive joint proxy statements/prospectuses filed in connection with the TRC/TRP Merger fail, among other things, to disclose allegedly material information concerning (i) the TRP GP Conflicts Committee's financial advisor's and TRC's financial advisor's analyses in connection with the TRC/TRP Merger, (ii) certain TRC and TRP projections, and (iii) the events leading up to the TRC/TRP Merger. The Federal Court Plaintiffs further allege, in general, that (a) the premium offered to TRP's unitholders was inadequate, (b) the TRC/TRP Merger did not include a collar to protect TRP unitholders from decreases in TRC's stock price, (c) the TRP GP Board agreed to contractual terms that allegedly may have dissuaded other potential acquirers from seeking to acquire TRP (including the "no-solicitation," "matching rights," and "termination fee" provisions), (d) the process leading up to the TRC/TRP Merger was unfair and (e) the TRP GP Board has conflicts of interest due to TRC's control of the general partner.

Based on these allegations, the Federal Court Plaintiffs sought to enjoin the Federal Court Defendants from proceeding with or consummating the TRC/TRP Merger unless and until the Federal Court Defendants disclosed the allegedly omitted information summarized above. The Federal Court Plaintiffs now seek to have the TRC/TRP Merger rescinded. The Federal Court Plaintiffs also seek damages and attorneys' fees.

One of the Federal Court Plaintiffs sought a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") to prevent the Federal Court Defendants from proceeding with the TRC/TRP vote and/or merger. On January 29, 2016, this Plaintiff was denied his request for a TRO.

The date for the Federal Court Defendants to answer, move to dismiss, or otherwise respond to the Federal Court Lawsuits has not yet been set.

Neither the State Court Defendants nor the Federal Court Defendants (collectively, the "Defendants") can predict the outcome of these or any other lawsuits that might be filed subsequent to the date of the filing of this report, nor can Defendants predict the amount of time and expense that will be required to resolve such litigation. Defendants believe these lawsuits are without merit and intend to defend vigorously against these lawsuits and any other actions challenging the TRC/TRP Merger.

Targa Litigation related to Atlas Mergers

On January 28, 2015, a public shareholder of TRC (the "TRC Plaintiff") filed a putative class action and derivative lawsuit against TRC (as a nominal defendant), its directors at the time of the ATLS Merger (the "TRC Director Defendants"), and ATLS (together with TRC and the TRC Director Defendants, the "TRC Lawsuit Defendants"). This lawsuit was styled *Inspired Investors v. Joe Bob Perkins, et al.*, in the District Court of Harris County, Texas (the "TRC Lawsuit").

The TRC Plaintiff alleged a variety of causes of action challenging the disclosures related to the ATLS Merger. Generally, the TRC Plaintiff alleged that the TRC Director Defendants breached their fiduciary duties. The TRC Plaintiff further alleged that the registration statement filed on January 22, 2015 failed to disclose allegedly material details concerning (i) Wells Fargo Securities, LLC's and the TRC Director Defendants' supposed conflicts of interest with respect to the ATLS Merger, (ii) TRC's financial projections, (iii) the background of the ATLS Merger, and (iv) Wells Fargo Securities, LLC's analysis of the ATLS Merger.

Based on these allegations, the TRC Plaintiff sought to enjoin the TRC Lawsuit Defendants from proceeding with or consummating the ATLS Merger unless and until TRC disclosed the allegedly material omitted details. The TRC Plaintiff also sought to have the ATLS Merger rescinded, recissory damages, and attorneys' fees.

On June 9, 2015, the Court dismissed the TRC Lawsuit with prejudice.

Atlas Unitholder Litigation

Between October and December 2014, five public unitholders of APL (the "APL Plaintiffs") filed putative class action lawsuits against APL, ATLS, APL GP, its managers, Targa, the Partnership, the general partner and MLP Merger Sub (the "APL Lawsuit Defendants"). These lawsuits were styled (a) *Michael Evnin v. Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., et al.*, in the Court of Common Pleas for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania; (b) *William B. Federman Family Wealth Preservation Trust v. Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., et al.*, in the District Court of Tulsa County, Oklahoma (the "Tulsa Lawsuit"); (c) *Greenthal Living Trust U/A 01/26/88 v. Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., et al.*, in the Court of Common Pleas for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania; (d) *Mike Welborn v. Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., et al.*, in the Court of Common Pleas for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania; (d) *Mike Welborn v. Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., et al.*, in the Court of Common Pleas for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania; and (e) *Irving Feldbaum v. Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., et al.*, in the Court of Common Pleas for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania; and (e) *Irving Feldbaum v. Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., et al.*, in the Court of Common Pleas for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania; and (e) *Irving Feldbaum v. Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P., et al.*, in the Court of Common Pleas for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, though the Tulsa Lawsuit has been voluntarily dismissed. The *Evnin, Greenthal, Welborn and Feldbaum* lawsuits have been consolidated as *In re Atlas Pipeline Partners, L.P. Unitholder Litigation*, Case No. GD-14-019245, in the Court of Common Pleas for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (the "Consolidated APL Lawsuit"). In October and November 2014, two public unitholders of ATLS (the "ATLS Plaintiffs" and, together with the APL Plaintiffs, the "Atlas Lawsuit Plaintiffs") filed putative class action lawsuits against ATLS, ATLS GP, its managers, Targa and GP Merger Sub (the "ATLS Lawsuit Defendants" and, together with the APL Lawsuit Defendants, the "Atl

(a) *Rick Kane v. Atlas Energy, L.P., et al.*, in the Court of Common Pleas for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania and (b) *Jeffrey Ayers v. Atlas Energy, L.P., et al.*, in the Court of Common Pleas for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (the "ATLS Lawsuits"). The ATLS Lawsuits have been consolidated as *In re Atlas Energy, L.P. Unitholder Litigation*, Case No. GD-14-019658, in the Court of Common Pleas for Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (the "Consolidated ATLS Lawsuit"), though the *Kane* lawsuit has been voluntarily dismissed.

The Atlas Lawsuit Plaintiffs alleged a variety of causes of action challenging the Atlas mergers. Generally, the APL Plaintiffs alleged that (a) APL GP's managers have breached the covenant of good faith and/or their fiduciary duties and (b) Targa, the Partnership, the general partner, MLP Merger Sub, APL, ATLS and APL GP have aided and abetted in these alleged breaches of the covenant of good faith and/or fiduciary duties. The APL Plaintiffs further alleged that (a) the premium offered to APL's unitholders was inadequate, (b) APL agreed to contractual terms that would allegedly dissuade other potential acquirers from seeking to acquire APL, and (c) APL GP's managers favored their self-interests over the interests of APL's unitholders. The APL Plaintiffs in the Consolidated APL Lawsuit also alleged that the registration statement filed on November 19, 2014 failed, among other things, to disclose allegedly material details concerning (i) Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated's analysis of the Atlas mergers; (ii) APL and the Partnership's financial projections; and (iii) the background of the Atlas mergers. Generally, the ATLS Plaintiffs alleged that (a) ATLS GP's directors have breached the covenant of good faith and/or fiduciary duties. The ATLS Plaintiffs further alleged that (a) the premium offered to the ATLS unitholders was inadequate, (b) ATLS agreed to contractual terms that would allegedly dissuade other potential acquirers from seeking to acquire ATLS, (c) ATLS GP's directors favored their self-interests of the ATLS unitholders and (d) the registration statement failed to disclose allegedly material details concerning, among other things, (i) Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Incorporated, and Deutsche Bank Securities Inc.'s analyses of the Atlas mergers; (ii) the Partnership, Targa, APL, and ATLS' financial projections; and (iii) the background of the Atlas mergers.

Based on these allegations, the Atlas Lawsuit Plaintiffs sought to enjoin the Atlas Lawsuit Defendants from proceeding with or consummating the Atlas mergers unless and until APL and ATLS adopted and implemented processes to obtain the best possible terms for their respective unitholders. The Atlas Lawsuit Plaintiffs also sought rescission, damages, and attorneys' fees.

The parties to the Consolidated Atlas Lawsuits agreed to settle the Consolidated Atlas Lawsuits on February 9, 2015. In general, the settlements provide that in consideration for the dismissal of the Consolidated Atlas Lawsuits, ATLS and APL would provide supplemental disclosures regarding the Atlas mergers in a filing with the SEC on Form 8-K, which ATLS and APL did on February 11, 2015. The Atlas Lawsuit Defendants agreed to make such supplemental disclosures solely to avoid the uncertainty, risk, burden, and expense inherent in litigation and deny that any supplemental disclosure was or is required under any applicable rule, statute, regulation or law. On January 21, 2016, the Court granted final approval of the settlements in the Consolidated Atlas Lawsuits and dismissed the Consolidated Atlas Lawsuits with prejudice.

Environmental Proceedings

On August 22, 2014 and September 9, 2014, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") issued Notices of Enforcement ("NOEs") to Targa Midstream Services LLC for alleged violations of air emissions regulations at the Mont Belvieu Fractionator relating to the operations of two regenerative thermal oxidizers during 2013 and 2014 and an unrelated discrete emissions event that occurred on May 29, 2014. On May 26, 2015, we signed an Agreed Order resolving all alleged violations stated in the NOEs. The Executive Director of the TCEQ signed the Agreed Order on September 11, 2015, and the TCEQ Commissioners approved the Agreed Order during their November 4, 2015 meeting. Pursuant to the Agreed Order, we (1) paid an administrative penalty in the amount of \$115,644; and (2) paid \$115,643 to fund certain supplemental environmental projects. Under the Agreed Order, we must comply with certain ordering provisions, including a requirement to install a flare gas recovery unit at the Mont Belvieu Fractionator within one year of the effective date of the Agreed Order.

On June 18, 2015, the New Mexico Environment Department's Air Quality Bureau issued a Notice of Violation to Targa Midstream Services LLC for alleged violations of air emissions regulations related to emissions events that occurred at the Monument Gas Plant between June 2014 and December 2014. The Monument Gas Plant is operated by us and owned by Versado Gas Processors, L.L.C., which is a joint venture in which we own a 63% interest. We are in discussions with the New Mexico Environment Department to resolve the alleged violations. We anticipate that this matter could result in a monetary sanction in excess of \$100,000 but less than \$300,000.

We are also a party to various legal, administrative and regulatory proceedings that have arisen in the ordinary course of our business.

Note 19 – Significant Risks and Uncertainties

Our primary business objective is to increase our available cash for dividends to our stockholders by assisting the Partnership in executing its business strategy. We may facilitate the Partnership's growth through various forms of financial support, including, but not limited to, modifying the Partnership's IDRs, exercising the Partnership's IDR reset provision contained in its partnership agreement, making loans, making capital contributions in exchange for yielding or non-yielding equity interests or providing other financial support to the Partnership, if needed, to support its ability to make distributions. In addition, we may acquire assets that could be candidates for acquisition by the Partnership, potentially after operational or commercial improvement or further development.

Nature of the Partnership's Operations in Midstream Energy Industry

The Partnership operates in the midstream energy industry. Its business activities include gathering, processing, fractionating and storage of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. The Partnership's results of operations, cash flows and financial condition may be affected by changes in the commodity prices of these hydrocarbon products and changes in the relative price levels among these hydrocarbon products. In general, the prices of natural gas, NGLs, condensate and other hydrocarbon products are subject to fluctuations in response to changes in supply, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond our control.

The Partnership's profitability could be impacted by a decline in the volume of crude oil, natural gas, NGLs and condensate transported, gathered or processed at our facilities. A material decrease in natural gas or condensate production or condensate refining, as a result of depressed commodity prices, a decrease in exploration and development activities, or otherwise, could result in a decline in the volume of crude oil, natural gas, NGLs and condensate handled by our facilities.

A reduction in demand for NGL products by the petrochemical, refining or heating industries, whether because of (i) general economic conditions, (ii) reduced demand by consumers for the end products made with NGL products, (iii) increased competition from petroleum-based products due to the pricing differences, (iv) adverse weather conditions, (v) government regulations affecting commodity prices and production levels of hydrocarbons or the content of motor gasoline or (vi) other reasons, could also adversely affect the Partnership's results of operations, cash flows and financial position.

The principal market risks are exposure to changes in commodity prices, as well as changes in interest rates.

Commodity Price Risk

A majority of the revenues from the gathering and processing business are derived from percent-of-proceeds contracts under which the Partnership receives a portion of the natural gas and/or NGLs or equity volumes as payment for services. The prices of natural gas and NGLs are subject to market fluctuations in response to changes in supply, demand, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors beyond the Partnership's control.

In an effort to reduce the variability of our cash flows, the Partnership has entered into derivative financial instruments to hedge the commodity price associated with a significant portion of its expected natural gas, NGL equity volumes and condensate equity volumes through 2018 by entering into financially settled derivative transactions. Historically, these transactions have included both swaps and purchased puts (or floors) and calls (or caps) to hedge additional expected equity commodity volumes without creating volumetric risk. The Partnership hedges a higher percentage of its expected equity volumes in the earlier future periods. With swaps, the Partnership typically receives an agreed upon fixed price for a specified notional quantity of natural gas or NGLs and pays the hedge counterparty a floating price for that same quantity based upon published index prices. Since the Partnership receives from its customers substantially the same floating index price from the sale of the underlying physical commodity, these transactions are designed to effectively lock-in the agreed

fixed price in advance for the volumes hedged. In order to avoid having a greater volume hedged than actual equity volumes, the Partnership typically limits its use of swaps to hedge the prices of less than its expected natural gas and NGL equity volumes. The Partnership's commodity hedges may expose it to the risk of financial loss in certain circumstances.

The Partnership's net income and cash flows are subject to volatility stemming from changes in commodity prices and interest rates. To reduce the volatility of our cash flows, the Partnership has entered into derivative financial instruments related to a portion of its equity volumes to manage the purchase and sales prices of commodities. We also monitor NGL inventory levels with a view to mitigating losses related to downward price exposure.

Interest Rate Risk

We and the Partnership are exposed to changes in interest rates, primarily as a result of variable rate borrowings under our and the Partnership's credit facilities.

Counterparty Risk - Credit and Concentration

Derivative Counterparty Risk

Where the Partnership is exposed to credit risk in our financial instrument transactions, management analyzes the counterparty's financial condition prior to entering into an agreement, establishes credit and/or margin limits and monitors the appropriateness of these limits on an ongoing basis. Generally, management does not require collateral and does not anticipate nonperformance by our counterparties.

The Partnership has master netting provisions in the International Swap Dealers Association agreements with all of its derivative counterparties. These netting provisions allow the Partnership to net settle asset and liability positions with the same counterparties, and would reduce its maximum loss due to counterparty credit risk by \$7.6 million as of December 31, 2015. The range of losses attributable to the Partnership's individual counterparties would be between \$0.4 million and \$38.9 million, depending on the counterparty in default.

The credit exposure related to commodity derivative instruments is represented by the fair value of contracts with a net positive fair value, representing expected future receipts, at the reporting date. At such times, these outstanding instruments expose the Partnership to losses in the event of nonperformance by the counterparties to the agreements. Should the creditworthiness of one or more of the counterparties decline, the ability to mitigate nonperformance risk is limited to a counterparty agreeing to either a voluntary termination and subsequent cash settlement or a novation of the derivative contract to a third party. In the event of a counterparty default, the Partnership may sustain a loss and its cash receipts could be negatively impacted.

Customer Credit Risk

We extend credit to customers and other parties in the normal course of business. We have established various procedures to manage our credit exposure, including initial credit approvals, credit limits and terms, letters of credit, and rights of offset. We also use prepayments and guarantees to limit credit risk to ensure that our established credit criteria are met. The following table summarizes the activity affecting our allowance for bad debts:

	2015	2014	2013
Balance at beginning of year	\$	\$ 1.1	2013 \$ 0.9
Additions	0.1		0.2
Deductions	—	(1.1)	
Balance at end of year	\$ 0.1	\$—	\$ 1.1

Significant Commercial Relationship

During the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the Partnership did not have any commercial relationships that exceeded 10% of consolidated revenues.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, ONEOK Hydrocarbon L.P. accounted for 12% of the Partnership's consolidated purchases with a supplier. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Partnership did not have any suppliers that exceeded 10% of our consolidated product purchases.

Casualty or Other Risks

We maintain coverage in various insurance programs, which provides us and the Partnership with property damage, business interruption and other coverages which are customary for the nature and scope of our operations. The majority of the insurance costs described above are allocated to the Partnership by us through the Partnership Agreement described in Note 16.

Management believes that we have adequate insurance coverage, although insurance may not cover every type of interruption that might occur. As a result of insurance market conditions, premiums and deductibles may change overtime, and in some instances, certain insurance may become unavailable, or available for only reduced amounts of coverage. As a result, we may not be able to renew existing insurance policies or procure other desirable insurance on commercially reasonable terms, if at all.

If we or the Partnership were to incur a significant liability for which we were not fully insured, it could have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations. In addition, the proceeds of any such insurance may not be paid in a timely manner and may be insufficient if such an event were to occur. Any event that interrupts the revenues generated by us or the Partnership, or which causes us or the Partnership to make significant expenditures not covered by insurance, could reduce our or the Partnership's ability to meet our financial obligations. Furthermore, even when a business interruption event is covered, it could affect interperiod results as we would not recognize the contingent gain until realized in a period following the incident.

Note 20 – Other Operating (Income) Expense

Loss (gain) on sale or disposal of assets \$($\frac{1}{1}$	
	3.0) \$(4.8	() <u>2013</u> () \$3.9
Casualty (gain) loss (0.2) 0.1	4.3
Miscellaneous business tax	0.5 0.4	0.7
Other	0.6 1.3	0.7
\$(7.1) \$(3.0) \$9.6

Note 21 – Income Taxes

Our provisions for income taxes for the periods indicated are as follows:

	2015	2014	2013
Current expense	\$15.0	\$72.4	\$42.8
Deferred expense (benefit)	24.6	(4.4)	5.4
	\$39.6	\$68.0	\$48.2

Our deferred income tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2015 and 2014 consist of differences related to the timing of recognition of certain types of costs as follows:

	2015	2014
Deferred tax assets:		
Deferred tax assets before valuation allowance (1)	\$ 23.3	\$ 3.5
Valuation allowance	(3.5)	(3.5)
Deferred tax assets	\$ 19.8	\$ —
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Investments (2)	\$(114.3)	\$(115.8)
Property, Plant and Equipment	(61.5)	
Debt related deferreds	(10.0)	(13.4)
Other	(11.8)	(9.5)
Deferred tax liabilities	(197.6)	(138.7)
Net deferred tax asset (liability):	\$(177.8)	\$(138.7)
Net deferred tax liability:		
Federal	\$(144.5)	\$(115.5)
Foreign	0.6	0.6
State	(33.9)	(23.8)
	\$(177.8)	\$(138.7)
Balance sheet classification of deferred tax assets (liabilities):		
Long-term liability	\$(177.8)	\$(138.7)
	\$(177.8)	\$(138.7)

(1) Our deferred tax asset attributable to Net Operating Losses, reflects Net Operating Losses at TPL Arkoma, Inc.

(2) Our deferred tax liability attributable to investments reflects the differences between the book and tax carrying values of the assets and liabilities of our investments.

As part of the APL Merger in 2015, the Partnership acquired TPL Arkoma, Inc. a corporate subsidiary subject to federal and state income tax. The Partnership's corporate subsidiary accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method and provides deferred income taxes for all significant temporary differences.

As a result of dropdown transactions in 2009 and 2010, differences related to the date of income recognition for book and tax occurred, resulting in deferred tax assets. The reversal of these differences will not be recognized until we sell the units of the Partnership. Therefore, a valuation allowance of \$3.5 million has been placed against these deferred assets.

Set forth below is the reconciliation between our income tax provision (benefit) computed at the United States statutory rate on income before income taxes and the income tax provision in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for the periods indicated:

Income tax reconciliation:	2015	2014	2013
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$(111.8)	\$ 491.0	\$ 249.5
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	209.7	(320.7)	(136.2)
Less: TPL Arkoma, Inc. income to TRC	0.5	—	
Less: Income taxes included in noncontrolling interest	(0.6)	(4.2)	(2.5)
Income attributable to TRC (excluding TPL Arkoma, Inc.) before income taxes	97.8	166.1	110.8
Income from TPL Arkoma, Inc.	(7.6)		
Income attributable to TRC and TPL Arkoma, Inc. before income taxes	90.2	166.1	110.8
Federal statutory income tax rate	35%	35%	35%
Provision for federal income taxes	31.6	58.1	38.8
State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit	3.5	6.7	4.4
Amortization of deferred charge on 2010 transactions	4.7	4.7	4.7
Other, net	(0.2)	(1.5)	0.3
Income tax provision	\$ 39.6	\$ 68.0	\$ 48.2

As of December 31, 2015, TPL Arkoma, Inc. had net operating loss carry forwards for federal income tax purposes of approximately \$51.3 million, which expire at various dates from 2029 to 2035. Management of the General Partner believes it more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will be fully utilized.

We have not identified any uncertain tax positions. We believe that our income tax filing positions and deductions will be sustained on audit and do not anticipate any adjustments that will result in a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flow. Therefore, no reserves for uncertain income tax positions have been recorded.

Note 22 — Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Cash: Interest paid, net of capitalized interest (1) \$ 214.1 \$133.8 \$1 Income taxes paid, net of refunds 12.6 73.4 73.4 Non-cash investing activities: 12.6 73.4 73.4 Deadstock commodities inventory transferred to property, plant and equipment 1.2 14.8 Impact of capital expenditure accruals on property, plant and equipment 43.8 19.0 Transfers from materials and supplies to property, plant and equipment 3.7 4.6 Change in ARO liability and property, plant and equipment due to revised future ARO cash flow estimate 3.8 2.1 Property, plant and equipment in consideration of contract amendment (2) 22.6 — Non-cash financing activities:
Income taxes paid, net of refunds12.673.4Non-cash investing activities:Deadstock commodities inventory transferred to property, plant and equipment1.214.8Impact of capital expenditure accruals on property, plant and equipment43.819.0Transfers from materials and supplies to property, plant and equipment3.74.6Change in ARO liability and property, plant and equipment due to revised future ARO cash flow estimate3.82.1Property, plant and equipment in consideration of contract amendment (2)22.6—Non-cash financing activities: Debt additions and retirements related to exchange of TRP 6 5% Notes for APL 6 5% Notes342.1—
Non-cash investing activities: 1.2 14.8 Deadstock commodities inventory transferred to property, plant and equipment 1.2 14.8 Impact of capital expenditure accruals on property, plant and equipment 43.8 19.0 Transfers from materials and supplies to property, plant and equipment 3.7 4.6 Change in ARO liability and property, plant and equipment due to revised future ARO 3.8 2.1 Property, plant and equipment in consideration of contract amendment (2) 22.6 — Non-cash financing activities: Debt additions and retirements related to exchange of TRP 6 5%% Notes for APL 5%% Notes 342.1 —
Deadstock commodities inventory transferred to property, plant and equipment1.214.8Impact of capital expenditure accruals on property, plant and equipment43.819.0Transfers from materials and supplies to property, plant and equipment3.74.6Change in ARO liability and property, plant and equipment due to revised future ARO cash flow estimate3.82.1Property, plant and equipment in consideration of contract amendment (2)22.6—Non-cash financing activities: Debt additions and retirements related to exchange of TRP 6 5% Notes for APL 6 5% Notes342.1—
Impact of capital expenditure accruals on property, plant and equipment43.819.0Transfers from materials and supplies to property, plant and equipment3.74.6Change in ARO liability and property, plant and equipment due to revised future ARO cash flow estimate3.82.1Property, plant and equipment in consideration of contract amendment (2)22.6—Non-cash financing activities: Debt additions and retirements related to exchange of TRP 6 5/8% Notes for APL 6 5/8% Notes342.1—
Transfers from materials and supplies to property, plant and equipment 3.7 4.6 Change in ARO liability and property, plant and equipment due to revised future ARO cash flow estimate 3.8 2.1 Property, plant and equipment in consideration of contract amendment (2) 22.6 — Non-cash financing activities: Debt additions and retirements related to exchange of TRP 6 5/8% Notes for APL 6 5/8% Notes 342.1 —
Change in ARO liability and property, plant and equipment due to revised future ARO cash flow estimate3.82.1Property, plant and equipment in consideration of contract amendment (2)22.6—Non-cash financing activities: Debt additions and retirements related to exchange of TRP 6 5/8% Notes for APL 6 5/8% Notes342.1—
cash flow estimate3.82.1Property, plant and equipment in consideration of contract amendment (2)22.6—Non-cash financing activities:——Debt additions and retirements related to exchange of TRP 6 5/8% Notes for APL 6 5/8% Notes342.1—
Non-cash financing activities: Debt additions and retirements related to exchange of TRP 6 5/8% Notes for APL 6 5/8% Notes 342.1 —
Debt additions and retirements related to exchange of TRP 6 5% Notes for APL342.16 5% Notes342.1
Debt additions and retirements related to exchange of TRP 6 5% Notes for APL342.16 5% Notes342.1
Reduction of Owner's Equity related to accrued dividends on unvested equity awards
under share compensation arrangements 1.6 0.6
Accrued distributions of preferred unit 0.9 —
Non-cash balance sheet movements related to business acquisition: (see Note 4)
Non-cash merger consideration - common units and replacement equity awards \$2,436.1 \$ — \$
Non-cash merger consideration - common shares and replacement equity awards 1,013.7 —
Net non-cash balance sheet movements excluded from consolidated statements of cash flows 3,449.8 —
Net cash merger consideration included in investing activities 1,574.4 —
Total fair value of consideration transferred \$5,024.2 \$\$

(1) Interest capitalized on major projects was \$13.2 million, \$16.1 million and \$28.0 million for 2015, 2014 and 2013.

(2) The Partnership measured the estimated fair value of the assets transferred to it using significant other observable inputs representative of a Level 2 fair value measurement.

Note 23 – Stock and Other Compensation Plans

For the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 our results include compensation expenses from the following sources:

Partnership Long-Term Incentive Plan

Performance Units - Equity-Settled

Phantom Units - Equity-Settled

Phantom Units

Replacement Phantom Units

Director Grants

TRC Long-Term Incentive Plan

Cash-settled Performance Units

2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan

Restricted Stock Awards

Restricted Stock Units - Equity - Settled

Restricted Stock Units

Replacement Restricted Stock Units

TRC Director Grants

Targa 401(k) Plan

Long-Term Incentive Plans

Performance Units

In 2007 both we and the Partnership adopted Long-Term Incentive Plans (each, an "LTIP") for employees, consultants, directors and non-employee directors of us and our affiliates who perform services for us or our affiliates. The performance units granted under these plans are linked to the performance of the Partnership's common units. Our LTIP (the "TRC LTIP") provides for the grant of cash-settled performance units only, but the Partnership LTIP ("TRP LTIP") provides for, among other things, the grant of both cash-settled and equity-settled performance units. Performance unit awards granted under either LTIP may also include distribution equivalent rights ("DERs"). The TRP LTIP is administered by the board of directors of the general part of TRP, while the TRC LTIP is administered by the compensation committee (the "Committee") of the Targa board of directors. Total units authorized under the TRP LTIP are 1,680,000.

Each performance unit will entitle the grantee to the value of our common unit on the vesting date multiplied by a stipulated vesting percentage determined from our ranking in a defined peer group. Currently, the performance period for most awards is three years, except for certain awards granted in December 2013, which provide for two, three or four-year vesting periods. The grantee will receive the vested unit value in cash or common units depending on the terms of the grant. The grantee may also be entitled to the value of any DERs based on the notional distributions accumulated during the vesting period times the vesting percentage. DERs are paid for both cash-settled and equity-settled performance units.

Compensation cost for equity-settled performance units is recognized as an expense over the performance period based on fair value at the grant date. Fair value is calculated using a simulated unit price that incorporates peer ranking. DERs associated with equity-settled performance units are accrued over the performance period as a reduction of owners' equity.

Compensation expense for cash-settled performance units and any related DERs will ultimately be equal to the cash paid to the grantee upon vesting. However, throughout the performance period we must record an accrued expense based on an estimate of that future pay-out. We use a Monte Carlo simulation model and historical volatility assumption to estimate accruals throughout the vesting period.

TRP LTIP Equity-Settled Performance Units

The following table summarizes activities of the Partnership's equity-settled performance units for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013:

	Number of units	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	307,620	38.40
Granted	244,578	46.54
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	552,198	42.01
Granted	168,495	57.19
Vested	(137,170)	34.02
Forfeited	(6,120)	49.39
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	577,403	48.26
Granted	277,242	34.48
Vested	(178,900)	41.92
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	675,745	44.29

TRP LTIP Equity - Settled Phantom units

In 2015, the Partnership granted phantom units under the LTIP to various employees of Targa. These phantom units are denominated with respect to our common units, but not otherwise linked to the performance of our common units. Their vesting periods vary from one year to five years. The DERs of the phantom units are accumulated to be paid in cash at vesting date.

Phantom Units

In 2015 the Partnership issued phantom units of 25,162 with the weighted average grant date fair value of \$36.87. As of December 31, 2015, there are no forfeited phantom units.

Replacement Phantom Units

In connection with the APL merger, the Partnership awarded replacement phantom units in accordance with and as required by the Atlas Merger Agreements to those APL employees who became Targa employees upon close of the acquisition. The vesting dates and terms remained unchanged from the existing APL awards, and will vest either 25% per year over the original four year term or 33% per year over the original three year term. The DERs of the replacement phantom units are paid in cash within 60 days of the payment of distributions (see Note 4 - Business Acquisitions.)

The following table summarize the activities of the awards for the year ended 2015.

	Number of units	ited Average ate Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2014		\$ _
Granted	629,231	43.82
Vested	(224,021)	43.82
Forfeited	(49,852)	43.82
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	355,358	\$ 43.82

Subsequent Event - On January 15, 2016, 3,405 replacement phantom units vested and the Partnership repurchased 1,289 units at \$10.65 per unit to satisfy the employee's minimum statutory tax withholdings on the vested awards. The repurchased shares are recorded as treasury units at cost.

F-65

Partnership Director Grants

Starting in 2012, the common units granted to the Partnership's non-management directors vest immediately at the grant date.

The following table summarizes activity of the common unit-based awards granted to the Partnership's Directors for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013 (in units and dollars):

	Number of units	Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	4,500	\$ 23.51
Granted	12,780	39.33
Vested	(17,280)	35.21
Outstanding at December 31, 2013		_
Granted	8,740	50.29
Vested	(8,740)	50.29
Outstanding at December 31, 2014		
Granted	10,565	44.67
Vested	(10,565)	44.67
Outstanding at December 31, 2015		—

Subsequent Event - On January 19, 2016, the board of directors of the Partner's general partner made awards of 26,792 of the Partnership common units to its non-management directors. The awards vested immediately at the grant date.

TRC LTIP — Cash-settled Performance Units

The following table summarizes the cash-settled performance units for the year ended 2015 awarded under the TRC LTIP (in units and millions of dollars):

	Program Year				
	2012 Awards	2013 Awards	2014 Awards	2015 Awards	Total
Units outstanding January 1, 2015	138,460	142,110	122,360	—	402,930
Granted	—	—	—	198,280	198,280
Vested and paid	(138,460)	—	—	—	(138,460)
Forfeited		(2,410)	(2,460)	(5,890)	(10,760)
Units outstanding December 31, 2015		139,700	119,900	192,390	451,990
Calculated fair market value as of December 31, 2015		\$ 622,496	\$ 359,684	\$1,662,913	\$2,645,093
Current liability		\$ 511,247	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 511,247
Long-term liability			172,926	229,460	402,386
Liability as of December 31, 2015		\$ 511,247	\$ 172,926	\$ 229,460	\$ 913,633
To be recognized in future periods		\$ 111,249	\$ 186,758	\$1,433,453	\$1,731,460
Vesting date		June 2016	June 2017	June 2018	

The remaining weighted average recognition period for the unrecognized compensation cost is approximately 2.3 years.

2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan

In December 2010, we adopted the Targa Resources Corp. 2010 Stock Incentive Plan ("TRC Plan") for employees, consultants and non-employee directors of the Company. The TRC Plan allows for the grant of (i) incentive stock options qualified as such under U.S. federal income tax laws ("Incentive Options"), (ii) stock options that do not qualify as incentive options ("Non-statutory Options," and together with Incentive Options, "Options"), (iii) stock appreciation rights ("SARs") granted in conjunction with Options or Phantom Stock Awards, (iv) restricted stock awards ("Restricted Stock Awards"), (v) phantom stock awards ("Phantom Stock Awards"), (vi) bonus stock awards, (vii) performance unit awards, or (viii) any combination of such awards (collectively referred to a "Awards").

Restricted Stock Awards - Total shares of our common stock authorized under this plan are 5,000,000. Restricted stock entitles the recipient to cash dividends. Dividends on unvested restricted stock will be accrued when declared and recorded as short-term or long-term liabilities, dependent on the time remaining until payment of the dividends, and paid in cash when the award vests. The following table summarizes the restricted stock awards in shares and in dollars for the years indicated:

	Number of shares	Weighted-average Grant-Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	711,030	\$ 25.95
Granted (1)	30,623	57.59
Forfeited	(2,740)	27.28
Vested (2)	(534,940)	22.00
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	203,973	41.05
Forfeited	(1,980)	42.82
Vested	(82,800)	33.37
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	119,193	46.35
Vested	(88,570)	42.46
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	30,623	57.59

(1) These awards will cliff vest at the end of three years.

(2) Awards vested in 2013 were 60% of the awards issued in conjunction with the Targa IPO, net of forfeitures. 40% of the awards vested prior to 2013.

Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") Awards – RSUs are similar to restricted stock, except that shares of common stock are not issued until the RSUs vest. The vesting periods vary from one year to five years. The following table summarizes the regular RSUs we granted to the management of the general partner in shares and in dollars for the years indicated.

	Number of shares	Weighted-average Grant-Date Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	<u></u>	\$ —
Granted	55,790	69.90
Forfeited	(240)	67.07
Outstanding at December 31, 2013	55,550	69.92
Granted	54,357	112.89
Forfeited	(1,440)	75.81
Vested	(100)	67.07
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	108,367	91.41
Granted	140,477	83.54
Forfeited	(2,530)	86.73
Vested	(2,220)	81.56
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	244,094	87.02

RSU-Replacement Restricted Stock Units

In connection with the ATLS merger, we awarded RSUs in accordance with and as required by the Atlas Merger Agreements to those APL employees that who became Targa employees upon closing of the acquisition (the "Replacement RSUs"). The vesting dates and terms remained unchanged from the original ATLS awards, and will vest either 25% per year over the original four year term or 25% after the third year of the original term and 75% after the fourth year of the original term. The dividends of the replacement awards are paid in cash within 60 days of the payment of common stock dividends (see Note 4 - Business Acquisitions for details).

The following table summarizes the awards in shares and in dollars for the years indicated.

	Number	Weigh	ted Average
	of units	Grant-D	ate Fair Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2014		\$	_
Granted	81,740		99.58
Vested	(41,539)		99.58
Forfeited	(1,556)		99.58
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	38,645	\$	99.58

Subsequent Events

In January 2016, the Committee made restricted stock units awards of 440,163 shares to executive management and employees under the TRC Plan for the 2016 compensation cycle that will cliff vest in three years from the grant date.

On January 15, 2016, 29,123 shares of the restricted stock units granted in January 2013 vested and we repurchased 6,861 shares at \$17.04 per share to satisfy the employee's minimum statutory tax withholdings on the vested awards. The repurchased shares are recorded by us in treasury stock at cost.

On January 19, 2016, the Committee awarded 24,234 shares of our common stock to our outside directors. The awards vested at grant date.

The following table summarizes the compensation expenses under the various compensation plans recognized for the years indicated:

	2015	2014	2013
2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan - Director Grants	\$ 0.6	\$ 0.5	\$ 0.5
TRP LTIP Equity-Settled Performance Units	9.5	8.8	5.5
TRP LTIP Equity-Settled Phantom units - Replacement Phantom Units	6.4		
TRP LTIP Equity-Settled Phantom units - Regular Phantom Units	0.2	—	_
TRP LTIP Director Grants	0.5	0.4	0.5
Allocated to the Partnership:			
TRC LTIP - Cash-Settled Performance Units	(2.2)	11.0	21.9
2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan - Restricted Stock	1.1	2.2	6.3
2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan - Equity-Settled RSUs: RSUs	5.4	2.5	0.4
2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan - Equity-Settled RSUs: Replacement RSUs	1.3	_	

The table below summarizes the unrecognized compensation expenses and the approximate remaining weighted average vesting periods related to our various compensation plans as of December 31, 2015:

	Unrecognized Compensation <u>Expense</u> (In millions)	Weighted Average Remaining Vesting Period (In years)
TRP LTIP Equity-Settled Performance Units	\$ 13.3	1.9
TRP LTIP Equity-Settled Phantom units - Replacement Phantom		
Units	5.8	1.3
TRP LTIP Equity-Settled Phantom units - Phantom Units	0.8	3.3
2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan - Restricted Stock	0.0	0.1
2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan - Equity-Settled Restricted Stock		
Units: RSUs	13.1	2.3
2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan - Equity-Settled Restricted Stock Units: Replacement RSUs	1.5	1.4

The total fair value of share-based awards on the dates they vested are as follows:

	2015	2014	2013
TRP LTIP Equity - Settled Performance Units	\$7.9	\$10.0	\$ —
Accrued DERs settled for TRP LTIP Equity - Settled Performance Units	1.7	1.6	_
TRP LTIP Equity-Settled Phantom Units - Replacement Phantom Units	8.8	_	
Accrued DERs settled for TRP LTIP Equity-Settled Phantom units - Replacement Phantom Units	1.1	—	
TRP LTIP Director Grants	0.5	0.4	0.7
TRC LTIP Cash-Settled Performance Units	7.8	14.7	25.2
2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan - Restricted Stock (1)	7.3	7.1	42.2
Accrued dividends settled	0.2	0.5	2.4
2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan - Equity-Settled Restricted Stock Units: Replacement RSUs	3.8		
2010 TRC Stock Incentive Plan - Director Grants	0.5	0.5	0.5

(1) We recognized \$1.1 million, \$1.0 million and \$1.6 million in tax benefits associated with the vesting of the restricted stock in 2015, 2014 and 2013.

Targa 401(k) Plan

We have a 401(k) plan whereby we match 100% of up to 5% of an employee's contribution (subject to certain limitations in the plan). We also contribute an amount equal to 3% of each employee's eligible compensation to the plan as a retirement contribution and may make additional contributions at our sole discretion. All Targa contributions are made 100% in cash. We made contributions to the 401(k) plan totaling \$13.8 million, \$10.5 million and \$9.6 million during 2015, 2014, and 2013.

Note 24 — Segment Information

The Partnership operates in two primary segments (previously referred to as divisions): (i) Gathering and Processing, and (ii) Logistics and Marketing (also referred to as the Downstream Business). The operating margin results of the Partnership's commodity derivative activities are reported in Other.

Concurrent with the completion of the TRC/TRP Merger, management reevaluated the Partnership's reportable segments and determined that the previously disclosed divisions are the appropriate level of disclosure for its reportable segments. The increase in activity within Field Gathering and Processing due to the Atlas mergers coupled with the decline in activity in the Partnership's Gulf Coast region makes the disaggregation of Field Gathering and Processing and Coastal Gathering and Processing no longer warranted. Management also determined that further disaggregation of the Logistics and Marketing segment is no longer appropriate due to the integrated nature of the operations within the Partnership's Downstream Business and its leadership by a consolidated executive management team. The Gathering and Processing division was previously disaggregated into two reportable segments — (a) Field Gathering and Processing and (b) Coastal Gathering and Processing. The Logistics and Marketing division (also referred to as the Downstream Business) was previously disaggregated into two reportable segments—(a) Logistics Assets and (b) Marketing and Distribution.

The Partnership's Gathering and Processing segment includes assets used in the gathering of natural gas produced from oil and gas wells and processing this raw natural gas into merchantable natural gas by extracting NGLs and removing impurities; and assets used for crude oil gathering and terminaling. The Gathering and Processing segment's assets are located in the Permian Basin of West Texas and Southeast New Mexico; the Eagle Ford Shale in South Texas; the Barnett Shale in North Texas; the Anadarko, Ardmore, and Arkoma Basins in Oklahoma and South Central Kansas; the Williston Basin in North Dakota and in the onshore and near offshore regions of the Louisiana Gulf Coast and the Gulf of Mexico.

The Partnership's Logistics and Marketing segment includes all the activities necessary to convert mixed NGLs into NGL products and provides certain value added services such as storing, terminaling, distributing and marketing of NGLs, the storage and terminaling of refined petroleum products and crude oil and certain natural gas supply and marketing activities in support of our other businesses including services to LPG exporters. It also includes certain natural gas supply and marketing activities in support of our other operations, as well as transporting natural gas and NGLs.

Logistics and Marketing operations are generally connected to and supplied in part by our Gathering and Processing segments and are predominantly located in Mont Belvieu and Galena Park, Texas, Lake Charles, Louisiana and Tacoma, Washington.

Other contains the results (including any hedge ineffectiveness) of the Partnership's commodity derivative activities included in operating margin and markto-market gain/losses related to derivative contracts that were not designated as cash-flow hedges. Eliminations of inter-segment transactions are reflected in the corporate and eliminations column.

Reportable segment information is shown in the following tables. We have segregated the following segment information between Partnership and non-Partnership activities:

Year Ended December 31, 2015					
Gathering and Processing	Logistics and Marketing	Other	Corporate and Eliminations	TRC Non- Partnership	Total
\$ 1,485.4	\$3,895.8	\$ 84.2	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 5,465.4
427.1	766.1				1,193.2
1,912.5	4,661.9	84.2			6,658.6
1,126.3	208.9		(1,335.2)	—	—
8.7	17.8		(26.5)	—	
1,135.0	226.7		(1,361.7)		
\$ 3,047.5	\$4,888.6	\$ 84.2	\$ (1,361.7)	\$ —	\$ 6,658.6
\$ 515.1	\$ 681.6	\$ 84.2	\$ 0.1	\$ —	\$ 1,281.0
\$10,391.9	\$2,567.1	\$127.1	\$ 40.6	\$ 84.3	\$13,211.0
\$ 417.0	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 417.0
\$ 496.3	\$ 272.0	\$ —	\$ 8.9	\$ —	\$ 777.2
\$ 5,024.2	\$	<u>s </u>	<u>s </u>	\$	\$ 5,024.2
	Processing \$ 1,485.4 427.1 1,912.5 1,126.3 8.7 1,135.0 \$ 3,047.5 \$ 515.1 \$ 10,391.9 \$ 417.0 \$ 496.3	and Processing and Marketing \$ 1,485.4 \$ 3,895.8 427.1 766.1 1,912.5 4,661.9 1,126.3 208.9 8.7 17.8 1,135.0 226.7 \$ 3,047.5 \$ 4,888.6 \$ 515.1 \$ 681.6 \$ 515.1 \$ 681.6 \$ 10,391.9 \$ 2,567.1 \$ 417.0 \$ \$ 496.3 \$ 272.0	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

(1) Total assets include goodwill. Goodwill has been attributed to the Partnership's Gathering and Processing segment.

		Year Ended December 31, 2014					
	Gathering and Processing	Logistics and Marketing	Other	Corporate and Eliminations	TRC Non- Partnership	Total	
Revenues							
Sales of commodities	\$ 552.4	\$7,050.8	\$ (8.0)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 7,595.2	
Fees from midstream services	224.7	796.6	—		—	1,021.3	
	777.1	7,847.4	(8.0)			8,616.5	
Intersegment revenues							
Sales of commodities	2,068.8	339.3	—	(2,408.1)	—	—	
Fees from midstream services	5.2	28.5	—	(33.7)	—	—	
	2,074.0	367.8		(2,441.8)			
Revenues	\$ 2,851.1	\$8,215.2	\$ (8.0)	\$ (2,441.8)	\$ —	\$ 8,616.5	
Operating margin	\$ 449.9	\$ 694.8	\$ (8.0)	\$ (0.2)	\$ —	\$ 1,136.5	
Other financial information:							
Total assets	\$ 3,776.2	\$2,476.1	\$ 60.2	\$ 34.8	\$ 76.2	\$ 6,423.5	
Capital expenditures	\$ 437.1	\$ 304.6	\$ —	\$ 6.1	\$ —	\$ 747.8	

	Gathering	Year Ended December 31, 2013 Athering Logistics Corporate					
	and Processing	and Marketing	Other	and Eliminations	TRC Non- Partnership	Total	
Revenues							
Sales of commodities	\$ 493.8	\$5,212.9	\$21.4	\$ 0.1	\$ (0.2)	5,728.0	
Fees from midstream services	147.5	439.3		(0.1)		\$ 586.7	
	641.3	5,652.2	21.4		(0.2)	6,314.7	
Intersegment revenues							
Sales of commodities	1,861.1	371.6		(2,232.7)	—	—	
Fees from midstream services	4.4	29.3	—	(33.7)		—	
	1,865.5	400.9		(2,266.4)			
Revenues	\$2,506.8	\$6,053.1	\$21.4	\$ (2,266.4)	\$ (0.2)	\$6,314.7	
Operating margin	\$ 355.9	\$ 424.2	\$21.4	\$ —	\$ (0.3)	\$ 801.2	
Other financial information:							
Total assets (1)	\$3,584.5	\$2,315.5	\$ 5.1	\$ 40.2	\$ 77.2	\$6,022.5	
Capital expenditures	\$ 578.4	\$ 451.0	\$ —	\$ 5.1	\$	\$1,034.5	

The following table shows our consolidated revenues by product and service for the periods presented:

	2015	2014	2013
Sales of commodities			
Natural gas	\$1,594.5	\$1,414.1	\$1,225.0
NGL	3,558.7	5,960.1	4,224.0
Condensate	142.4	134.3	121.8
Petroleum products	101.6	96.3	136.0
Derivative activities	68.2	(9.6)	21.2
	5,465.4	7,595.2	5,728.0
Fees from midstream services			
Fractionating and treating	209.0	208.9	133.9
Storage, terminaling, transportation and export	506.2	548.1	280.3
Gathering and processing	393.7	196.9	114.1
Other	84.3	67.4	58.4
	1,193.2	1,021.3	586.7
Total revenues	\$6,658.6	\$8,616.5	\$6,314.7

The following table shows a reconciliation of operating margin to net income (loss) for the periods presented:

	2015	2014	2013
Reconciliation of operating margin to net income (loss):			
Operating margin	\$1,281.0	\$1,136.5	\$ 801.2
Depreciation and amortization expense	(677.1)	(351.0)	(271.9)
General and administrative expense	(161.7)	(148.0)	(151.5)
Provisional goodwill impairment	(290.0)		
Interest expense, net	(231.9)	(147.1)	(134.1)
Other, net	(32.1)	0.6	5.8
Income tax expense	(39.6)	(68.0)	(48.2)
Net income (loss)	\$ (151.4)	\$ 423.0	\$ 201.3

Note 25 — Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Our results of operations by quarter for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows:

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
		(In milli	ons, except per	share amounts)	
<u>2015</u>					
Revenues	\$1,679.7	\$1,699.4	\$1,632.1	\$1,647.4	\$6,658.6
Gross margin	421.1	471.3	468.8	459.8	1,821.0
Operating income (loss)	138.5	112.4	115.3	(206.9)(1)(2)	159.3
Net income (loss)	35.9	23.8	20.8	(231.9)	(151.4)
Net income attributable to Targa common shareholders	3.4	15.2	12.7	27.0	58.3
Net income per common share - basic	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.09
Net income per common share - diluted	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.27	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.09
<u>2014</u>					
Revenues	\$2,294.7	\$2,000.6	\$2,288.3	\$2,032.9	\$8,616.5
Gross margin	392.8	398.2	420.6	412.2	1,623.8
Operating income	158.4	150.3	168.7	163.1(1)	640.5
Net income	106.9	103.2	120.4	92.5	423.0
Net income attributable to Targa / common shareholders	19.6	26.4	30.7	25.6	102.3
Net income per common share - basic	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.61	\$ 2.44
Net income per common share - diluted	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.63	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.61	\$ 2.43

(1) Included \$32.6 million in the fourth quarter of 2015 and \$3.2 million in the fourth quarter of 2014 losses due to impairments. See Note 6 – Property, Plant and Equipment and Intangible Assets.

(2) Included a provisional goodwill impairment of \$290.0 million in the fourth quarter of 2015. See Note 4 – Business Acquisitions.

Note 26 — Condensed Parent Only Financial Statements

The condensed parent only financial statements represent the financial information required by Rule 5-04 of the Securities and Exchange Commission Regulation S-X for Targa Resources Corp.

In the condensed financial statements, Targa's investments in consolidated subsidiaries are presented under the equity method of accounting. Under this method, the assets and liabilities of affiliates are not consolidated. The investments in net assets of the consolidated subsidiaries are recorded in the balance sheets. The income (loss) from operations of the consolidated subsidiaries is reported as equity in income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries.

A substantial amount of Targa's operating, investing and financing activities are conducted by its affiliates. The condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with Targa's consolidated financial statements, which begin on page F-1 in this Annual Report.

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. PARENT ONLY CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31	
	<u>2015</u> (In mil	2014
ASSETS	(In mii	nons)
	<i>.</i>	*• • • •
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries	\$1,999.4	\$243.8
Deferred income taxes	43.7	27.9
Long-term debt issuance costs	8.6	1.0
Other long-term assets	4.5	—
Total assets	\$2,056.2	\$272.7
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Accrued current liabilities	\$ 1.2	\$ 0.6
Long-term debt	593.1	102.0
Other long-term liabilities	0.5	0.3
Commitments and contingencies		
Targa Resources Corp. stockholders' equity	1,461.4	169.8
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$2,056.2	\$272.7

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. PARENT ONLY CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015		2014		2013
			ept per sha	re amou	
Equity in net income (loss) of consolidated subsidiaries	\$ 87	'.6 \$	109.8	\$	72.6
General and administrative expenses	(8	.0)	(8.3)		(8.4)
Income (loss) from operations	79	.6	101.5		64.2
Other income (expense):					
Loss on debt extinguishment	(12	.9)			
Interest expense	(24	.2)	(3.2)		(3.2)
Income (loss) before income taxes	42	.5	98.3		61.0
Deferred income tax (expense) benefit	15	.8	4.0		4.1
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$ 58	<u>.3</u> \$	102.3	\$	65.1
Net income (loss) available per common share - basic	\$ 1.0	09 \$	2.44	\$	1.56
Net income (loss) available per common share - diluted	\$ 1.0	09 \$	2.43	\$	1.55
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	53	.5	42.0		41.6
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	53	5.6	42.1		42.1

TARGA RESOURCES CORP. PARENT ONLY CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 62.6	(In millions) \$ (1.3)	\$ (4.1)
Investing activities:			
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(745.7)	_	
Distribution and return of advances from consolidated subsidiaries	60.8	97.3	101.6
Net cash provided/(used) by investing activities	(684.9)	97.3	101.6
Financing activities:			
Long-term debt borrowings	914.5	92.0	65.0
Long-term debt repayments	(424.0)	(74.0)	(63.0)
Costs incurred in connection with financing arrangements	(22.5)	_	_
Issuance of common stock	335.5	_	_
Repurchase of common stock	(3.3)	_	(13.3)
Dividends to common and common equivalent shareholders	(179.0)	(113.0)	(87.8)
Excess tax benefit from stock-based awards	1.1	(1.0)	1.6
Distribution to owners	—	—	—
Net cash provided/(used) in financing activities	622.3	(96.0)	(97.5)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	_		
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year			—
Cash and cash equivalents - end of year	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —